## Comparison of the effects of *Opuntia ficus-indica* powder on growth performance and serum parameters of the Broiler Chicken in Algeria

Moula N¹\*., Humbel M¹., Leterrier M¹., Lempereur L²., Touazi L³., Ait-Kaki A⁴., Saidj D⁵., Hornick J.L¹.





\*Corresponding author: Nassim.Moula@ulg.ac.be

## **INTRODUCTION**

In Algeria, the ingredients used in broiler's feed are exclusively imported from abroad, which affects negatively the production cost of chicken meat and sale price at national level. Because of the wide diversity in soil and climate, Algeria owns a substantial number of plants which could be used in animal feed. This work is part of feed potential valuation of barbaric fig tree, widely present in rural Algerian landscape, in broiler chicken. *Opuntia ficus-indica* is known for its edible fruits and fleshy leaves or "racquets" as fodder, especially during drought periods. It also is used to mitigate water and wind erosion and keeping soil fertility in arid and semi-arid regions. The aim of this study was to measure the effects of *Opuntia ficus-indica* powder on growth performance, serum parameters and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In total, 120 Ross-308 day-old male chicks were monitored. They were randomly divided in 3 groups (Group 1, 2 and 3) and 4 blocks according to the specific diets. The Group 1 was offered a commercial feed. The Group 2 and Group 3 were offered the same commercial feed as Group 1 where 5% and 10%, respectively, of the commercial feed was replaced with *Opuntia ficus-indica* powder.

10.00

0.00

5.00



| Table 1- Analytical composition of Opuntia ficus-indica |        |           |           |          |           |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
|   |        |           |           |          |           |  |  |  |  |
|   | DM (%) | Ash (%MS) | CP (% MS) | CF (%MS) | EE (% MS) |  |  |  |  |
| Mean  | 92.0   | 27.8      | 6.1       | 7.4      | 1.5       |  |  |  |  |
| Standard Deviation                                      | 0.64   | 0.94      | 0.14      | 0.25     | 0.07      |  |  |  |  |



## **RESULTS**

Opuntia ficus-indica incorporation did not show any negative effect (p>0.05) on final body-weight, average daily gain and carcass yield. However, it decreased (p<0.05) plasma glucose, uremia, cholesterol and triglycerides levels.

| Table 2-Growth performances and feed conversion index of the |             |            |                        |           |       | Table 3- Carcass yields |                |  |                        |                          |                  |      |                |  |  |
|--|-------------|------------|------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------------------|----------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------|----------------|--|--|
| different groups   |             |            |                        |           |       |                         | 10%            | 5%   | Commercial             | Feed-effec               | t R <sup>2</sup> |      |                |  |  |
|  |             |            |                        |           |       | Carcass-                | 1426±45.5      | 1485±45.5  | 1439±45.5              | Ns                       | 0.03             |      |                |  |  |
|  |             |            |                        | Effects   |       |                         | weight (g)     | 50.010.54  | 70 710 55              | 700.054                  |                  |      |                |  |  |
|  | 10% Opuntia | 5% Opuntia | Commercial             | Feed      | Block | T*B                     | R <sup>2</sup> | Carcass-yield<br>(%)   | 69.8±0.54              | 70.7±0.55                | 70.2±0.54        | Ns   | 0.04           |  |  |
|  |             |            | feed                   | (F)       | (B)   |                         |                | Gizzard-   | 48.2±0.79ª             | 43.1±0.79b               | 40.0±0.79°       | ***  | 0.63           |  |  |
| Body-  |             |            |                        |           |       |                         |                | weight(g)  | 1012-0175              | 1511-0175                | 1010-0175        |      | 0.05           |  |  |
| weight (g)   |             |            |                        |           |       |                         |                | Liver-   | 58.4±1.22a             | 52.4±1.22b               | 54.1±1.22b       | **   | 0.28           |  |  |
| Day 0  | 45±0.57ª    | 43±0.57b   | 44±0.58ab              | NS        | ***   | **                      | 0.52           | weight(g)  |                        |                          |                  |      |                |  |  |
|  |             |            |                        |           | ***   |                         |                | Abdominal fat  | 22.6±0.78 <sup>a</sup> | 28.1±0.78 <sup>b</sup>   | 32.6±0.78°       | ***  | 0.71           |  |  |
| Day 7  | 130±3.6     | 169±3.54   | 150±3.6                | ***       |       | NS                      | 0.50           | weight(g)  |                        |                          |                  |      |                |  |  |
| Day 14   | 309±11.5ª   | 390±11.5b  | 349±11.5°              | ***       | **    | NS                      | 0.34           | Table4- Biochemical parameters of the different groups   |                        |                          |                  |      |                |  |  |
| Day 21   | 726±24.1    | 792±24.1   | 785±21.1               | NS        | NS    | NS                      | 0.09           |  | 10%                    | 5%                       | Commercial       | Feed | R <sup>2</sup> |  |  |
| Day 28   | 1060±28.7a  | 1152±28.7b | 1142±28.8b             | NS        | *     | NS                      | 0.18           | Triglycerides (g/l)  | 0.50±0.02ª             | 0.55±0.02ab              | 0.58±0.02b       | *    | 0.23           |  |  |
| Day 35   | 1662±53.0   | 1791±53.0  | 1710±53.1              | NS        | NS    | NS                      | 0.06           | Glucose(g/l)   | 1.83±0.05a             | 2.01±0.05b               | 2.09±0.05b       | ***  | 0.35           |  |  |
| Day 42   | 1877±44.4   | 1972±43.1  | 1949±43.2              | NS        | NS    | NS                      | 0.06           | G.115555(g/1)  | 1.05=0.05              | 2.01=0.05                | 2.03=0.03        |      | 0.00           |  |  |
| ADG (g)  |             |            |                        |           |       |                         |                | Uremia (g/l)   | 0.020±0.001a           | 0.023±0.001 <sup>b</sup> | 0.019±0.001a     | **   | 0.27           |  |  |
| 0-14 days  | 18.9±0.83ª  | 24.7±0.83b | 21.9±0.83 <sup>c</sup> | ***       | ***   | Ns                      | 0.35           | Total  | 25.2±0.58              | 26.1±0.58                | 26.0±0.58        | Ns   | 0.04           |  |  |
| 15-42 days   | 56.2±1.70   | 56.4±1.64  | 57.2±1.65              | Ns        | Ns    | Ns                      | 0.05           | protein(g/l)   |                        |                          |                  |      |                |  |  |
| 0-42 days  | 43.6±1,05   | 45.9±1.02  | 45.4±1.02              | Ns        | Ns    | Ns                      | 0.06           | Cholesterol<br>(g/l)   | 0.80±0,06ª             | 1.10±0.03b               | 1.20±0.06c       | ***  | 0.36           |  |  |
| FCR  |             |            |                        |           |       |                         |                | (9/1)  |                        |                          |                  |      |                |  |  |
| 0-14   | 1.31        | 1,22       | 1.28                   |           |       |                         |                | ** P<0.001; ** P<0.01; *P<0.05; NS: P>0.05. a, b, c: different superscripts indicate significant |                        |                          |                  |      |                |  |  |
| 15-42  |             |            |                        |           |       |                         |                |  |                        | differences (P<0.05)     | •                |      |                |  |  |
|  | 2.05        | 1.97       | 1.95                   |           |       |                         |                |  |                        |                          |                  |      |                |  |  |
| Mortality<br>(%)   |             |            |                        | <u>CO</u> | NCL   | <u>.US</u>              | <u> 101</u>    | <u> </u>   |                        |                          |                  |      |                |  |  |

broiler feed's cost in Algeria.

Opuntia ficus-indica powder could be incorporated in poultry feed to reduce