PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS A

rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org



Article submitted to journal

Subject Areas:

Solar System, Observational Astronomy

Keywords:

Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko, Rosetta

Author for correspondence: Colin Snodgrass

e-mail: colin.snodgrass@open.ac.uk

THE ROYAL SOCIETY

PUBLISHING

The 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko observation campaign in support of the Rosetta mission

C. Snodgrass¹ et al. (see below)

¹ School of Physical Sciences, The Open University, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, UK

We present a summary of the campaign of remote observations that supported the European Space Agency's Rosetta mission. Telescopes across the globe (and in space) followed comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko from before Rosetta's arrival until nearly the end of mission in September 2016. These provided essential data for mission planning, largescale context information for the coma and tails beyond the spacecraft, and a way to directly compare 67P with other comets. The observations revealed 67P to be a relatively 'well behaved' comet, typical of Jupiter family comets and with activity patterns that repeat from orbit-to-orbit. Comparison between this large collection of telescopic observations and the in situ results from Rosetta will allow us to better understand comet coma chemistry and structure. This work is just beginning as the mission ends - in this paper we present a summary of the ground-based observations and early results, and point to many questions that will be addressed in future studies.

Co-Authors: M. F. A'Hearn², F. Aceituno³, V. Afanasiev⁴, S. Bagnulo⁵, J. Bauer⁶, G. Bergond⁷, S. Besse⁸, N. Biver⁹, D. Bodewits², H. Boehnhardt¹⁰, B. P. Bonev¹¹, G. Borisov^{5,12}, B. Carry^{13,14}, V. Casanova³, A. Cochran¹⁵, B. C. Conn^{16,17}, B. Davidsson⁶, J. K. Davies¹⁸, J. de León^{19,20}, E. de Mooij²¹, M. de Val-Borro^{22,23,24}, M. Delacruz²⁵, M. A. DiSanti²³, J. E. Drew²⁶, R. Duffard³, N. J. T. Edberg²⁷, S. Faggi²⁸, L. Feaga², A. Fitzsimmons²¹, H. Fujiwara²⁹, E. L. Gibb³⁰, M. Gillon³¹, S. F. Green¹, A. Guijarro⁷, A. Guilbert-Lepoutre³², P. J. Gutiérrez³, E. Hadamcik³³, O. Hainaut³⁴, S. Haque³⁵, R. Hedrosa⁷, D. Hines³⁶, U. Hopp³⁷, F. Hoyo⁷, D. Hutsemékers³¹, M. Hyland²¹, O. Ivanova³⁸, E. Jehin³¹, G. H. Jones^{39,40}, J. V. Keane²⁵, M. S. P. Kelley², N. Kiselev⁴¹, J. Kleyna²⁵, M. Kluge³⁷, M. M. Knight², R. Kokotanekova^{10,1}, D. Koschny⁴², E. Kramer⁶, J. J. López-Moreno³, P. Lacerda²¹, L. M. Lara³, J. Lasue⁴³,

© The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited. H. J. Lehto⁴⁴, A. C. Levasseur-Regourd³³, J. Licandro^{19,20}, Z. Y. Lin⁴⁵, T. Lister⁴⁶, S. C. Lowry⁴⁷, A. Mainzer⁶, J. Manfroid³¹, J. Marchant⁴⁸, A. J. McKay¹⁵, A. McNeill²¹, K. J. Meech²⁵, M. Micheli⁴⁹, I. Mohammed⁵⁰, M. Monguió²⁶, F. Moreno³, O. Muñoz³, M. J. Mumma²³, P. Nikolov¹², C. Opitom³¹, J. L. Ortiz³, L. Paganini²³, M. Pajuelo^{14,51}, F. J. Pozuelos³, S. Protopapa², T. Pursimo⁵², B. Rajkumar³⁵, Y. Ramanjooloo²⁵, E. Ramos⁷, C. Ries³⁷, A. Difference and the set of the set A. Riffeser³⁷, V. Rosenbush⁴¹, P. Rousselot⁵³, E. L. Ryan⁵⁴, P. Santos-Sanz³, D. G. Schleicher⁵⁵,
M. Schmidt³⁷, R. Schulz⁵⁶, A. K. Sen⁵⁷, A. Somero⁴⁴, A. Sota³, A. Stinson⁵, J. Sunshine²,
A. Thompson²¹, G. P. Tozzi²⁸, C. Tubiana¹⁰, G. L. Villanueva²³, X. Wang^{58,59}, D. H. Wooden⁶⁰,

M. Yagi⁶¹, B. Yang⁶², B. Zaprudin⁴⁴ and T. J. Zegmott⁴⁷

² Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-2421, USA

³ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, 18008 Granada, Spain

⁴ Special Astrophysical Observatory, Russian Academy of Sciences, Nizhniy Arkhyz, Russia

⁵ Armagh Observatory, College Hill, Armagh, BT61 9DG, Northern Ireland, UK

⁶ Jet Propulsion Laboratory, M/S 183-301, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena CA 91109, USA

⁷ Centro Astronómico Hispano-Alemán, Calar Alto, CSIC-MPG, Sierra de los Filabres-04550 Gérgal (Almería), Spain ⁸ ESA/ESAC, PO Box 78, 28691 Villanueva de la Cañada, Spain

⁹ LESIA, Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Univ. Paris-Diderot, 5 Place J. Janssen, 92195 Meudon Pricipal Cedex, France

Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 3, 37077 Göttingen

¹¹ Department of Physics, American University, 4400 Massachusetts Ave NW, Washington, DC 20016, USA

¹² Institute of Astronomy and National Astronomical Observatory, 72, Tsarigradsko Chaussée Blvd., BG-1784, Sofia, Bulgaria 13 Université Côte d'Azur, OCA, CNRS, Lagrange, France

¹⁴ IMCCE, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, Univ. Lille, France ¹⁵ University of Texas Austin/McDonald Observatory, 1 University Station, Austin, TX 78712, USA

¹⁶ Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, The Australian National University, Canberra ACT, Australia

¹⁷ Gemini Observatory, Recinto AURA, Colina El Pino s/n, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile

¹⁸ The UK Astronomy Technology Centre, Royal Observatory Edinburgh, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh, EH9 3HJ

¹⁹ Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias (IAC), C/Vía Láctea s/n, 38205 La Laguna, Spain ²⁰ Departamento de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, 38206 La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

²¹ Astrophysics Research Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, BT7 1NN, UK

²² Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

²³ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Astrochemistry Laboratory, Code 691.0, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

²⁴ Department of Physics, The Catholic University of America, Washington, DC 20064, USA

 25 Institute for Astronomy, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

²⁶ School of Physics, Astronomy & Mathematics, University of Hertfordshire, College Lane, Hatfield, AL10 9AB, UK

²⁷ Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Ångströmlaboratoriet, Lägerhyddsvägen 1, 751 21, Uppsala, Sweden

²⁸ INAF, Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi 5, I-50 125 Firenze, Italy

²⁹ Subaru Telescope, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 650 North A'ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720, USA

³⁰ Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Missouri, St. Louis, USA

³¹ Institut d'Astrophysique et de Géophysique, Université de Liège, allée du 6 Août 17, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

³² Institut UTINAM, UMR 6213 CNRS-Université de Franche Comté, Besançon, France

³³ LATMOS-IPSL; UPMC (Sorbonne Univ.), BC 102, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

³⁴ European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany

³⁵ Department of Physics, University of the West Indies, St. Augustine, Trinidad, West Indies

³⁶ Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

³⁷ University Observatory, Ludwig-Maximilian-University Munich, Scheiner Str. 1, 81679 Munich, Germany

³⁸ Astronomical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, SK-05960 Tatranská Lomnica, Slovak Republic

³⁹ Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St. Mary, Dorking, Surrey RH5 6NT, UK

 40 The Centre for Planetary Sciences at UCL/Birkbeck, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

⁴¹ Main Astronomical Observatory of National Academy of Sciences, Kyiv, Ukraine

⁴² Research and Scientific Support Department, European Space Agency, 2201 Noordwijk, The Netherlands

⁴³ Université de Toulouse, UPS-OMP, IRAP, Toulouse, France

⁴⁴ Tuorla Observatory, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, Väisäläntie 20, 21500 Piikkiö, Finland

⁴⁵ Graduate Institute of Astronomy, National Central University, No.300 Jhongda Rd., Jhongli city, Taoyuan County, 320

Taiwan ⁴⁶ Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network, 6740 Cortona Drive Ste. 102, Goleta, CA 93117, USA

⁴⁷ Centre for Astrophysics and Planetary Science, School of Physical Sciences, The University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NH, UK ⁴⁸ Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, L3 5RF, UK

⁴⁹ ESA SSA-NEO Coordination Centre, Frascati (RM), Italy

⁵⁰ Caribbean Institute of Astronomy, Trinidad, West Indies

⁵¹ Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Apartado 1761, Lima, Perú

⁵² Nordic Optical Telescope, Apartado 474, E-38700 Santa Cruz de La Palma, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

⁵³ University of Franche-Comté, Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers THETA, Institut UTINAM - UMR CNRS 6213, BP

1615, ⁵⁴ SETI Institute, 189 Bernardo Ave. Suite 200, Mountain View, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

⁵⁵ Lowell Observatory, 1400 W. Mars Hill Rd, Flagstaff, AZ 86001, USA

- ⁵⁶ Scientific Support Office, European Space Agency, 2201 AZ Noordwijk, The Netherlands
- ⁵⁷ Department of Physics, Assam University, Silchar 788011, India
- ⁵⁸ Yunnan Observatories, CAS, China, P.O. Box 110, Kunming 650011, Yunnan Province, China
- ⁵⁹ Key laboratory for the structure and evolution of celestial objects, CAS, Kunming 650011, China
- ⁶⁰ NASA Ames Research Center, MS 245-3, Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000, USA
- ⁶¹ National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1, Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo, 181-8588, Japan
- ⁶² European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Santiago, Chile

1. Introduction

Comets are mostly studied via telescopes, and while the European Space Agency (ESA)'s Rosetta mission has told us much more about comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko (hereafter 67P) than remote observation alone could ever achieve, remote observation of the comet is necessary for a number of reasons. Firstly, observations were used to characterise the comet ahead of the spacecraft's arrival, to plan the mission. Secondly, the comet's coma and tails stretch thousands to millions of kilometres, far beyond Rosetta's orbit, so a wider view is necessary to understand the total activity and the large scale context that complements the in situ view from the spacecraft. Finally, telescopic observations allow a comparison between 67P and other comets, the vast majority of which will only ever be astronomical objects and not visited directly. Parallel observations allow Rosetta measurements to provide 'ground truth' to compare with the interpretation of observations, allowing various techniques to be tested, and for the lessons from Rosetta to be applied to the wider comet population.

The world-wide campaign of observations of 67P includes most major observatories, and deploys all possible techniques across a wide range of wavelengths, from ultraviolet to radio. Unlike previous comet mission support campaigns (for example those supporting the NASA Deep Impact and EPOXI missions [1,2]), the Rosetta mission and campaign are unique in their long duration - there is not a single fly-by or impact to observe, but rather the long-term evolution of the comet as it approached and then retreated from the Sun. The campaign has been coordinated via a website¹, mailing lists, and regular meetings. The coordination largely began with a meeting in London in 2012, sponsored by the European Union FP7 research infrastructure 'Europlanet' under its networking activity fund². Further meetings were hosted by the European Southern Observatory (ESO) and ESA (usually as parallel sessions to Rosetta Science Working Team meetings). Europlanet again sponsored a workshop in June 2016, at Schloss Seggau near Graz in Austria, towards the end of the parallel Rosetta observations, where results could be exchanged and further analyses of data planned. In addition to the wide range of observations from professional observatory facilities, a large number of amateur astronomers have collected a significant and useful data set. The amateur campaign was coordinated with support from the NASA Rosetta project office, in parallel with and as part of the main campaign, and is described in detail elsewhere [3].

This paper presents an overview of the observations of 67P, together with a review of some key results from the observing campaign. These include description of the large-scale morphology of the comet (section 3), results from spectroscopy (section 4) and polarimetry (section 5), and estimates of total activity levels (section 6). Further detailed studies are ongoing, but some other preliminary results, and discussion on their implications, are included in section 7.

2. Observations

Prior to its selection as the Rosetta mission target in 2003, comet 67P was not particularly well studied. It was discovered in 1969 and observed at its 1983, 1995 and 2002 perihelion passages as part of narrowband photometry surveys of comets [4], and was targeted at larger heliocentric distance (for nucleus observations) in between these [5,6]. While the original target of the Rosetta mission, comet 46P/Wirtanen, was studied in detail as the mission was developed [7], the delay in

¹http://www.rosetta-campaign.net ²http://europlanet-scinet.fi/



Figure 1. Observability of the comet, as seen from Earth, during the Rosetta mission. The observability of the comet from Earth is shown by hatched, cross-hatched and solid grey areas marking when the solar elongation is less than 50°, 30° and 15°, respectively. Perihelion (in August 2015) is marked by a vertical dashed line. At that time the comet was 43° from the Sun. Dash-dot vertical lines show the boundaries between the years 2014-2016. Upper panel: Solar elongation ϵ (solid line) and phase angle α (dashed line); Middle panel: Declination; Lower panel: Heliocentric r (solid line) and geocentric Δ (dashed line) distances.

the launch of the mission (due to concerns about the launch vehicle) meant that 67P was selected only a year before Rosetta launched towards it, and the relatively unknown comet suddenly became the target of many observations. 67P was just past its perihelion at the time, and the first observations from ESO constrained gas activity levels via spectroscopy [8], while Hubble Space Telescope (HST) imaging was used to estimate nucleus size, shape and rotation rate information using coma subtraction techniques [9,10]. Imaging and polarimetric observations at this and the next perihelion passage (in 2009) were used to constrain the dust activity levels and morphology of the coma [11–14], including large scale structures [15], to monitor changes in dust properties and produce models of the dust size distribution [12,16,17]. Around the aphelion passage between these, a series of observations were used to pin down nucleus properties [18–22].

These observations around a full orbit following selection as the Rosetta target meant that, by 2010, 67P was one of the best characterised Jupiter family comets that had not yet been visited by a spacecraft. An analysis of images taken from archives over all previously observed orbits allowed predictions on total activity to be made [23], which were largely confirmed during the 2014-2016 Rosetta mission parallel observations. A summary of all observations obtained through the 2009 perihelion passage (up until the end of 2010) is given in table 2 of ref. [23]. Additional archival images from the 1 m Jacobus Kapteyn Telescope (JKT) and 2.5 m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) on La Palma in April 2003 and February 2004, and the 4 m SOAR in August and September 2007, have subsequently been identified. In addition, there were regular observations during the 2011-2012 aphelion passage from ESO telescopes, despite the comet being both faint and located in the direction of the crowded star fields towards the galactic centre.

The coordinated campaign of ~parallel observations with Rosetta began in the 2013 observing season, with approximately monthly imaging with the ESO 8 m VLT from April to October, primarily dedicated to astrometric measurements to improve the orbit determination ahead of Rosetta's arrival at the comet in 2014. Further observations with the VLT followed the

Table 1. Summary table of observations.

| NTT / YOS NGC Proteomination Proteomination Proteomination Proteomination NTT / Star Cam MG K 13/07/17 19/07/2 19/07/ | Talassana /Instrument | Technique | Wayalangth range | Dates (VV /MM /DD) | ToT | PI | ÷ |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--|------------|--|------------------|
| VIL/TOSS ING R 13/07/11-19/07/15 100 INGen ING NOT/ALROSC ING V.R 13/07/11-19/07/15 108.6 H Lehn INGEN NOT/SEGC ING V.R 14/07/05-15/06/17 108.6 H Lehn INGEN INGEN <td< td=""><td>VIT/FORS</td><td>IMC</td><td>R</td><td>13/04/17 - 16/06/20</td><td>97.3</td><td>C Spodgrass</td><td>÷</td></td<> | VIT/FORS | IMC | R | 13/04/17 - 16/06/20 | 97.3 | C Spodgrass | ÷ |
| NOT/ALCOSC MG V.R 13/07/13-10/07/27 101.6 H Labor OPEN NOT/SINCAM MG V.R 13/04/05-16/07/27 101.6 H Labor 100 VLT/FORS SPEC 30-1100 nn 14/05/07-15/06/18 51.1 Conductance 100 VLT/FORS SPEC 30-1100 nn 14/05/07-15/06/18 51.1 Conductance 100 HST/ASS/WFC POL F6600 W 14/06/07-15/06/17 52.5 MM Knight 100 Cerniti S/Faminges-2 MG 1/14/K 14/07/12-16/07/10 43.5 MM Knight 100 Cerniti S/Faminges-2 MG 1/14/K 14/07/12-16/07/10 43.5 Kl Medginter 100 | VLT/FORS | IMG | R | 13/04/17 = 10/00/20 13/05/11 = 13/06/18 | 0.9 | KI Meech | ्रध |
| NOT/SmarCam ING V/R 1/4/04/05 = 16/05/22 11.4 H Lebin V/Y VIX/FX08S SPEC 330-1100 nm 14/06/26 Z K Macch S0 | NOT/ALFOSC | IMG | VR | 13/05/13 - 16/05/27 | 103.6 | HLehto | : 2 |
| VILT/POSIS SPEC 330-110 nm 1/10/50/718 56.1 C Snodgrass 100 UDT (1-n) MG R 1/10/50/718 56.1 27 KJ Meech 60 LOT (1-n) MG RVRI, ND CSRIEs et 1/10/50/71 59 D Hineght 60 EAT ACT/MUNC2 MG gatz 1/10/71/71 4.4 D Mineght 60 Commits/CAMOS MG gatz 1/10/71 4.4 D Mineght 1/10/71 Commits/CAMOS MG gatz 1/10/71 1/10/71 4.4 D Scochny 1/10/71 COS/SDC MG gatz 1/10/71 | NOT/StanCam | IMG | VR | 14/04/05 - 16/05/22 | 11.4 | HLehto | · Y |
| UH86TRK IMG R 14/06/20 - 14/06/26 Z KI Mac ⁶ LOT (1-m) MG FWR, NR CRISTS et 14/06/30 - 1571/07 50.8 Z Lin Compare 1/Barnage-2 Diffues FMR, NR CRISTS et 14/06/30 - 1571/07 53.5 M Kaight CO FMR | VLT/FORS | SPEC | 330-1100 nm | 14/05/07 - 13/06/18 | 56.1 | C Snodgrass | ്മ് |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | UH88-TEK | IMG | R | 14/06/26 - 14/06/26 | 2.7 | KI Meech | : 0 |
| HST/ACX/WFC POL FROM 14/08/18-16/03/07 F9.20 Dilme F9.20 Geminis S/Entamigon-2 MG µ/1/X 14/08/19-15/07/07 5.5 MM Knight F9.20 Geminis S/Entamigon-2 MG µ/1/X 14/07/10-14/07/07 5.5 MM Knight F9.20 Geminis S/Entamigon-2 MG µ/1/X 14/07/10-14/07/07 5.0 MM Knight F9.20 FMT/ Koc, Cam MG №/X/X CS.25 µm 14/11/07-14/07/07 2.2 Elefton F9.20 VLT/SNEOTER MG №/X/X CS.22 µm 15/07/17-15/07/7 5.0 N Bore F9.20 VLT/SNEOTER MG №/X/X CS.22 µm 15/07/17-16/06/28 N Bore F9.20 VMT/ACAM MG µ/1/X 15/07/18-16/07/18 12.0 CSnodgrass F0.20 VMT/ACAM MG µ/1/X 15/07/18-16/07/18 12.0 CSnodgrass F0.20 VMT/ACAM MG µ/1/X 15/07/18-16/07/18 12.0 CSnodgrass F0.20 | LOT (1-m) | IMG | BVRI, NB OSIRIS set | 14/06/30-15/11/30 | 50.8 | ZY Lin | : 0 |
| Cemins J/Ramingos-2 BKG J,H.K $14/(9/2) - 15/(6/3)$ S MK knight OP Coremits J/CKOS BKG yikibe $14/(9/2) - 16/(7)/14$ 45 MK knight PC CGN J/OK BKG vikibe $14/(9/2) - 16/(7)/14$ 45 DK Knight PC CGN J/OK BKG Vikibe $14/(9/2) - 16/(7)/14$ 45 DK Knight DK TRAPPERT Gan DKG RAVAL CNC.2/C/CCR $15/(4/2) - 15/(6/7)$ 100 E Jehin DK ALMA SPEC 283-03/33-355 GHz $15/(9/7) - 15/(6/7)$ 100 E Jehin DK ALMA SPEC 284-100 mm $15/(9/7) - 16/(6/1)$ 29. C Stoodgrass C GO TI//CO MKG g.i.i./ 250/(7) - 16/(6/1) 39. L Stoodgrass C GO TI//CO MKG g.i.i./ 150/07-16/(6/1) 39. L Stoodgrass C GO TI//CO MKG g.i.i./ 150/07-16/(6/1) 39. L Stoodgrass C Goodgrass TI//CO </td <td>HST/ACS/WFC</td> <td>POL</td> <td>F606W</td> <td>14/08/18-16/03/07</td> <td>59.2</td> <td>D Hines</td> <td><u></u></td> | HST/ACS/WFC | POL | F606W | 14/08/18-16/03/07 | 59.2 | D Hines | <u></u> |
| Cemain S/CMOS BMG $r_1 I_z$ $1/10/20 - 14/11/19$ 4.5 M Kanght Weight CSG/SDC BMG $r_2 I_z$ $1/10/20 - 16/07/16$ 4.6 D Koochny D Condersis CHF1/MagLCam BMG p_z $1/10/10 - 11/16$ 10.2 C Sondgrass D Condersis CHF1/MagLCam BMG $3/14, R_1 C_2 C_C CRC 15/07/17 - 15/07/17 5.8 N Horeh D Horeh D$ | Gemini S/Flamingos-2 | IMG | J,H,K | 14/09/19-15/06/30 | 5.5 | MM Knight | <u>_</u> |
| OCS/SDC BMG visible $11/0/23 - 16/07/14$ 6. D Kochmy D Generation CHT/MsgCam BMG gr $11/102 - 16/07/10$ 0.5 KJ Mesch D Generation VLT/SHCOTER SPEC $0.325 \pm \mu m$ $11/102 - 16/07/10$ 10.5 Csodgrass D Generation ATAPPST LOAD SPEC $0.307, 34.355$ GHz $15/07/1 - 15/07/2$ S H Nover D Generation D Generation <td>Gemini S/GMOS</td> <td>IMG</td> <td>g,r,i,z</td> <td>14/09/20-14/11/19</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>MM Knight</td> <td>_ _ _</td> | Gemini S/GMOS | IMG | g,r,i,z | 14/09/20-14/11/19 | 4.5 | MM Knight | _ _ _ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | OGS/SDC | IMG | visible | 14/09/21-16/07/04 | 4.6 | D Koschny | ·D |
| VLT/X8HOTER SPEC $3.25 \mu m$ $14/1/9 - 14/11/6$ 0.11 C Snodgrass SPEC SATU/SA Augustine - Tool ACD MG B.VKL (CAC_2B,CG.KE $15/0/16 - 16/0/07$ 21.2 Fehrin 10.11 C Snodgrass 10.11 C Snodgrass 10.11 11.11 10.11 | CFHT/MegaCam | IMG | g,r | 14/10/24-16/05/10 | 0.3 | KJ Meech | : = |
| TRAPPST 6.6m IMG B.VR,L (N.C.2B/G.C.R.C. 15/0/17 2.22 E Jehin 17 ALMA SPEC 23.307,343.355 GHz 15/0/17 15/0/17 5.8 N Bror 17 ALMA SPEC 23.307,343.355 GHz 15/0/17 15/0/17 16/0/17 5.8 N Bror 17 VIHT/ACAM IMG (SPEC I, 1, 1// 30-940 nm 15/0/17 16/0/16 8.1 A Finanmonov / C Sn.dgrass 17 TNC/NICS IMG griz. 15/0/18 16/0/16 8.7 C Sn.dgrass 17 TLT/LOO IMG griz. 15/0/18 16/0/16 8.7 C Sn.dgrass 17 COT 2.6n/CED IMG griz. 15/0/14 16/0/16 15.3 MM Knight 11 COT 2.6n/CED IMG griz. 15/0/14 16/0/16 16.6 G Piozi / C Sn.dgrass 170 COT 2.6n/CED IMG R.10 15/0/16 16.6 G Piozi / C Sn.dgrass 170 COT 2.6n/CED IMG R.10 | VLT/XSHOOTER | SPEC | 0.3-2.5 μm | 14/11/09-14/11/16 | 10.1 | C Snodgrass | ∶≚ |
| SATU/SA Augustine - Tuoria CCD MG R 15/08/17 400 H Lehto 170 ALMA SPEC 233-307,343-355 CHz 15/08/17 - 16/02/1 5.8 M Bror 15/08/17 - 16/02/1 5.8 M Bror 15/08/17 - 16/02/1 5.8 M Bror 15/08/17 - 16/02/1 15.8 15/08/17 - 16/02/1 15.8 15/08/17 - 16/02/1 15/08/17 - 16/02/1 15.8 15/08/17 - 16/02/1 15.8 15/08/17 - 16/02/1 15.8 15/08/17 - 16/02/1 15.8 15/08/17 - 16/06/11 22.4 C Snodgrass 17/02/1 15.9 11.8 17/02/1 15.9 11.8 17/02/1 15.9 11.8 17/02/1 15.9 11.8 17/02/1 15.9 11.8 11.9 11.0 | TRAPPIST 0.6m | IMG | B,V,R,I, CN,C2,BC,GC,RC | 15/04/18-16/06/07 | 22.2 | E Jehin | <u> </u> |
| ALMA SPEC 293-307, 343-355 CHz 15/05/17 - 15/07/15 5.8 N Biver 7.0 VIT/UVES SPEC 304-1040 nm 15/07/17 - 15/02/15 4.0 A Fitzsimmors / C Sondgrass CG VIT/LACAM IMG JL, L.1 15/07/17 - 15/02/15 4.0 A Fitzsimmors / C Sondgrass CG VIT/LOND IMG gL, z.1 15/07/19 - 15/07/11 2.4 C Sondgrass C Topoly UT/LOO IMG gL, z. 15/08/04 - 16/07/23 1.5 M M Knight C Topoly UT/LOO IMG gL, Z. 15/08/04 - 16/06/23 1.5 M M Knight C Topoly CCM1200/CCD IMG R, NB 387/43.614.62.684 nm 15/08/14 - 16/06/05 4.8 F Moreno T Dopoly CA 2.2m/CAD6 IMG R 15/08/14 - 16/06/05 4.8 F Moreno T Dopoly CA 2.2m/CAD6 IMG R 15/08/14 - 16/06/15 4.6 F Moreno T Dopoly CA 2.2m/CAD6 IMG R 2.0 A Fitzaimmors / C Sondgrass F C | SATU/St Augustine - Tuorla CCD | IMG | R | 15/04/23-15/06/17 | 4.0 | H Lehto | : 2 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | ALMA | SPEC | 293-307, 343-355 GHz | 15/05/17 - 15/09/27 | 5.8 | N Biver | • 🔁 |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | VET/UVES | SPEC | 304-1040 nm | 15/06/24 - 16/02/10 | 10.0 | E Jehin | ဗ္ |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | WHI/ACAM | IMG/SPEC | R,I, g,r,i / 350-940 nm | 15/07/07 - 16/06/28 | 4.0 | A Fitzsimmons / C Snodgrass | : <u>o</u> |
| ShELA/WIFSH'1 JMG $\xi_{2,k,\ell}$ $15/(V)/18 = h/(k)/(8)$ $39/$ C Snodgrass $17/(12)$ IRTP/CSHEL SPEC 1.5μ m $15/(r)^2 - 15/(v)^{-10} - 16/(h/13)$ 13 MA Knight $17/(12)$ IRTP/CSHEL SPEC 1.5μ m $15/(r)^2 - 15/(v)^{-10} - 15/(r)^{-10}$ $13/(r)^{-10} - 15/(r)^{-10} - 15/(r)^{-10}$ $13/(r)^{-10} - 15/(r)^{-10} - 15/(r)^{-10} - 15/(r)^{-10}$ Cansim/PARCD MG R $NN 387/443/614.642,684 nm$ $15/(8/11 - 16/(r)6/(r)^{-20} - 8/(r)^{-20} - 16/(r)^{-20} - 16/(r)^{$ | TNG/NICS | IMG | J,H,K | 15/07/11 - 15/12/13 | 5.6 | GP Tozzi / C Snodgrass | ംപ്പ |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | STELLA/WIFSIP1 | IMG | g,r,1,z | 15/07/18 - 16/06/08 | 39.7 | C Snodgrass | : - |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | ING | g,r,1,z | 15/07/19 - 16/06/11 | 22.4 | C Shodgrass | τ |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | IKIF/CSHELL Comini N/NIBI | SPEC | 1-5 µm | 15/07/26 - 15/07/31 | 3.9 | L Paganini | : 2 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | LCOCT 2 0m /CCD | ING | J,FI,K | 15/08/04 - 16/03/23 | 15.5 | T Lister | • • |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 2m BNAO Rozhon /FoRoRo2 | IMG | P NB 287 442 614 642 684 pm | 15/08/08 - 15/09/22 | 1.5 8.1 | C Baricay / P Nikolay | : |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | CA 2 2m /CAEOS | IMG | R, ND 567,445,014,042,004 IIII | 15/08/11 = 16/04/20 15/08/14 = 16/06/05 | 49.8 | E Moreno | : 2 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | CA 35m/MOSCA | IMG | R | 15/08/14 = 10/00/05 15/08/18 = 15/08/25 | 49.0 | F Moreno | : 벽 |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | TNG/DOLORES | IMG /SPEC | BVR / 300-843 pm | 15/08/18 - 16/06/06 | 16.6 | CP Tozzi / C Spodgrass | ីល |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Lowell 0.8m/NASAcam | IMG | R CN | 15/08/18 - 15/12/01 | 15.0 | MM Knight | • • |
| Wardelstein/2m ING gr.zi ISO (9721-16/05/09) 94.9 H Boehnhardt Wardelstein/0.4m IMG r.i 15/08/21-15/11/11 28 H Boehnhardt ISO (2000) Wardelstein/0.4m IMG r.i 15/08/21-16/01/12 18.5 C Snodgrass ISO (2000) Ur/LOTUS SPEC 320-630 nm 15/09/02-16/01/12 2.7 C Snodgrass ISO (2000) Urvell 1.In/Kron photometer PHOT OHNH,CX,C3,C2,UVC,BC,CC 15/09/21-15/10/15 2.0 DC Schleicher ISO (2000) RAM-30m/EMIR SPEC 34-037 mm 15/09/23-16/05/26 4.9 MM Knight / MSP Kelley / D Bodewits OCT/LMI IMG R.z,CX,OHJ,280/23 nm 15/09/23-16/02/10 5.0 C Snodgrass OTT/DS SPEC 1-25 µm 15/10/07-15/10/07 0.7 C Snodgrass OTT/VEC IMG B.j.j.z 15/10/9-16/11/12 3.0 C Snodgrass Ofin sub-mm receivers SPEC 0.254 mm 15/10/9-16/11/20 3.0 C Snodgrass URIG HARPS-N SPEC <td>WHT/ISIS</td> <td>SPEC</td> <td>300-1020 nm</td> <td>15/08/20 - 16/04/27</td> <td>7.5</td> <td>A Fitzsimmons / C Snodgrass</td> <td>: 7</td> | WHT/ISIS | SPEC | 300-1020 nm | 15/08/20 - 16/04/27 | 7.5 | A Fitzsimmons / C Snodgrass | : 7 |
| | Wendelstein/2m | IMG | g.r.i | 15/08/21 - 16/05/09 | 94.9 | H Boehnhardt | · * |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Wendelstein/0.4m | IMG | r.i | 15/08/21 - 15/11/11 | 29.8 | H Boehnhardt | <u> </u> |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | LT/SPRAT | SPEC | 400-800 nm | 15/09/04 - 16/01/12 | 1.85 | C Snodgrass | : 8 |
| Lowell 11m/Kron photometer PHOT OHNH,CN,C32,2UVC,BC,GC 15/09/12 - 15/10/15 2.0 DS Schleicher IRAM-30m/EMIR PBC 34-097 mm 15/09/18 - 15/09/18 - 15/09/22 8.0 N Biver OSN 152m/CCD IMG R,rCN,OH,BC,RC 15/09/22 - 15/11/28 13.0 F Moreno OCT/LMI IMG R,rCN,OH,BC,RC 15/09/23 - 16/05/26 4.9 MM Knight / MSP Kelley / D Bodewits GCT/OSIRIS IMG r,NB 545307.04,738/923 nm 15/09/29 - 16/02/10 5.9 C Snodgrass INT/IDS SPEC 300-610 nm 15/10/07 - 15/10/07 0.7 C Snodgrass / A fitzsimmons / SC Lowry INT/WFC IMG J.H.K 15/10/29 - 16/01/04 2.6 MM Knight I VHT7/LIRIS IMG J.H.K 15/11/09 - 15/11/23 3.0 C Snodgrass A fitzismmons / SC Lowry UHIT ME MG J.H.K 15/11/09 - 15/11/23 3.7 N Kiselev / V Rosenbush Odin sub-mm receivers SPEC 370-707 nm / R 15/11/19 - 16/01/06 8.3 ZY Lin TBL/Narval | LT/LOTUS | SPEC | 320-630 nm | 15/09/05-16/01/12 | 2.7 | C Snodgrass | : 2 |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Lowell 1.1m/Kron photometer | PHOT | OH,NH,CN,C3,C2,UVC,BC,GC | 15/09/12-15/10/15 | 2.0 | DG Schleicher | č |
| $\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | IRAM-30m/EMIR | SPEC | 3.4-0.97 mm | 15/09/18-15/09/22 | 8.0 | N Biver | ٠C |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | OSN 1.52m/CCD | IMG | R | 15/09/22-15/11/28 | 13.0 | F Moreno | \subseteq |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | DCT/LMI | IMG | R,r,CN,OH,BC,RC | 15/09/23-16/05/26 | 4.9 | MM Knight / MSP Kelley / D Bodewits | |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | GTC/OSIRIS | IMG | r,NB 514,530,704,738,923 nm | 15/09/29-16/02/10 | 5.9 | C Snodgrass | č |
| Gemini N/CNIRS SPEC $1-25 \ \mu m$ $15/10/14 - 16/01/04$ 2.6 MM Knight . INT/WFC IMG B,izz $15/10/14 - 16/01/23$ 3.0 C Snodgrass / A Fitzsimmons / SC Lowry WHT/LIRIS IMG J,H,K $15/10/29 - 16/01/23$ 3.0 C Snodgrass / A Fitzsimmons / SC Lowry 6m BTA SAO RAS/SCORPIO-2 IMG (SPEC/IPOL $gr / 350-707 \ nm / R$ $15/11/19 - 16/01/05$ 3.7 N Kiselev / V Rosenbush Odin sub-mm receivers SPEC $0.54 \ mm$ $15/11/19 - 16/01/06$ 8.3 N Biver Lijang (2.4m) IMG $R, NB OSIRIS set$ $15/11/19 - 16/01/06$ 8.3 Z V Lin TISI,/Narval SPEC $336 \cdot 039 \ nm$ $15/12/10 - 15/12/11$ 2.5 AK sen HCT (2m) IMG $k_1 \le 1000 \ nm$ $15/12/12 - 15/12/12$ 2.5 AK sen WHT /ISIS IPOL r $15/12/12 - 15/12/12$ $1.6 \ Macri R M Camb 15/12/12 - 15/12/12 8 \ Macri R M Camb VIT/PORS IPOL/PMOS R M & 85 \ nm / 400-950 \ nm 15/12/26 - 15/12/27 8 \ M C$ | INT/IDS | SPEC | 300-610 nm | 15/10/07 - 15/10/07 | 0.7 | C Snodgrass | : ~ |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Gemini N/GNIRS | SPEC | 1-2.5 μm | 15/10/14 - 16/01/04 | 2.6 | MM Knight | • |
| WH1/LIRIS IMG J.H.K 15/10/29 - 16/10/25 3.0 C Snodgrass 6m BTA SAO RAS/SCORPIO-2 IMG (SPEC/IPOL gr / 350-707 nm / R 15/11/08 - 16/04/05 3.7 N Kiseler / V Rosenbush Odin sub-mm receivers SPEC 0.54 mm 15/11/09 - 15/11/12 63.8 N Biver Lijiang (2.4m) IMG R, NB OSIRIS set 15/11/19 - 16/01/06 8.3 ZY Lin TNG/HARPS-N SPEC 336493 nm 15/12/10 - 15/12/10 0.3 C Snodgrass TBL/Naval SPEC 370-1000 nm 15/12/12 - 15/12/11 2.5 A K Sen OHP 80cm ING xi.5ible 15/12/12 - 15/12/12 2.5 A K Sen WHT/ISIS IPOL r 15/12/12 - 15/12/12 2.5 A K Sen NEOWISE IMG 34.4.6 μ m 15/12/21 - 16/05/23 0.1 A Mainzer / J Bauer VET/FORS IPOL r 15/12/22 - 15/12/27 8 A McKay VIT/FORS IMG R 16/01/10 - 16/05/28 0.1 A Mainzer / J Bauer | IN1/WFC | IMG | B,r,i,z | 15/10/14 - 16/06/21 | 56.1 | C Snodgrass / A Fitzsimmons / SC Lowry | : |
| bm BIA SAD RAS/SLORID-2 IMC/SFEC/IPOL gr / 350/-0/1 m/ / R 15/11/08 - 15/14/05 3.7 N Kiselev / V Rosenbush Lijiang (2.4m) IMG R, NB OSIRIS set 15/11/109 - 15/11/12 63.8 N Biver Lijiang (2.4m) IMG R, NB OSIRIS set 15/11/109 - 15/11/12 63.3 Z Y Lin TNG/HARPS-N SPEC 336-939 nm 15/12/10 - 15/12/11 2.3 J Lasue OHP 80cm IMG visible 15/12/10 - 15/12/12 2.5 AK Sen OHP 80cm IMG R,1 15/12/11 - 16/01/08 6.0 E Hadamcik HCT (2m) IMG R,4.6 μ m 15/12/12 - 15/12/12 2.5 AK Sen VECWISE IRG 3.4.6 μ m 15/12/12 - 16/03/11 18.0 C Snodgrass / S Bagnulo NEEWISE ING 3.4.6 μ m 15/12/12 - 16/03/14 8.2 S Bagnulo VET/FORS IPOL/PMOS R, NB 485 nm / 400-950 nm 16/01/10 - 16/03/04 8.2 S Bagnulo OSN 09m/CCD IMG r 16/01/10 - 16/03/04 1.5 S Fortopapa | WHI/LIKIS | IMG | J,H,K | 15/10/29 - 16/01/23 | 3.0 | C Snodgrass | |
| Consistent Description Description Description Description Lijiang (24m) ING R, NB OSDRIS set 15/11/19 - 16/01/06 8.3 Z Lin TNG, JHARPS-N SPEC 383-693 nm 15/12/09 - 15/12/10 2.3 J Lasue OHP 80cm IMG visible 15/12/10 - 15/12/11 2.3 J Lasue OHP 80cm IMG visible 15/12/11 - 16/01/08 6.0 E Hadamcik HCT (2m) IMG R, I 15/12/12 - 15/12/12 2.5 A K Sen NEOWISE IPOL r 15/12/12 - 15/12/12 2.5 A K Sen NEOWISE IMG 3.4.4.6 μ m 15/12/12 - 15/01/01/10 1.8 C Snograss / S Bagnulo VEL/FORS IPOL r 15/12/12 - 16/05/23 0.1 A Mainzer / J Bauer Keck/HIRES SPEC 350-1000 nm 15/12/12 - 16/05/24 0.1 A Mainzer / J Bauer LCOGT 1.0m/CCD IMG R 16/01/14 - 16/01/16 3.0 F Moreno LCOGT 1.0m/CCD IMG g. | 6m BTA SAO RAS/SCORPIO-2 | IMG/SPEC/IPOL | g,r / 350-707 nm / K | 15/11/08 - 16/04/05 | 3.7 | N KISELEV / V KOSENDUSN | |
| Lipsing (2-aii) Index K, NB OSIAS Sec. 15/12/10 = 16/12/09 6.3. C Find TIG/HARPS-N SPEC 336-693 nm 15/12/10 = 15/12/10 0.3. C Snodgrass TBL/Narval SPEC 370-1000 nm 15/12/10 = 15/12/11 2.3. J Lasue OHP 80cm IMG visible 15/12/10 = 15/12/12 2.5. AK Sen WHT/ISIS IPOL r 15/12/12 = 15/12/12 2.5. AK Sen WHT/ISIS IPOL r 15/12/12 = 15/12/12 2.5. AK Sen WEOWISE IMG 34.4.6 μ m 15/12/26 = 15/12/27 8. A McKay VILT/FORS IPOL r 15/12/26 = 15/12/27 8. A McKay VILT/FORS IPOL/PMOS R. M485 nm / 400-950 nm 15/01/10 = 16/03/04 8.2. S Bagnulo OSN 0.9m/CCD IMG R 8.45 nm / 400-950 nm 16/01/10 = 16/03/04 8.2. S Bagnulo ICCGT 1.0m/CCD IMG r 16/01/04 = 16/03/28 17.5 S Protopapa / Y Ramanjooloo < | Liliana (2.4m) | Brec DMC | D.54 IIIII D.NID.OCIDIC ant | 15/11/09 - 15/11/12 | 03.0 | TV Lin | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | TNC /HAPPS N | SPEC | 282 602 nm | 15/12/09 15/12/09 | 0.3 | C Spademan | |
| Index Discover Discover Discover Discover Discover OHP 80cm IMG visible $15/12/11 - 16/01/08$ 6.0 E Hadamcik HCT (2m) IMG R,I $15/12/12 - 15/12/12$ 2.5 A K Sen HCT (2m) IMG R,I $15/12/12 - 15/12/12$ 2.5 A K Sen NEOWISE IPOL r $15/12/12 - 15/03/11$ 18.0 C Snodgrass / S Bagnulo NEOWISE IMG $3.4.4.6 \mu m$ $15/12/21 - 16/05/23$ 0.1 A Mainzer / J Bauer Keck/HIRES SPEC 350-1000 nm $15/12/21 - 16/05/23$ 0.1 A Mainzer / J Bauer VIT/FORS IPOL/PMOS R, NB 485 nm / 400-950 nm $16/01/14 - 16/03/04$ 8.2 S Bagnulo OSN 0.9m/CCD IMG R $16/01/14 - 16/01/16$ 3.0 F Moreno LCCGT 1.0m/CCD IMG R $16/02/04 - 16/03/26$ 17.5 S Protopapa / Y Ramanjooloo Gemini N/GMOS IMG g.i.i.z $16/02/04 - 16/03/28$ 17.5 S Protopapa / Y Ramanjooloo < | TBI /Narval | SPEC | 370-1000 nm | 15/12/10 - 15/12/11 | 23 | LI acito | |
| HCT (2m) IMG R, I 15/12/12 2.5 AK Sen WHT (XBIS IPOL r 15/12/12 2.5 AK Sen WHT (XBIS IPOL r 15/12/12 2.5 AK Sen WEOWISE IPOL r 15/12/18 16/02/12 2.5 AK Sen MEOWISE IPOL r 15/12/18 16/02/11 18.0 C Snodgrass / S Bagnulo Keck/HIRES SPEC 350-1000 nm 15/12/26 15/12/27 8 A McKay VLT/FORS IPOL/PMOS R, JB 485 nm / 400-950 nm 16/01/10 16/03/04 8.2 S Bagnulo UCOGT 10m/CCD IMG r 16/01/10 16/03/06 1.3 T Lister RTF/SPEX SPEC 0.8-2.5 μ m 16/02/16 16/03/07 9.0 A Guilbert-Lepoutre VLT/MUSE SPEC 455-930 nm 16/03/03 16/03/07 7.4 A Guilbert-Lepoutre VLT/MUSE SPEC H+K 16/03/08 1.1 MYagi < | OHP 80cm | IMG | visible | 15/12/11 - 16/01/08 | 6.0 | F Hadamcik | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | HCT (2m) | IMG | RJ | 15/12/12 - 15/12/12 | 2.5 | AK Sen | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccc} {\rm NEGWISE} & {\rm InG} & 3.4.4.6\ \mu {\rm m} & 15/12/21 - 16/05/23 & 0.1 & {\rm A} {\rm Mainzer} / {\rm J} {\rm Bauer} \\ {\rm Keck/HIRES} & {\rm SPEC} & 350-1000\ {\rm nm} & 15/12/26 - 15/12/27 & 8 & {\rm A} {\rm McKay} \\ {\rm VII/FORS} & {\rm IPOL/PMOS} & {\rm R} {\rm NB} 485\ {\rm nm} / 400-950\ {\rm nm} & 16/01/10 - 16/03/04 & 8.2 & {\rm S} {\rm Bagnulo} \\ {\rm OSN} 0.9m/{\rm CCD} & {\rm ING} & {\rm R} & {\rm M} 485\ {\rm nm} / 400-950\ {\rm nm} & 16/01/14 - 16/01/16 & 3.0 & {\rm F} {\rm Moreno} \\ {\rm LCOGT} 1.0m/{\rm CCD} & {\rm ING} & {\rm r} & 16/01/30 - 16/03/06 & 1.3 & {\rm T} {\rm Lister} \\ {\rm IRTF}/{\rm SPEX} & {\rm SPEC} & 0.8-2.5\ {\rm \mu m} & 16/02/04 - 16/03/28 & 17.5 & {\rm S} {\rm Potopapa} / {\rm Y} {\rm Ramanjooloo} \\ {\rm Gemini}\ {\rm N}/{\rm GMOS} & {\rm IMG} & {\rm g.}{\rm xi.z} & 16/02/16 - 16/05/28 & 2.0 & {\rm MM} {\rm Knight} \\ {\rm VII/SINFONI} & {\rm SPEC} & 456-930\ {\rm nm} & 16/03/03 - 16/03/07 & 9.0 & {\rm A} {\rm Guilbert-Lepoutre} \\ {\rm VII/SINFONI} & {\rm SPEC} & {\rm H+K} & 16/03/08 - 16/03/08 & 1.1 & {\rm M} {\rm Yagi} \\ {\rm Subaru}/{\rm HSC} & {\rm ING} & {\rm r} {\rm SC}_{\rm g} (480\ {\rm nm}) & 16/03/08 - 16/03/08 & 1.1 & {\rm M} {\rm Yagi} \\ {\rm Spitzer} & {\rm IMG} & {\rm r} {\rm Sc}_{\rm g} (480\ {\rm nm}) & 16/03/08 - 16/05/10 & 1.5 & {\rm A} {\rm Fitzimmons} \\ {\rm Spitzer} & {\rm IMG} & {\rm R} & 16/07/09 - 16/05/10 & 1.5 & {\rm A} {\rm Fitzimmons} \\ {\rm VII}/{\rm MOS} & {\rm IMG} & {\rm R} & 16/09/09 - 16/05/10 & 1.5 & {\rm A} {\rm Fitzimmons} \\ {\rm NTI}/{\rm EPOC} & {\rm IMG} & {\rm r} & {\rm m} & 16/09/09 - 16/05/10 & 1.5 & {\rm A} {\rm Fitzimmons} \\ {\rm NTI}/{\rm EPOC} & {\rm IMG} & {\rm r} & 16/09/09 - 16/05/10 & 0.5 & {\rm A} {\rm Fitzimmons} \\ {\rm NT}/{\rm EPOC} & {\rm IMG} & {\rm r} & 16/09/09 - 16/05/10 & 0.5 & {\rm A} {\rm Fitzimmons} \\ {\rm NOF} {\rm Melley} & {\rm NOF} {\rm Conderas} \\ {\rm NOF} {\rm Melley} & {\rm NOF} {\rm Melley} \\ {\rm NOF} {\rm Melley} & {\rm NOF} {\rm Melley} \\ {\rm NOF} {\rm MOF} {\rm MOE} & {\rm NOF} {\rm MOE} \\ {\rm MOF} & {\rm MOF} {\rm MOE} & {\rm MOF} {\rm MOE} \\ {\rm MOF} {\rm MOE} & {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} & {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} \\ {\rm MOF} {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} & {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} \\ {\rm MOE} & {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} & {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} \\ {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE} {\rm MOE$ | WHT/ISIS | IPOL | r | 15/12/18-16/03/11 | 18.0 | C Snodgrass / S Bagnulo | |
| Keck/HIRES SPEC 350-1000 nm 15/12/26 - 15/12/27 8 A McKay VIT/FORS IPOL/PMOS R, NB 485 nm / 400-950 nm 16/01/14 - 16/01/16 3.0 F Moreno LCOCT 1.0m/CCD IMG r 16/01/14 - 16/01/16 3.0 F Moreno LCOCT 1.0m/CCD IMG r 16/01/14 - 16/01/16 3.0 F Moreno LCOCT 1.0m/CCD IMG r 16/01/30 - 16/03/06 1.3 T Lister Gemini N/GMOS IMG g.ri.z 16/02/16 - 16/05/28 2.0 MM Knight VIT/SINFONI SPEC 465-930 nm 16/03/03 - 16/03/07 9.0 A Guilbert-Lepoutre Subaru/HSC IMG H+K 16/03/08 - 16/03/08 1.1 M Yagi Subaru/HSC IMG r 16/03/13 - 16/03/08 1.3 Y Ramanjooloo Spitzer IMG r 16/03/08 - 16/03/07 7.4 A Guilbert-Lepoutre Spitzer IMG r 16/03/08 - 16/03/08 1.3 M SP Kelley VIT/VIMOS IMG r | NEOWISE | IMG | 3.4,4.6 µm | 15/12/21-16/05/23 | 0.1 | A Mainzer / J Bauer | |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | Keck/HIRES | SPEC | 350-1000 nm | 15/12/26-15/12/27 | 8 | A McKay | |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | VLT/FORS | IPOL/PMOS | R, NB 485 nm / 400-950 nm | 16/01/10-16/03/04 | 8.2 | S Bagnulo | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | OSN 0.9m/CCD | IMG | R | 16/01/14-16/01/16 | 3.0 | F Moreno | |
| IRTF/SPEX SPEC $0.8-2.5 \ \mu m$ $16/02/04 - 16/03/28$ 17.5 S Protopapa / Y Ramanjooloo Gemini N/GMOS IMG $g.t.z$ $16/02/04 - 16/03/28$ 17.5 S Protopapa / Y Ramanjooloo VLT/MUSE SPEC $465-930 \ nm$ $16/03/03 - 16/03/07$ 9.0 A Guilbert-Lepoutre VLT/SINFONI SPEC $465-930 \ nm$ $16/03/03 - 16/03/07$ 7.4 A Guilbert-Lepoutre Subaru/HSC IMG HSC-g ($480 \ nm$) $16/03/08 - 16/03/08$ 1.1 M Yagi IRTF/MORIS IMG r $16/03/13 - 16/03/28$ 1.35 Y Ramanjooloo Spitzer IMG 3.6,4.5 μ m $16/03/18 - 16/05/18$ 1.3 MSP Kelley VLT/VIMOS IMG R $16/05/09 - 16/05/10$ 1.5 A Fitzsimmons NTT/EPOSC IMG r $16/07/29 - 16/07/29$ 0.3 P Lacerda Kepler IMG visible $16/09/08 - 16/09/202$ 28.8 C Snoderass | LCOGT 1.0m/CCD | IMG | r | 16/01/30-16/03/06 | 1.3 | T Lister | |
| Germin IV,CMOS IMG g,t,i.z 16/02/16 - 16/05/28 2.0 MM Knight VLT/MUSE SPEC 465-930 nm 16/03/07 9.0 A Guilbert-Lepoutre VLT/SINFONI SPEC H+K 16/03/03 - 16/03/07 7.4 A Guilbert-Lepoutre Subaru/HSC IMG HSC-g (480 nm) 16/03/08 - 16/03/08 1.1 M Yagi IRTF/MORIS IMG r 16/03/13 - 16/03/28 13.5 Y Ramanjooloo Spitzer IMG 3.6.4.5 μ m 16/04/08 - 16/05/10 1.5 A Felley VLT/VIMOS IMG R 16/07/29 - 16/07/10 1.5 A Fitzimmons NTT/EFOSC IMG r 16/07/29 - 16/07/29 0.3 P Lacerda Kepler IMG visible 16/09/08 - 16/05/10 3.8 C Snoderass | IRTF/SPEX | SPEC | 0.8-2.5 μm | 16/02/04 - 16/03/28 | 17.5 | S Protopapa / Y Ramanjooloo | |
| VLI / MUSE SPEC 465-930 nm 16/U3/07 9.0 A Guilbert-Lepoutre VLI / SINFONI SPEC H+K 16/03/03 - 16/03/07 7.4 A Guilbert-Lepoutre Subaru/HSC IMG HSC-g (480 nm) 16/03/08 - 16/03/08 1.1 M Yagi IRTF/MORIS IMG r 16/03/18 - 16/03/28 13.5 Y Ramanjooloo Spitzer IMG 3.6,4.5 µm 16/05/09 - 16/05/08 1.3 MSP Kelley VLT/VIMOS IMG R 16/05/09 - 16/05/10 1.5 A Fitzsimmons NTT/EFOSC IMG r 16/07/29 - 16/07/29 0.3 P Lacerda Kepler IMG visible 16/09/08 - 16/09/20 28.0 C Snoderass | Gemini N/GMOS | IMG | g,r,i,z | 16/02/16-16/05/28 | 2.0 | MM Knight | |
| VL1/SINFONI 5PEC H+K $16/U3/U5$ $7/4$ A Guilbert-Lepoutre Subaru/HSC IMG HSC-g (480 nm) $16/03/08$ 1.1 M Yagi IRTF/MORIS IMG HSC-g (480 nm) $16/03/08$ 1.3 M Yagi spitzer IMG $3.64.5 \ \mu m$ $16/03/16 \ -16/05/08$ 1.3 MSP Kelley VL1/VIMOS IMG R $16/05/09 - 16/05/10$ 1.5 A Fitzsimmons NTT/EFOSC IMG r $16/07/29 - 16/07/29$ 0.3 P Lacerda Kepler IMG visible $16/09/08 - 16/09/20$ 2.80 C Snoderass | VLI/MUSE | SPEC | 465-930 nm | 16/03/03 - 16/03/07 | 9.0 | A Guibert-Lepoutre | |
| Subarty rise. ING Fise-g (480 nm) 16/U3/U8 1.1 M Tag IRTF/MORIS IMG r 16/03/13-16/03/28 13.5 Y Ramanjooloo Spitzer IMG 3.6,4.5 μm 16/04/08-16/05/08 1.3 MSP Kelley VLT/VIMOS IMG R 16/05/09-16/05/10 1.5 A Fitzsimmons NTT/EFOSC IMG r 16/09/09-16/07/29 0.3 P Lacerda Kepler IMG visible 16/09/08-16/09/20 28.8 C Snoderass | VLI/SINFUNI Subami/USC | SPEC | H+K HCC = (480 mm) | 16/03/03 - 16/03/07 | 7.4 | A Guidert-Lepoutre | |
| IATE/ MONDS ING r 16/05/10 - 16/05/26 15.5 r Kamanjooloo Spitzer IMG 3.6.5 µm 16/04/08 - 16/05/08 1.3 MSP Kelley VLT/VIMOS IMG R 16/05/09 - 16/05/10 1.5 A Fitzsimmons NTT/EFOSC IMG r 16/07/29 - 16/07/29 0.3 P Lacerda Kepler IMG visible 16/09/08 - 16/07/29 0.3 C Snoderass | Subaru/HSC | ING | H5C-g (480 nm) | 16/03/08 - 16/03/08 | 1.1 | Ni ragi V Bamaniaalaa | |
| Spritzer invo 5.0,π.2 μm 10/04/109 - 10/05/00 1.5 whSr Kelley VLT/VIMOS IMG R 16/07/29 - 16/07/10 A Fitzismmons NTT/EFOSC IMG r 16/07/29 - 16/07/29 0.3 P Lacerda Kepler IMG visible 16/09/08 - 16/09/20 28.8 C Snoderass | IKIF/WUKIS | IMG | 1 3645.um | 16/03/13 - 16/03/28 | 13.5 | 1 Kallan MSP Kallan | |
| NTT/EFOSC IMG r 16/09/09 - 16/07/29 0.3 P fuzimition NTT/EFOSC IMG r 16/07/29 - 16/07/29 0.3 P Lacerda Kepler IMG visible 16/09/08 - 16/09/20 288.0 C Snoderass | VIT/VIMOS | IMG | ο.ο.μ.ο. μπι R | 16/04/00 = 16/03/08 16/05/09 = 16/05/10 | 1.5 | Δ Fitzeimmone | |
| Kepler IMG visible $16(9)/20 = 16(9)/20 = C \text{ Solutions}$ | NTT/FFOSC | IMG | r | 16/07/29 = 16/07/29 | 0.3 | P Lacerda | |
| | Kepler | IMG | visible | 16/09/08 - 16/09/20 | 288.0 | C Snodgrass | |

Notes: ToT = time on target (hours). Techniques are IMG = imaging, PHOT = photometry, SPEC = spectroscopy, IPOL = imaging polarimetry, PMOS = spectropolarimetry. Filters in letters for standard bands, with lowercase (griz) indicating SDSS type filters and upper case (BVRI) indicating Johnson/Cousins types. NB = narrowband (followed by central wavelengths), some cometary narrowband filters labelled by name (e.g. CN around CN emission band). Wavelength range given for spectroscopy, in typical unit (nm for visible, μ m for near-IR, etc.).

beginning of detectable activity through 2014, up until the Philae landing in November [24], which coincided with the end of the 2014 visibility window from Earth. The comet became brighter as it approached perihelion in August 2015, and was observed by a wide range of facilities through the main visibility window in parallel with Rosetta, which stretched from April 2015 until August 2016. The visibility windows around the Rosetta mission are shown in fig. 1, and a summary of all observations in the coordinated campaign is given in table 1. More detailed information on the observations can be found on the online log of observations at http://www.rosetta-campaign.net/observations. The broad geographical spread

6



Figure 2. Map of locations of contributing observatories.

of participating observatories is illustrated in fig. 2. Totalling the (approximate) time on target from each set of observations, we calculate that \sim 1300 hours of telescope time were dedicated to observing comet 67P during the Rosetta mission.

In 2014 we were mainly limited to larger telescopes, the 8 m VLT and Gemini-S, due to the comet's faintness and Southern declination. There were also observations using the 2.5 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) on the island of La Palma, which has the advantage of being able to point to low elevations, and was therefore one of the only telescopes able to follow the comet over the full observability range from both hemispheres [25]. In the second quarter of 2015 the comet was briefly visible from Southern sites again, before being a Northern hemisphere target through perihelion, although visibility was limited to a short window before sunrise from any given site.

Around perihelion robotic telescopes played a large part in the campaign, as these are ideal for obtaining regular short observations [26]. One of the key robotic contributors to the campaign was the 0.6 m TRAPPIST telescope at La Silla observatory in Chile [27], which is dedicated to monitoring comets (and extrasolar planets). A larger robotic facility, the 2 m Liverpool Telescope (LT) on La Palma [28], was able to provide spectroscopic monitoring using a new instrument specially designed and commissioned for this observing campaign, LOTUS [29]. The LT observations were performed as part of a large International Time Programme across six Canary Island telescopes, which enabled a wide range of observations to be taken with various techniques (broad- and narrow-band imaging, spectroscopy, polarimetry) across the visible and near-IR wavelengths. Near-IR observations were also taken at the NASA IRTF facility on Hawaii and over a long period at the Gemini telescopes, while even longer wavelength observations were possible with the Spitzer and NEOWISE space telescopes in the IR and sub-mm arrays on Earth, including ALMA, near to perihelion. Meanwhile spectroscopic observations continued at the ESO VLT over as wide a time range as possible, despite some very challenging weather in 2016 in Chile. Many other facilities contributed imaging monitoring observations while the comet was relatively bright and well placed in late 2015 and the first half of 2016, with the Wendelstein observatory in Germany [30], Calar Alto observatory in Spain, ESA's optical ground station on Tenerife, the Lulin observatory in Taiwan and the Lowell Observatory in the USA providing regular and world-wide coverage.

As Rosetta entered its extended mission in 2016 the comet was increasingly visible all night, although fading as it retreated from the Sun, and was targeted with wide-field imagers, including

a serendipitous deep and wide observation with the 8 m Subaru telescope (Hyper Suprime-Cam) on Hawaii, and with integral field unit spectrographs (MUSE and SINFONI at the VLT), to investigate compositional variations across the gas and dust coma. The dust coma was further investigated by measuring its polarisation as the observing geometry changed, using various facilities including the Russian 6 m, the 2 m at Rohzen observatory in Bulgaria, the 4 m William Herschel Telescope on La Palma, the HST, and the VLT. Finally, as the Rosetta mission reached its end in September 2016, a last set of remote imaging observations was collected by the NASA Kepler satellite, as the comet happened to be crossing the survey field of this facility in the weeks before the end of mission, after it was no longer visible from Earth.

3. Large scale morphology

The appearance of the comet changed significantly during the campaign, as it went from inactive, through perihelion, and then as the activity faded as it retreated from the Sun. A selection of images that illustrate the general appearance of the comet is shown in fig. 3.

The earliest observations in the campaign (in 2013 and early 2014) showed a point source, an apparently inactive nucleus, although photometry and observations from Rosetta/OSIRIS indicated that detectable activity began early in 2014, when the comet was more than 4 au from the Sun [24,31]. The comet became visibly active during 2014, showing a short tail at least 10 arcseconds long, corresponding to 25 000 km at the distance of the comet, by the time of the Philae landing in November.

When the comet was again visible from Earth in 2015 it was considerably brighter, with a teardrop shape and a long tail (\sim 70" / 120 000 km), showing a similar appearance through perihelion. The apparent tail length increased as the comet continued to brighten, and also as it became visible in darker skies. As the comet began to retreat from the Sun it took on a distinct aspect, similar to that shown on previous orbits, with a broad coma and a clear narrow tail (possibly a socalled 'neck-line', composed of dust released 180° in true anomaly before the date of observation), along with a very long dust trail tracing its orbit. It maintained this appearance until the end of the campaign, although fading as it reached \sim 3.5 au from the Sun by the end of observations in 2016.

The long dust trail was particularly apparent in wide field images obtained in early 2016, when the comet was well placed for deep imaging. Figure 4 shows a mosaic taken with the wide field camera on the 2.5 m INT on La Palma, with each L-shaped field-of-view covering approximately half a degree on a side. The trail can be traced to over 10⁷ km from the comet, but is seen to be at a slightly different angle from the tail/neck-line feature that is brighter closer to the comet. The 'two tails' (trail and neck-line) are also apparent in deep and wide-field images obtained serendipitously with the 8 m Subaru telescope on Mauna Kea, in observations on 2016/03/08 using the new Hyper Suprime-Cam, which is a mosaic imager with a 1.5 degree field of view (fig. 5). Detailed modelling of these structures is still to be done, but it is clear that the trail and neck-line become more apparent post-perihelion. Finson-Probstein models [32,33] indicate that the narrow tail structure should contain old dust; for example in early November 2015 it should be dust that is at least 400 days old, released long before perihelion [30].

It is worth noting that only dust tails (or trails) were observed. Despite dedicated searches there was no ion tail feature seen. The observing geometry (and the low inclination of 67P's orbit) meant that such observations were always challenging, but it seems that any ion features near to the comet were too faint to separate from the dust, and further away could not be detected even in the deepest images. While the comet was relatively bright a number of observations were made through narrowband filters, either special cometary filters from the Hale-Bopp set [34], or by selecting suitable bandpasses from larger narrowband sets (e.g. for the 10 m Gran Telescopio Canarias [GTC]). At the 1 m Lulin Optical Telescope (LOT) in Taiwan and at the 2.4 m at Lijiang in China, copies of the same narrowband filter set flown on Rosetta/OSIRIS [35] were used to observe the comet from the ground, providing a direct comparison between the inner 10s of km seen from the spacecraft and the whole coma. Preliminary results from narrowband imaging do



Figure 3. R-band Images of the comet, 1 arcminute on each side. Arrows indicate the direction of the orbital velocity (v) and Sun (o) directions, i.e. opposite the expected direction of the dust trail and ion tail respectively. Image dates, telescopes and exposure times: 2014/02/27, VLT/FORS, 10x50s; 2014/07/01, VLT/FORS, 31x50s; 2014/10/22, VLT/FORS, 39x50s; 2015/05/21, VLT/FORS, 2x30s; 2015/07/18, LT/IO:O, 10x20s; 2015/10/07, LT/IO:O, 9x15s; 2016/01/10, LT/IO:O, 3x120s; 2016/03/10, LT/IO:O, 14x180s; 2016/06/03, LT/IO:O, 3x180s. May 2015 image shows reflection from bright star out of FOV (above comet).

not reveal obvious differences between gas and dust morphologies, other than the large scale gas coma being more symmetrical with no tail seen, but the observations generally have relatively poor S/N and analysis is ongoing. Photometry from these images can be used to derive gas production rates, which are consistent with spectroscopy results (see section 4), and (in the case of the LOT/Lijiang data) will be used to make direct comparisons with the Rosetta/OSIRIS gas observations [36,37].

The morphology within the coma on 10^3 – 10^5 km scales is more complex than the large scale tail/trails picture. Various image enhancement techniques can be used to reveal structure within the coma, and a similar pattern is visible in different data sets and using different techniques. A stable pattern of fans or jets is seen using either Larson-Sekanina [38] processing or subtraction of an azimuthal median profile (fig. 6). Although we refer to these structures as jets, they may



Figure 4. Wide field image taken with the 2.5 m INT in March 2016, showing the long trail (approximately 2 degrees).



Figure 5. Wide field image taken with the 8 m Subaru telescope and the Hyper Suprime-Cam, taken on 2016/03/08 when the comet was at 2.5 au from the Sun. Left: Full field of view of HSC (single frame), showing the region containing the comet. Top right: Extracted comet region (62.3 imes 14.2 arcmin), total of 10 imes 6 minute exposures stacked. Bottom right: same images median combined after shifting to account for comet motion.

projections of broader dust flows (fans), and do not necessarily relate to the narrow jets seen in Rosetta images of the inner coma [39]. This pattern showed a slow evolution [30,40,41], with the relative intensity of the different jets approximately following the changing seasons on the comet - the Southern structures are brighter around perihelion when this hemisphere of the nucleus is illuminated [42]. Preliminary analysis of coma morphology seen throughout the apparition is consistent with predictions [15] for the source regions and pole solution [Vincent, priv. comm.], indicating that these jets are features that reappear each orbit [30,40,43].

rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org Phil. Trans. R. Soc0000000



Figure 6. Left: 'Jets' in the coma (labelled J1, J2), as seen from the 6 m BTA telescope of the SAO (Russia), on 2015/11/08. Image is \sim 100,000 km across. **Right:** Enhanced Gemini NIRI *J*-band images of the comet monthly from August 2015 through January 2016 (date give as YYMMDD). Images are centred on the comet and an azimuthal median profile has been subtracted to reveal the fainter underlying structure. At times (August, January) two distinct structures can be discerned that match those labelled J1, J2 in the SAO image while at other times they overlap to appear as a single larger structure towards the southeast. All images have the same colour scheme with red/orange bright and blue/purple/black faint, but different colour scales. Each image is 50,000 km on a side and has north up and east to the left. The Sun and the direction of the comet's orbital velocity are towards the southeast in all panels, and do not change significantly over this period (fig. 3). The red blob within a few pixels of the centre in all panels is an artifact of the enhancement; trailed stars can be seen as streaks in August, October, and January.

Further analysis of the shape of the coma reveals evidence for a short-lived change (outburst) in late August 2015, around the time of peak activity post-perihelion, and a change in the slope of the coma profile indicating possible dust fragmentation [30]. Observations with the HST revealed differences in polarisation within the jets compared with the background coma [44]. Finally, models can be employed to recreate the coma morphology based on assumptions on dust properties. In the case of 67P, where in situ instruments provide many constraints on these properties, detailed models have linked the 2014 observations and early OSIRIS observations [45] and will further investigate the changing morphology with time [46].

4. Composition

From the ground, the composition of comets (or any astrophysical object) is generally probed by spectroscopy. The solid components of the comet (its nucleus and dust coma) have similar and generally featureless spectra, reflecting sunlight back with a red slope in the visible range and a more neutral reflectance spectrum in the near-IR. The spectrum of the dust coma seen early in the mission matched Rosetta/VIRTIS observations of the nucleus [24,47]. As the comet approached perihelion Rosetta observations revealed some variation across the surface, including exposed ice patches. Near-IR spectroscopy with Gemini-N (GNIRS) and the NASA IRTF (SpeX) was obtained with the goal to look for and characterise the signatures of water-ice grains in the coma as previously obtained in the much more active comet 103P/Hartley 2 [48]. Analyses of the GNIRS and SpeX data are ongoing [49].

The gas coma of comets is far more revealing, as emission features from various species can be measured across all possible wavelengths, for bright enough comets. Rosetta's own remote



Figure 7. Spectrum taken with the blue arm of ISIS on the WHT, on 2015/08/19, with the comet just past perihelion. The narrow red line shows a scaled solar analogue (i.e. the continuum/dust signal) for comparison. The strongest emission bands are identified.

sensing spectrograph suite observed the gas coma from the UV through to the sub-mm (ALICE, VIRTIS, MIRO), detecting water already from June 2014 onwards and also mapping CO₂, OH and CN, among other species [50–52]. From the ground we were mostly limited to observations of 67P in the visible range, where emissions from so-called 'daughter' species are seen (e.g. fig. 7). Using the detection of these species to probe the composition of the parent ices in the nucleus requires the use of photochemistry models, which Rosetta presents a unique opportunity to test (by comparing these observations with in situ measurements of parent gasses escaping directly from the nucleus). The spectrum in fig. 7 was taken with the ISIS spectrograph on the WHT within a week of perihelion, and shows a fairly typical comet emission pattern, with obvious OH and CN bands, and weaker C_2 , C_3 and NH features. The intensity of C_2 and C_3 in spectra of 67P recorded in this campaign is relatively low (compared with the strong CN band), placing 67P in the carbon-chain depleted class of comet, in agreement with earlier observations [53].

Longer wavelength and/or higher resolution spectroscopy was possible when the comet was at its brightest. High-resolution near-IR spectroscopy, useful to separate cometary water emission lines from the terrestrial atmosphere, was attempted close to perihelion (2015/07/26-31) in good conditions with CSHELL on the IRTF, but resulted only in (3 σ) upper limits of $Q(H_2O) \le 5.1 \times 10^{27}$ molecules s⁻¹ and $Q(C_2H_6) \le 9.9 \times 10^{25}$ molecules s⁻¹, assuming a rotational temperature of 40 K. These limits were close to the total water production interpolated from Rosetta results (~ 3.9×10^{27} molecules s⁻¹ for late July [54]), suggesting a detection was just out of reach. At longer IR wavelengths Spitzer/IRAC [55,56] and WISE [57] photometry can be used to estimate the production rate of CO₂ [58–60], another major parent species in the coma. The comet's CO₂-to-dust ratio at 2.8 to 3.0 AU (post-perihelion) appeared relatively low compared with other comets observed at similar distances in the same survey [61]. Figure 8 shows the four Spitzer epochs median combined into a single image. An asymmetry in the 4.5 μ m coma due



Figure 8. Spitzer/IRAC images of the comet at 3.6 and 4.5 μ m (left and center). The CO₂ coma (right) is apparent after the 3.6 μ m image (dust) is subtracted from the 4.5 μ m image (dust and gas). Celestial North (N), the projected orbital velocity (v), and the projected direction of the Sun (\odot) are marked with arrows. Each image is approximately 200 000 km on a side.

to emission from the CO₂ ν_3 band at 4.26 μ m suggests the production of this gas is dominated by the southern hemisphere, similar to Rosetta/VIRTIS and Rosetta/ROSINA observations from elsewhere in the orbit [62–64]. Observations were also carried out in the sub-mm range, using the large ground-based facilities IRAM and ALMA to detect HCN (a parent of CN) and CH₃OH at rates of ~ 9 × 10²⁴ and ~ 2 × 10²⁶ molecules s⁻¹, respectively, in September 2015. From above Earth's atmosphere, observations with the Odin satellite in November 2015 searched for a water signature, but were only able to give upper limits ($Q(H_2O) \le 3.3 \times 10^{27}$ molecules s⁻¹), again close to the Rosetta value at that time [54].

5. Polarimetry

Polarimetry, and more specifically linear polarisation imaging and phase curves, provides evidence for changes in dust physical properties and gives clues to size and morphology of the dust particles (see, e.g., ref [65]). Polarimetric images of 67P have been obtained from the HST ACS/WFC in 2014, 2015 and 2016. In August and November 2014, the comet, still far away from the Sun, was observed at low galactic latitudes; for a phase angle about 15.5°, the average polarisation was nominal, about -2% [66]. Three months after perihelion, in November 2015, the comet was still quite active, with conspicuous structures in intensity; for a phase angle about 33°, the average polarisation was in agreement with what had been noticed at the previous passage [12], above average values, suggesting significant changes in the properties of dust aggregates ejected by the comet after perihelion [44,67]. Polarimetric images have also been obtained from the VLT, the WHT, Rohzen observatory, and BTA, the 6 m Russian telescope, between August 2015 and April 2016. The polarisation maps (fig. 9) provide evidence for different properties (e.g. size, shape, porosity) in the dust particles across the coma [68].

6. Total activity

One of the fundamental measurements provided by the Earth-based view of 67P was an assessment of the 'total' activity of the comet, which is an important reference for Rosetta results. Activity measurements from the spacecraft necessarily depend on various models, to reconstruct the global activity from a local measurement at one position inside the coma. Reassuringly, attempts to compare the measurements from various instruments with the ground-based total activity view produce largely consistent results (including between different Rosetta instruments,



Figure 9. Distribution of linear polarisation (P, %) in comet 67P (left: coma and tail; right: zoom in on coma). Observation obtained with SAO 6 m telescope on 2015/11/08.

although there are some differences between ROSINA and VIRTIS), suggesting that the models used to interpret local measurements are valid [54,69].

The total activity of the comet can be measured in various ways from the ground, looking at the dust or gas coma. The total dust activity is easiest to follow, and can be assessed using broadband photometry (typically *R*-band, to avoid contamination in the bandpass by gas emissions). Archival imaging was used to measure the total activity in previous orbits [23], and make predictions for 2014-2016, and the same sort of measurements were applied to the campaign data: We measure the total brightness of the comet in *R*-band within a constant circular aperture of radius $\rho = 10\,000$ km at the distance of the comet. Some observations were taken with Johnson or Cousins *R*-band filters, while others used the r' filter of the SDSS system (and VLT/FORS observations used the 'R_SPECIAL' filter that is somewhere between these). All photometry was calibrated onto the Cousins *R* (Landolt) photometric scale, using transformations from the SDSS system and the $(g - r) = 0.62 \pm 0.04$ colour of the comet measured with the LT near perihelion where necessary [26]. This allowed direct comparison with predictions.

We show the measured total brightness of the comet in *R*-band in fig. 10, along with the prediction from three previous orbits. It is immediately clear that the comet's brightness followed the prediction very well, implying that the activity level of the comet is consistent from one orbit to the next. It is also clear that the campaign resulted in very complete coverage of the 2014-2016 period, with regular observations whenever it was possible to obtain them. The peak in activity in late August (~2 weeks after perihelion) is obvious. There are subtle differences between data sets taken with different filters (R vs r'), implying a possible change of colour in the coma with time. The change in colour is small and has not yet been studied in detail, but likely relates to the changing gas production [26,30].There are no large outbursts or other sudden brightness changes, and the phase function assumed in the prediction (a linear phase function with $\beta = 0.02$



Figure 10. Total *R*-band magnitude of the comet, compared with prediction from previous orbits (solid line). Solar elongation is indicated with hatching as in fig. 1.

mag deg⁻¹) clearly gives a decent fit over the range of phase angles seen from Earth ($\alpha \leq 35^{\circ}$). The simple power law dependencies on heliocentric distance on which the predictions are based (flux $\propto r^{-5.2}$ pre-perihelion and $\propto r^{-5.4}$ post-perihelion [23]) can be used to give a good first order description of the dust brightness. Just before perihelion the observed brightness appears to be slightly below the prediction, but this is probably an effect of the peak in activity being offset slightly from perihelion, which isn't considered in the simple power law model [26]. In terms of the widely used $Af\rho$ parameter for quantifying cometary activity [70], we find that the peak in activity was around $Af\rho \approx 1000$ cm. The Wendelstein data support an $Af\rho$ power law dependence on heliocentric distance with an exponent of -3.7 to -4.2, depending on the phase function assumed, using data from mid-September to the end of December 2015 [30]. This is close to the $Af\rho \propto r^{-3.4}$ post-perihelion from the prediction paper, and within the range of previous determinations discussed there [23].

The total activity can also be measured in terms of gas production rates. The most abundant species released by the comet is water, but this is difficult to measure from the ground only comets considerably brighter than 67P can be regularly observed with high resolution spectroscopy to separate cometary water emission lines (e.g. in the near-IR) from the terrestrial atmosphere. In the weeks pre-perihelion an upper limit of $Q(H_2O) \le 5.1 \times 10^{27}$ molecules s⁻¹ was measured with IRTF, as described in section 4. The alternative way to obtain water production rates from the ground is through observation of the daughter species such as OH, via the emission bands around 308 nm in the UV, or the [OI] lines near 630 nm. These are also challenging for a faint comet, given the strong absorption in the UV by atmospheric ozone and the need for high resolution to separate oxygen lines from terrestrial ones. Successful detections of OH were made in 67P, primarily using the ISIS spectrograph on the WHT (fig. 7). A production rate of $Q(OH) = 2.6 \times 10^{27}$ molecules s⁻¹ was found on 2015/08/19 (within a week of perihelion), which corresponds to $Q(H_2O) = 3.2 \times 10^{27}$ molecules s⁻¹. Further observations with ISIS were attempted until April 2016, but the OH production rate was only measurable relatively close to perihelion [71]. Observations of OH emission with the Lowell 1.1m and Kron photoelectric photometer (and narrowband filters) were used to derive water production rates of $Q(H_2O) = 7.7$ and 3.4×10^{27} molecules s⁻¹ on 2015/09/12 and 2015/10/15, respectively. [OI] lines were detected using UVES on the VLT and HIRES on Keck in late 2015. While used in the past as a



Figure 11. CN production rate (in molecules s^{-1}) as a function of heliocentric distance (negative values indicate preperihelion data, positive post-perihelion). We include data from previous orbits [4,8,13,76], narrowband photometry from TRAPPIST and the Lowell 1.1 m around perihelion, and spectroscopy from the VLT, SAO 6 m and LT (see key in plot for symbols). Error bars are not included for clarity. The dashed line shows a scaled version of the dust scaling law plotted in fig. 10. In general, pre-perihelion data are only upper limits (marked with arrows) until relatively low r, when the rate climbs quickly pre-perihelion, with a similar slope to the dust fit. CN emission can be detected to larger distance post-perihelion, with a shallow decrease in Q(CN). Data sets from different telescopes mostly agree, although the LT production rates post-perihelion are generally lower than those measured with larger telescopes, but with significant scatter. This behaviour appears to be seen in data from previous orbits too, with little change in total CN production (there appears to be a reasonable match between the 'previous' points and those taken in this campaign).

reliable proxy for H_2O production in comets [72–74], the detection of abundant molecular oxygen in the coma of 67P [75] complicates interpretation of the observed [OI] line fluxes in terms of H_2O production rates.

The most easily detected gas species in a typical cometary coma is the CN radical, with a strong emission band around 389 nm, so the longer term gas production rate was monitored by observations of this band. Preliminary Rosetta results suggest that CN production does largely follow the water production rate, although there may be some long term variation in the relative proportions [Altwegg, priv. comm.]. The detection of CN was still challenging in 67P, with sensitive searches with the VLT and FORS in 2014 unsuccessful [24]. When the comet returned to visibility in 2015 CN was still not immediately detected, despite the considerably brighter coma, and an upper limit of 2×10^{23} molecules s⁻¹ was found in May with the VLT, even though the heliocentric distance was only 1.6 au. The rate then rapidly increased, with a positive detection finally achieved in early July at 2×10^{24} molecules s⁻¹ (1.35 au), and a range of facilities were able to make observations via spectroscopy or narrowband imaging in the months after perihelion, while VLT and SAO 6 m observations continued to trace CN out to \sim 3 au postperihelion. Estimates of the CN production rate against heliocentric distance are shown in fig. 11. The strong asymmetry around perihelion is clear - while detection was impossible with even the best telescopes until just before perihelion inbound, CN was measured for many months post-perihelion.

7. Discussion and open questions

The observing campaign largely demonstrated 67P to be a fairly typical Jupiter family comet, with a predictable and smoothly varying activity level, and no major outbursts or unusual events. In this way it confirmed that Rosetta was seeing typical behaviour of a typical object - an important statement that allows the conclusions from Rosetta measurements to be taken as generally true for comets. However, there were some surprises that require further investigation. The most obvious of these is the puzzling difference between the symmetrical rise and fall of total activity as measured by dust brightness, and the sharp onset and then slow decrease in activity measured by CN gas production. Taken at face value this implies a significant change in dust-to-gas ratio with time, but the observation does not agree with the symmetrical rise and fall in total gas production seen by in situ Rosetta instruments [54,69]. While Rosetta/ROSINA sees some change in the CN/water ratio with time, these are subtle, and in general in situ measurements find that the CN and water production rates appeared to be correlated (while the relative abundance of other major species to water, e.g. CO_2 /water, varies across the nucleus and with time [51,62]). The apparent turn on and off of CN as seen from Earth are near to the dates of equinox on the comet, so this could be a seasonal effect (i.e. the CN parent is mostly released from the Southern hemisphere), but this was not obvious in Rosetta/ROSINA measurements [Altwegg, priv. comm.]. This implies a difference between in situ and whole coma measurements, which still needs to be explained. One possibility is a distributed source of CN, at distances > 100 km from the nucleus, that is not seen by Rosetta. A more detailed analysis of the long term gas and dust production rate monitoring will appear in a future paper [77].

If one of the conclusions of the parallel Earth and Rosetta observations is that the bright CN band cannot be used as a reliable tracer of total gas production, an equally important test will be to see how well more direct tracers compare with in situ measurements. Although more difficult to perform, observations of OH are generally thought to have the advantage of having a single well known parent (water) and therefore tracing total (water dominated) gas production directly. In the few months post-perihelion where we could perform OH measurements from the ground, Rosetta production rates are based on models that extrapolate to the whole coma from a local (or single line of sight) measurement. These models agree with ground-based photometry for (scaled) dust production [69], but have not yet been directly compared with ground-based gas measurements. A further complicating factor is the discovery, from Rosetta/ALICE, that the dissociation models used to get daughter species fluxes need to take into account electron impact as well as Solar UV radiation [78]. This has been taken into account in studies of the gas production via Rosetta/OSIRIS narrowband imaging of the inner coma [37], but the implications for the larger scale coma need to be considered.

Finally, while the total brightness evolution of 67P was very smooth, there is evidence of short term variations (i.e. outbursts). Outbursts from comets vary in scale from the frequent but small scale events seen as Deep Impact approached comet 9P/Tempel 1 [79,80], to events that can cause the coma to brighten by many magnitudes (such as the mega-outburst of 17P/Holmes in 2007). The abundant photometry on 67P from this campaign will allow careful searches for small outbursts, and in particular tests to see if the many short-lived events seen as bright jets in Rosetta imaging [81] are correlated with changes in the total brightness. One potentially significant outburst, in late August 2015, has already been identified from ground-based data due to the effect it had on the overall shape of the inner coma [30]. There is also a possible signature in ground-based photometry of the outburst seen by many of Rosetta's instruments in February 2016 [82], although the comet was close to the full moon at that time, and also near to opposition and therefore phase function effects on the total brightness need to be carefully considered.

8. Conclusion

An unprecedented long-term campaign of observations followed comet 67P throughout the Rosetta mission, including characterisation of the nucleus and activity levels before the spacecraft

arrived, and parallel to its operational period (2014-2016). This made 67P one of the best studied short period comets, with observations following it from its inactive state through perihelion and back out to beyond 3 au, despite challenging geometry (low solar elongation) for much of this apparition. The parallel observations with the long-term in situ monitoring from Rosetta provide a unique opportunity to test observational techniques and models against 'ground-truth'. We find that the comet's brightness largely varies in a smooth and predictable way, with no major outbursts or changes from orbit-to-orbit, but subtle variations can be identified. The morphology of both the inner coma and the large scale tails and trails is also repeatable between orbits, and implies a stable pattern of activity, which we hope to correlate with the detailed view of active regions seen by the spacecraft. The comet's composition is typical of the carbon-depleted class, but the dust and CN gas production rates varied in different ways around perihelion, indicating possible differences in composition across the nucleus. With ~ 1300 hours of observation over 4 years, there is a wealth of ground-based data to compare with the treasure trove of Rosetta results: A large number of detailed follow up studies are on going, and will be published in the coming year(s).

Data Accessibility. It is our intention that all observational data from the campaign will be archived alongside Rosetta instrument data at the ESA Planetary Science Archive (http://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/psa). In addition, much of the raw data are (or will be) available from individual observatory archive facilities. Observing log information available at http://www.rosetta-campaign.net will also be permanently archived at the PSA.

Authors' Contributions. C. Snodgrass coordinated the campaign and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed to observations and/or data reduction, and read and approved the manuscript.

Competing Interests. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding. C. Snodgrass is supported by an UK Science & Technology Facilities Council (STFC) Rutherford fellowship.

Acknowledgements. We acknowledge the contribution of the Europlanet EU FP7/H2020 framework in supporting meetings that initiated this campaign in 2012 and brought many of us together to discuss the results in 2016. We thank the Royal Society and the organisers of the 'Cometary science after Rosetta' meeting for the invitation to present the results of the campaign. S.F. Green acknowledges support from the STFC (Grant ST/L000776/1). J. Kleyna is supported by NSF grant 1413736. H.J. Lehto, B. Zaprudin and A. Somero acknowledge the support of the Academy of Finland (grant number 277375). J. Licandro and J. de León acknowledge support from the AYA2015-67772-R (MINECO, Spain). J. Lasue and A.C. Levasseur-Regourd acknowledge support from CNES, the French Space Agency, for this work in relation with CONSERT and MIDAS on board Rosetta. Z.Y. Lin and X. Wang were supported by NSC 102-2112-M-008-003-MY3 of the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, and National Natural Sciences Foundations of China (contract No. 11073051 and No. 11473066). C. Opitom acknowledges the support of the FNRS. Finally, we thank the many observatories involved in these observations for their support in allocating significant time to observing 67P, especially ESO, Gemini, and Observatorios de Canarias del IAC (through the CCI International Time Programme) for enabling the long-term baseline of observations. We are grateful for the efforts of various support astronomers in assisting with the observations: In particular Ian Skillen at the ING; Fumiaki Nakata, Finet Francois, and the HSC Queue Working Group at Subaru; Thomas Granzer at STELLA; David Abreu and Pablo Ruiz from Ataman Science, Spain, for supporting the OGS observations.

References

- Meech KJ, Ageorges N, A'Hearn MF, Arpigny C, Ates A, Aycock J, Bagnulo S, Bailey J, Barber R, Barrera L, et al. 2005 Deep Impact: Observations from a Worldwide Earth-Based Campaign. *Science* 310, 265–269. (DOI 10.1126/science.1118978)
- 2. Meech KJ, A'Hearn MF, Adams JA, Bacci P, Bai J, Barrera L, Battelino M, Bauer JM, Becklin E, Bhatt B, et al. 2011 EPOXI: Comet 103P/Hartley 2 Observations from a Worldwide Campaign. *ApJL* **734**, L1. (DOI 10.1088/2041-8205/734/1/L1)
- 3. Yanamandra-Fisher P, et al in prep.

- 4. Schleicher DG. 2006 Compositional and physical results for Rosetta's new target Comet 67P/Churyumov Gerasimenko from narrowband photometry and imaging. *Icarus* **181**, 442–457. (DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2005.11.014)
- 5. Mueller BEA. 1992 CCD-photometry of comets at large heliocentric distances. In *Asteroids, Comets, Meteors 1991* ed. AW Harris, E Bowell, pp. 425–428.
- Lowry SC, Fitzsimmons A, Collander-Brown S. 2003 CCD photometry of distant comets. III. Ensemble properties of Jupiter-family comets. *A&A* 397, 329–343. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361:20021486)
- 7. Schulz R, Schwehm G. 1999 Coma Composition and Evolution of Rosetta Target Comet 46P/Wirtanen.
- Space Sci. Rev. 90, 321–328. (DOI 10.1023/A:1005235122848)
- Schulz R, Stüwe JA, Boehnhardt H. 2004 Rosetta target comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko. Postperihelion gas and dust production rates. *A&A* 422, L19–L21. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361:20040190)
- Lamy PL, Toth I, Weaver HA, Jorda L, Kaasalainen M, Gutiérrez PJ. 2006 Hubble Space Telescope observations of the nucleus and inner coma of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko.
 - *A&A* **458**, 669–678. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361:20065253)
- Lamy PL, Toth I, Davidsson BJR, Groussin O, Gutiérrez PJ, Jorda L, Kaasalainen M, Lowry, SC. 2007 A Portrait of the Nucleus of Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko. *Space Sci. Rev.* 128, 23-66. (DOI 10.1007/s11214-007-9146-x)
- Lara LM, de León J, Licandro J, Gutiérrez PJ. 2005 Dust Activity in Comet 67P/Churyumov Gerasimenko from February 20 to April 20, 2003. *Earth Moon and Planets* 97, 165–175. (DOI 10.1007/s11038-006-9067-9)
- Hadamcik E, Sen AK, Levasseur-Regourd AC, Gupta R, Lasue J. 2010 Polarimetric observations of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko during its 2008-2009 apparition. *A&A* 517, A86. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201014167)
- Lara LM, Lin ZY, Rodrigo R, Ip WH. 2011 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko activity evolution during its last perihelion before the Rosetta encounter. *A&A* 525, A36. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201015515)
- 14. Tozzi GP, Patriarchi P, Boehnhardt H, Vincent JB, Licandro J, Kolokolova L, Schulz R, Stüwe J. 2011 Evolution of the dust coma in comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko before the 2009 perihelion.
 - *A&A* **531**, A54. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201116577)
- 15. Vincent JB, Lara LM, Tozzi GP, Lin ZY, Sierks H. 2013 Spin and activity of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko.
 - *A&A* **549**, A121. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201219350)
- Agarwal J, Müller M, Reach WT, Sykes MV, Boehnhardt H, Grün E. 2010 The dust trail of Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko between 2004 and 2006. *Icarus* 207, 992–1012. (DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2010.01.003)
- Fulle M, Colangeli L, Agarwal J, Aronica A, Della Corte V, Esposito F, Grün E, Ishiguro M, Ligustri R, Lopez Moreno JJ, et al. 2010 Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko: the GIADA dust environment model of the Rosetta mission target. *A&A* 522, A63. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201014928)
- 18. Tubiana C, Barrera L, Drahus M, Boehnhardt H. 2008 Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko at a large heliocentric distance.
 - *A&A* **490**, 377–386. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361:20078792)
- Tubiana C, Böhnhardt H, Agarwal J, Drahus M, Barrera L, Ortiz JL. 2011 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko at large heliocentric distance. *A&A* 527, A113. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201016027)
- 20. Lowry S, Duddy SR, Rozitis B, Green SF, Fitzsimmons A, Snodgrass C, Hsieh HH, Hainaut O. 2012 The nucleus of Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko. A new shape model and thermophysical analysis.

A&A 548, A12. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201220116)

 Kelley MS, Woodward CE, Harker DE, Wooden DH, Gehrz RD, Campins H, Hanner MS, Lederer SM, Osip DJ, Pittichová J, Polomski E. 2006 A Spitzer Study of Comets 2P/Encke, 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko, and C/2001 HT50 LINEAR-NEAT. *ApJ* 651, 1256–1271. (DOI 10.1086/507701) Phil. Trans. R. Soc0000000

- Kelley MS, Wooden DH, Tubiana C, Boehnhardt H, Woodward CE, Harker DE. 2009 Spitzer Observations of Comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko at 5.5-4.3 AU from the Sun. *AJ* 137, 4633–4642. (DOI 10.1088/0004-6256/137/6/4633)
- Snodgrass C, Tubiana C, Bramich DM, Meech K, Boehnhardt H, Barrera L. 2013 Beginning of activity in 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko and predictions for 2014-2015. *A&A* 557, A33. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201322020)
- Snodgrass C, Jehin E, Manfroid J, Opitom C, Fitzsimmons A, Tozzi GP, Faggi S, Yang B, Knight MM, Conn BC, et al. 2016 Distant activity of 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko in 2014: Groundbased results during the Rosetta pre-landing phase. *A&A* 588, A80. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201527834)
- Zaprudin B, Lehto H, Nilsson K, Pursimo T, Somero A, Snodgrass C, Schulz R. 2015 Optical observations of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko with the Nordic Optical Telescope. *A&A* 583, A10. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201525703)
- 26. Snodgrass C, Opitom C, de Val-Borro M, Jehin E, Manfroid J, Lister T, Marchant J, Jones GH, Fitzsimmons A, Steele IA, et al. 2016 The perihelion activity of comet 67P/Churyumov?Gerasimenko as seen by robotic telescopes. MNRAS 462, S138-S145. (DOI 10.1093/mnras/stw2300)
- Jehin E, Gillon M, Queloz D, Magain P, Manfroid J, Chantry V, Lendl M, Hutsemékers D, Udry S. 2011 TRAPPIST: TRAnsiting Planets and PlanetesImals Small Telescope. *The Messenger* 145, 2–6.
- Steele IA, Smith RJ, Rees PC, Baker IP, Bates SD, Bode MF, Bowman MK, Carter D, Etherton J, Ford MJ, et al. 2004 The Liverpool Telescope: performance and first results. In *Ground-based Telescopes* ed. JM Oschmann Jr, volume 5489 of *Proc. SPIE*, pp. 679–692. (DOI 10.1117/12.551456)
- 29. Steele IA, Marchant JM, Jermak HE, Barnsley RM, Bates SD, Clay NR, Fitzsimmons A, Jehin E, Jones G, Mottram CJ, et al. 2016 LOTUS: A low cost, ultraviolet spectrograph. *MNRAS* **460**, 4268–4276. (DOI 10.1093/mnras/stw1287)
- Boehnhardt H, Riffeser A, Kluge M, Ries C, Schmidt M, Hopp U. 2016 Mt. Wendelstein Imaging of the Post-Perihelion Dust Coma of 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko in 2015/2016. MNRAS in press. (DOI 10.1093/mnras/stw2859)
- Tubiana C, Snodgrass C, Bertini I, Mottola S, Vincent JB, Lara L, Fornasier S, Knollenberg J, Thomas N, Fulle M, et al. 2015 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko: Activity between March and June 2014 as observed from Rosetta/OSIRIS. *A&A* 573, A62. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201424735)
- 32. Finson MJ, Probstein RF. 1968 A theory of dust comets. I. Model and equations. *ApJ* **154**, 327–352. (DOI 10.1086/149761)
- 33. Beisser K, Boehnhardt H. 1987 Evidence for the nucleus rotation in streamer patterns of Comet Halley's dust tail.
 - *Ap&SS* **139**, 5–12. (DOI 10.1007/BF00643808)
- 34. Farnham TL, Schleicher DG, A'Hearn MF. 2000 The HB Narrowband Comet Filters: Standard Stars and Calibrations.
 - Icarus 147, 180-204. (DOI 10.1006/icar.2000.6420)
- 35. Keller HU, Barbieri C, Lamy P, Rickman H, Rodrigo R, Wenzel KP, Sierks H, A'Hearn MF, Angrilli F, Angulo M, et al. 2007 OSIRIS The Scientific Camera System Onboard Rosetta. *Space Sci. Rev.* **128**, 433–506. (DOI 10.1007/s11214-006-9128-4)
- 36. Lin ZY, et al *in prep*.
- 37. Bodewits D, Lara LM, A'Hearn MF, La Forgia F, Giquel A, Kovacs G, Knollenberg J, Lazzarin M, Lin ZY, Shi X, et al. 2016 Changes in the physical environment of the inner coma of 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko with decreasing heliocentric distance. *AJ* 152, 130. (DOI 10.3847/0004-6256/152/5/130)
- Larson SM, Sekanina Z. 1984 Coma morphology and dust-emission pattern of periodic Comet Halley. I - High-resolution images taken at Mount Wilson in 1910. *AJ* 89, 571–578. (DOI 10.1086/113551)
- 39. Lin Z-Y, Ip W-H, Lai I-L, Lee J-C, Vincent J-B, Lara LM, Bodewits D, Sierks H, et al. 2015 Morphology and dynamics of the jets of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko: Early-phase development.

A&A 583, A11. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201525961)

40. Knight MM, et al in prep.

- 41. Zaprudin B, et al *in prep*.
- Keller HU, Mottola S, Skorov Y, Jorda L. 2015 The changing rotation period of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko controlled by its activity. *A&A* 579, L5. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201526421)
- Lara LM, Lowry S, Vincent JB, Gutiérrez PJ, Rozek A, La Forgia F, Oklay N, Sierks H, Barbieri C, Lamy PL, et al. 2015 Large-scale dust jets in the coma of 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko as seen by the OSIRIS instrument onboard Rosetta. *A&A* 583, A9. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201526103)
- 44. Hadamcik E, Levasseur-Regourd AC, Hines DC, Sen A, Lasue J, Renard JB. 2016 Properties of dust particles in Comets from photometric and polarimetric observations of 67P. *MNRAS* submitted.
- 45. Moreno F, Snodgrass C, Hainaut O, Tubiana C, Sierks H, Barbieri C, Lamy PL, Rodrigo R, Koschny D, Rickman H, et al. 2016 The dust environment of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko from Rosetta OSIRIS and VLT observations in the 4.5 to 2.9 AU heliocentric distance range inbound.
 - A&A 587, A155. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201527564)
- 46. Moreno F, et al in prep.
- Capaccioni F, Coradini A, Filacchione G, Erard S, Arnold G, Drossart P, De Sanctis MC, Bockelee-Morvan D, Capria MT, Tosi F, et al. 2015 The organic-rich surface of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko as seen by VIRTIS/Rosetta. *Science* 347, aaa0628. (DOI 10.1126/science.aaa0628)
- 48. Protopapa S, Sunshine JM, Feaga LM, Kelley MSP, A'Hearn MF, Farnham TL, Groussin O, Besse S, Merlin F, Li JY. 2014 Water ice and dust in the innermost coma of comet 103P/Hartley 2.
 - Icarus 238, 191–204. (DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2014.04.008)
- 49. Protopapa S, et al *in prep*.
- 50. Gulkis S, Allen M, von Allmen P, Beaudin G, Biver N, Bockelee-Morvan D, Choukroun M, Crovisier J, Davidsson BJR, Encrenaz P, et al. 2015 Subsurface properties and early activity of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko.

Science 347, aaa0709. (DOI 10.1126/science.aaa0709)

51. Bockelée-Morvan D, Crovisier J, Erard S, Capaccioni F, Leyrat C, Filacchione G, Drossart P, Encrenaz T, Biver N, de Sanctis M-C et al. 2016 Evolution of CO₂, CH₄, and OCS abundances relative to H₂O in the coma of comet 67P around perihelion from Rosetta/VIRTIS-H observations

MNRAS 462, 170. (DOI 10.1093/mnras/stw2428)

- 52. Migliorini A, Filacchione G, Capaccioni F, Piccioni G, Bockel'ee-Morvan D, Erard S, Leyrat C, Combi M, Fougere N, and the Rosetta/VIRTIS Team 2016 CN and OH emissions in the 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko coma with Rosetta/VIRTIS-M spectrometer presented at *Comets2016*, Toulouse, Nov. 2016.
- A'Hearn MF, Millis RL, Schleicher DG, Osip DJ, Birch PV. 1995 The ensemble properties of comets: Results from narrowband photometry of 85 comets, 1976-1992. *Icarus* 118, 223–270. (DOI 10.1006/icar.1995.1190)
- 54. Fougere N, Altwegg K, Berthelier JJ, Bieler A, Bockelée-Morvan D, Calmonte U, Capaccioni F, Combi MR, De Keyser J, Debout V, et al. 2016 Three-dimensional direct simulation Monte-Carlo modeling of the coma of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko observed by the VIRTIS and ROSINA instruments on board Rosetta.
 - *A&A* 588, A134. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201527889)
- Werner MW, Roellig TL, Low FJ, Rieke GH, Rieke M, Hoffmann WF, Young E, Houck JR, Brandl B, Fazio GG, et al. 2004 The Spitzer Space Telescope Mission. *ApJSS* 154, 1–9. (DOI 10.1086/422992)
- 56. Fazio GG, Hora JL, Allen LE, Ashby MLN, Barmby P, Deutsch LK, Huang J, Kleiner S, Marengo M, Megeath ST, et al. 2004 The Infrared Array Camera IRAC for the Spitzer Space Telescope.

ApJSS **154**, 10–17. (DOI 10.1086/422843)

 Mainzer A, Bauer J, Grav T, Masiero J, Cutri RM, Dailey J, Eisenhardt P, McMillan RS, Wright E, Walker R, et al. 2011 Preliminary Results from NEOWISE: An Enhancement to the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer for Solar System Science. *ApJ* **731**, 53. (DOI 10.1088/0004-637X/731/1/53) rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

Phil. Trans. R. Soc0000000

58. Reach WT, Kelley MS, Vaubaillon J. 2013 Survey of cometary CO₂, CO, and particulate emissions using the Spitzer Space Telescope.

Icarus 226, 777–797. (DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2013.06.011)

- Bauer JM, Kramer E, Mainzer AK, Stevenson R, Grav T, Masiero JR, Walker RG, Fernández YR, Meech KJ, Lisse CM, et al. 2012 WISE/NEOWISE Preliminary Analysis and Highlights of the 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko near Nucleus Environs. *ApJ* 758, 18. (DOI 10.1088/0004-637X/758/1/18)
- Bauer JM, Stevenson R, Kramer E, Mainzer AK, Grav T, Masiero JR, Fernández YR, Cutri RM, Dailey JW, Masci FJ, et al. 2015 The NEOWISE-Discovered Comet Population and the CO + CO₂ Production Rates. *ApJ* 814, 85. (DOI 10.1088/0004-637X/814/2/85)
- 61. Kelley MSP, et al in prep.
- 62. Hässig M, Altwegg K, Balsiger H, Bar-Nun A, Berthelier JJ, Bieler A, Bochsler P, Briois C, Calmonte U, Combi M, et al. 2015 Time variability and heterogeneity in the coma of 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko.

Science **347**, aaa0276. (DOI 10.1126/science.aaa0276)

- Bockelée-Morvan D, Debout V, Erard S, Leyrat C, Capaccioni F, Filacchione G, Fougere N, Drossart P, Arnold G, Combi M, et al. 2015 First observations of H₂O and CO₂ vapor in comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko made by VIRTIS onboard Rosetta. *A&A* 583, A6. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201526303)
- 64. Fink U, Doose L, Rinaldi G, Bieler A, Capaccioni F, Bockelée-Morvan D, Filacchione G, Erard S, Leyrat C, Blecka M, et al. 2016 Investigation into the disparate origin of CO₂ and H₂O outgassing for Comet 67/P.
- Icarus 277, 78–97. (DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.04.040)
- 65. Kolokolova L, Hough J, Levasseur-Regourd AC. 2015 Polarimetry of Stars and Planetary Systems. (DOI 10.1017/CBO9781107358249)
- 66. Hines DC, Levasseur-Regourd AC. 2016 Polarimetry observations of comets: Status, questions, future pathways.
- Planet. Space Sci. 123, 41–50. (DOI 10.1016/j.pss.2015.11.016)
- 67. Hines D, et al *in prep*.
- 68. Ivanova O, et al in prep.
- 69. Hansen KC, Altwegg K, Berthelier JJ, Bieler A, Biver N, Bockelee-Morvan D, Calmonte U, Capaccioni F, Combi MR, et al. 2016 Evolution of water production of 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko: An empirical model and a multi-instrument study. *MNRAS* in press. (DOI 10.1093/mnras/stw2413)
- 70. A'Hearn MF, Schleicher DG, Millis RL, Feldman PD, Thompson DT. 1984 Comet Bowell 1980b. *AJ* **89**, 579–591. (DOI 10.1086/113552)
- 71. Fitzsimmons A, et al in prep.
- Morgenthaler JP, Harris WM, Scherb F, Anderson CM, Oliversen RJ, Doane NE, Combi MR, Marconi ML, Smyth WH. 2001 Large-Aperture [O I] 6300 Å Photometry of Comet Hale-Bopp: Implications for the Photochemistry of OH. *ApJ* 563, 451–461. (DOI 10.1086/323773)
- 73. Fink U. 2009 A taxonomic survey of comet composition 1985-2004 using CCD spectroscopy. *Icarus* **201**, 311–334. (DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2008.12.044)
- 74. McKay AJ, Cochran AL, DiSanti MA, Villanueva G, Russo ND, Vervack RJ, Morgenthaler JP, Harris WM, Chanover NJ. 2015 Evolution of H₂O, CO, and CO₂ production in Comet C/2009 P1 Garradd during the 2011-2012 apparition.
 - Icarus 250, 504–515. (DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2014.12.023)
- 75. Bieler A, Altwegg K, Balsiger H, Bar-Nun A, Berthelier JJ, Bochsler P, Briois C, Calmonte U, Combi M, de Keyser J, et al. 2015 Abundant molecular oxygen in the coma of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko.

Nature **526**, 678–681. (DOI 10.1038/nature15707)

- 76. Guilbert-Lepoutre A, Schulz R, Rozek A, Lowry SC, Tozzi GP, Stüwe JA. 2014 Pre-perihelion activity of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko. *A&A* 567, L2. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201424186)
- 77. Opitom C, et al *in prep*.
- 78. Feldman PD, A'Hearn MF, Bertaux JL, Feaga LM, Parker JW, Schindhelm E, Steffl AJ, Stern SA, Weaver HA, Sierks H, Vincent JB. 2015 Measurements of the near-nucleus coma of comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko with the Alice far-ultraviolet spectrograph on Rosetta.

rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

Phil. Trans. R. Soc0000000

A&A 583, A8. (DOI 10.1051/0004-6361/201525925)

- A'Hearn MF, Belton MJS, Delamere WA, Kissel J, Klaasen KP, McFadden LA, Meech KJ, Melosh HJ, Schultz PH, Sunshine JM, et al. 2005 Deep Impact: Excavating Comet Tempel 1. *Science* 310, 258–264. (DOI 10.1126/science.1118923)
- 80. Feldman PD, McCandliss SR, Route M, Weaver HA, A'Hearn MF, Belton MJS, Meech KJ. 2007 Hubble Space Telescope observations of Comet 9P/Tempel 1 during the Deep Impact encounter.

Icarus 191, 276–285. (DOI 10.1016/j.icarus.2006.07.028)

81. Vincent JB, A'Hearn MF, Lin ZY, El-Maary MR, Pajola M, Osiris Team. 2016 Summer fireworks on comet 67P.

MNRAS **462**, S184–S194. (DOI 10.1093/mnras/stw2409)

 Grün E, Agarwal J, Altobelli N, Altwegg K, Bentley MS, Biver N, Della Corte V, Edberg N, Feldman PD, Galand M, et al. 2016 The 19 Feb. 2016 outburst of comet 67P/CG: An ESA Rosetta multi-instrument study.

MNRAS 462, S220–S234. (DOI 10.1093/mnras/stw2088)