X-ray and optical spectroscopy of the massive young open cluster IC 1805^{*,**}

G. Rauw and Y. Nazé***

Space sciences, Technologies and Astrophysics Research (STAR) Institute, Université de Liège, Allée du 6 Août, 19c, Bât B5c, 4000 Liège, Belgium

ABSTRACT

Context. Very young open clusters are ideal places to study the X-ray properties of a homogeneous population of early-type stars. In this respect, the IC 1805 open cluster is very interesting as it hosts the O4 If⁺ star HD 15570 thought to be in an evolutionary stage intermediate between a normal O-star and a Wolf-Rayet star.

Aims. Such a star could provide a test for theoretical models aiming at explaining the empirical scaling relation between the X-ray and bolometric luminosities of O-type stars.

Methods. We have observed IC 1805 with XMM-Newton and further collected optical spectroscopy of some of the O-star members of the cluster.

Results. The optical spectra allow us to revisit the orbital solutions of BD+ 60° 497 and HD 15558, and provide the first evidence of binarity for BD+ 60° 498. X-ray emission from colliding winds does not appear to play an important role among the O-stars of IC 1805. Notably, the X-ray fluxes do not vary significantly between archival X-ray observations and our XMM-Newton pointing. The very fast rotator BD+ 60° 513, and to a lesser extent the O41f⁺ star HD 15570 appear somewhat underluminous. Whilst the underluminosity of HD 15570 is only marginally significant, its amplitude is found to be compatible with theoretical expectations based on its stellar and wind properties. A number of other X-ray sources are detected in the field, and the brightest objects, many of which are likely low-mass pre-main sequence stars, are analyzed in detail.

Key words. Stars: early-type – open clusters and associations: individual (IC 1805) – Stars: individual (HD 15558, HD 15570, HD 15629, BD+ 60° 497, BD+ 60° 498, BD+ 60° 499, BD+ 60° 501, BD+ 60° 513) – X-rays: stars

1. Introduction

X-ray emission of massive O-type stars was discovered in the late seventies with the *EINSTEIN* satellite (Harnden et al., 1979). X-rays in single O-stars are generally thought to arise from a distribution of hydrodynamic shocks produced by the so-called line deshadowing instability (LDI, e.g. Feldmeier et al., 1997) that affects the radiatively driven winds of these objects. Additional X-ray emission can arise in magnetically confined stellar winds of O-stars with a strong enough magnetic field (e.g. Babel & Montmerle, 1997; ud-Doula & Owocki, 2002; Nazé et al., 2014; ud-Doula & Nazé, 2016, and references therein), or in interacting wind binary systems (e.g. Stevens et al., 1992; Pittard & Parkin, 2010; Rauw & Nazé, 2016, and references therein).

Soon after the discovery of the X-ray emission of O-type stars with the *EINSTEIN* satellite, it was realised that their X-ray luminosity L_X scales with their bolometric luminosity L_{bol} (e.g. Sciortino et al., 1990). This scaling relation was confirmed and refined with large samples of O-type stars observed with *ROSAT* (Berghöfer et al., 1997), and most recently *XMM-Newton* (Sana

*** Research Associate FRS-FNRS (Belgium)

Correspondence to: rauw@astro.ulg.ac.be

et al., 2006b; Nazé, 2009) and *Chandra* (Nazé et al., 2011; Rauw et al., 2015). A very different situation holds for presumably single Wolf-Rayet stars, where no clear dependence between X-ray and bolometric luminosities exists (Wessolowski, 1996; Ignace et al., 2000; Oskinova, 2015). Some classes of Wolf-Rayet stars remain below the detection threshold of the current generation of X-ray observatories (e.g. Oskinova et al., 2003; Gosset et al., 2005).

Owocki et al. (2013) attempted to theoretically explain the origin of the empirical L_X/L_{bol} scaling relation of O-stars. They predicted that X-ray emission produced by LDI shocks should scale as $L_X \propto L_{bol}^{1.7}$ or $L_X \propto L_{bol}^{3.4}$ for radiative and adiabatic shocks, respectively. These authors also argued that the shocks in the winds of most O-star are most likely radiative, although the wind density remains sufficiently low in most cases to prevent the wind absorption from playing a significant role. Owocki et al. (2013) considered that turbulence in the radiatively cooling post-shock gas efficiently mixes cold and hot material. To reproduce the observed linear L_X versus L_{bol} relation, these authors adopted a scaling of the hot gas volume filling factor with some ad hoc power $m \simeq 0.2 - 0.4$ of the ratio between cooling length and position in the wind. Following their formalism, the X-ray luminosity should scale as $(\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}})^{1-m}$ (where \dot{M} and v_{∞} are the mass-loss rate and the terminal velocity of the stellar wind) over most of the spectral range of O-type stars, where the LDI shocks that produce the X-rays are radiative and the winds themselves are optically thin. Such a relation between the X-ray flux (corrected for the absorption of the interstellar medium, ISM) and the theoretical value of $\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}}$ was indeed found for the O-

Send offprint requests to: G. Rauw

^{*} Based on observations collected with *XMM-Newton*, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA member states and the USA (NASA), and with the TIGRE telescope (La Luz, Mexico).

^{**} Table A.1 is only available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.ustrasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/

type stars observed within the *Chandra* Cyg OB2 legacy survey (Rauw et al., 2015). The Owocki et al. (2013) scenario furthermore predicts a change in the behaviour of the L_X versus L_{bol} relation at the high-luminosity, high mass-loss rate end of the Ostar domain, where the winds should progressively become optically thick. Gayley (2016) extended the Owocki et al. (2013) formalism and proposed a first unified approach to estimate the X-ray emergence efficiency for winds of non-magnetic hot stars. He predicted a smooth evolution of the X-ray emergence efficiency over the full range of wind strengths from early B-stars into Wolf-Rayet stars.

The most extreme O-stars are so-called O If⁺ stars. These objects have spectral properties intermediate between those of normal O-stars and those of WN-type Wolf-Rayet stars (Willis & Stickland, 1980; Conti et al., 1995; De Becker et al., 2009) and are therefore considered to be transition objects. Possible indications for a difference in the L_X/L_{bol} ratio for O If⁺ stars were reported by De Becker (2013), who found for the O4 If⁺ and O5 f⁺ stars HD 16691 and HD 14947 log $L_X/L_{bol} \sim -7.1$ or -7.5 depending on the adopted value of their L_{bol} . However, because these two stars are rather isolated, that is, are located outside a stellar cluster, a proper L_X/L_{bol} benchmark is lacking against which a genuine X-ray underluminosity might be established. Whilst the X-ray emissions of O-type stars inside a specific cluster exhibit only a very small scatter about the mean L_X/L_{bol} ratio, values ranging between -6.5 and -7.2 have been reported for $\log L_X/L_{bol}$ in different populations. Some of the dispersion around the L_X/L_{bol} relation inferred from large samples of Ostars (mixing objects from different clusters and field stars) may be due to environmental effects, different approaches in the data analysis (Nazé, 2009; Nazé et al., 2011), or even a poor determination of the spectral properties when the interstellar absorption is high (Nazé et al., 2013a; Mernier & Rauw, 2013). Therefore, an X-ray over- or underluminosity is hard to demonstrate for an isolated O-star.

The best way to probe the L_X/L_{bol} ratio in the higher luminosity regime, is to observe a cluster hosting an O If⁺ star, along with a significant population of normal O-stars, which allows homogeneously building the local L_X/L_{bol} relation of normal O-stars. The only Galactic young open cluster hosting a large sample of O-stars and including an O4 If⁺ star (HD 15570) is IC 1805 at a distance near 2 kpc (Megeath et al., 2008).

Within a radius of 12' around the central O + O binary HD 15558, this cluster hosts about 40 early-type stars in the spectral range O4 – B2, including 8 O-stars with spectral types from O9.5 V to O4 If⁺ (Massey et al., 1995; Shi & Hu, 1999). IC 1805 is not only an interesting place to study the X-ray properties of the population of massive stars, but is also an important site to study star formation. IC 1805 lies at the centre of a large superbubble inside the W4 region (Megeath et al., 2008, and references therein). The massive stars have created an HII region that is surrounded by a cloud of molecular (CO) and atomic (HI) gas. The cluster is embedded in a bubble of hot plasma produced by the winds of the O-stars, which yields a diffuse X-ray emission detected with Chandra (Townsley et al., 2014). IC 1805 and the entire W4 complex have been the theatre of multiple episodes of star formation over the past 10 - 20 Myr and low-mass star formation is still ongoing (Megeath et al., 2008; Straižys et al., 2013; Sung et al., in prep.), although photoevaporation by the harsh UV-radiation fields of the massive cluster members led to the destruction of optically thick accretion disks around most of the intermediate mass stars (Wolff et al., 2011).

In this paper we discuss a new X-ray observation of the massive star population of IC 1805 along with some support optical

Table 1. Journal of X-ray observations of IC 1805

Observatory	Inst.	Duration	JD(start)	JD(end)
		(ks)	-2440000	-2440000
ROSAT	PSPC-B	8.5	8856.966	8858.827
Chandra	ACIS-I	82.1	14065.465	14066.416
XMM-Newton	EPIC	48.7	16895.380	16895.943

spectroscopy. Our observational material is described in Sect. 2. The results of our optical monitoring are provided in Sect. 3, and our X-ray data are analysed and discussed in Sect. 4. The summary of our results is given in Sect. 5.

2. Observations

2.1. XMM-Newton

XMM-Newton (Jansen et al., 2001) observed IC 1805 for 49 ks in August 2014 (PI Rauw, ObsID 0740020101, see Table 1). The raw data were reduced with SAS v14.0.0 using calibration files available in November 2015 and following the recommendations of the *XMM-Newton* team¹. The EPIC (Strüder et al., 2001; Turner et al., 2001) cameras were operated in the full-frame mode and the medium filter was used to reject optical and UV light. During reduction, these data were filtered to keep only best-quality data (PATTERN of 0–12 for EPIC-MOS and 0–4 for EPIC-pn data). We note that no background flares due to soft protons affected the observation.

Source detection was performed on the three EPIC datasets using the task edetect_chain on the 0.4-2.0 (soft) and 2.0-10.0 (hard) energy bands for a log-likelihood of 10. This task searches for sources using a sliding box and determines the final source parameters from point spread function (PSF) fitting. The final count rates correspond to equivalent on-axis, full PSF count rates. The task was run both with and without considering the possibility of extended sources and simultaneous fit of up to five neighbouring sources. The results were similar in both cases. A total of 191 sources were found, nine of them appearing potentially problematic (e.g. because of their position in or near a CCD gap, or in the wings of the PSF of a brighter source). The full catalogue of sources is given in Table A.1. The properties of the brightest X-ray sources are analysed in Sect. 4, whilst a full correlation with optical catalogues is performed in Sung et al. (in prep.).

We then extracted spectra using the task *especget* for the eight detected massive stars and for 17 other sources having at least 400 EPIC counts. For the source regions, we used circular regions with radii between 7 and 25" (depending on crowding in the area) and centred on the Simbad coordinates of the massive stars or on the best-fit positions found in the source detection step for the other sources. For the background regions, circular regions of at least 18" radius were chosen in a region devoid of sources and as close as possible to the targets. Dedicated ARF and RMF responses, which are used to calibrate the flux and energy axes, respectively, were also calculated. The EPIC spectra were grouped with the SAS command *specgroup* to obtain an oversampling factor of five and to ensure that at least a signal-to-noise ratio of 3 (i.e. a minimum of ten counts) was reached in each spectral bin of the background-corrected spectra.

EPIC light curves of the brightest sources were extracted for time bins of 1 ks and for the total 0.4–10. keV energy band in the same regions as the spectra. They were further processed by

¹ http://xmm.esac.esa.int/sas/current/documentation/threads/

the task epiclccorr, which corrects for loss of photons due to vignetting, off-axis angle, or other problems such as bad pixels. In addition, to avoid very large errors and poor estimates of the count rates, we discarded bins displaying effective exposure times lower than 50% of the time bin length. Our previous experience with XMM-Newton has shown us that including such bins degrades the results. As the background is much fainter than the source, in fact too faint to provide a meaningful analysis, three sets of light curves were produced and analysed individually: the raw source+background light curves, the backgroundcorrected light curves of the source, and finally the light curves of the background region alone. The results found for the raw and background-corrected light curves of the source are indistinguishable. As for ζ Pup (Nazé et al., 2013b), the same set of tests was applied to all cases. We first performed a χ^2 test for three different null hypotheses (constancy, linear variation, quadratic variation), and also compared the improvement of the χ^2 when increasing the number of parameters in the model (e.g. linear trend versus constancy) by means of Snedecor F tests (nested models, see Sect. 12.2.5 in Lindgren 1976).

2.2. Archival ROSAT and Chandra data

IC 1805 was also observed during 80 ks with *Chandra* in November 2006 (PI Townsley, ObsID 7033, see Table 1, Feigelson et al., 2013; Townsley et al., 2014). We processed these data using the CIAO v.4.7 software (with CALDB 4.6.9). Using *specextract*, we extracted the spectra and generated source-specific response matrices for six massive stars (BD+60° 498, BD+60° 499, BD+60° 501, HD 15558², HD 15570, and HD 15629) as well as six bright *XMM-Newton* sources (see Sect. 4.3) with at least 100 net ACIS counts as reported in Townsley et al. (2014). To this aim, we used circular regions of radius between 2 and 15″, depending on the off-axis angle and crowding³. Circular background regions as close as possible to the targets were used and a similar grouping as for the *XMM-Newton* data was applied.

Finally, we also retrieved an archival *ROSAT* observation (PI Pauldrach, ObsID 201263, see Table 1) obtained in August 1992. These data were processed using the *xselect* software. Spectra for five O-stars (BD+60° 497, BD+60° 501, HD 15558, HD 15570, and HD 15629) were extracted using circular extraction regions of radius 42". The background was evaluated over a circular source-free region of 75" radius. We used the standard response matrix pspcb_gain2_256.rmf and generated source-specific ancillary response files using the *pcarf* command.

2.3. Optical spectroscopy

In support of the *XMM-Newton* observation, we collected optical spectroscopy for several O-type stars of IC 1805 with the refurbished HEROS spectrograph at the 1.2 m TIGRE telescope (Schmitt et al., 2014) at La Luz Observatory (Guanajuato, Mexico). The HEROS echelle spectra have a resolving power of 20 000 and cover the full optical range, although with a small gap near 5800 Å. The HEROS data were reduced with the corresponding reduction pipeline (Mittag, 2010; Schmitt et al., 2014). Telluric absorptions in the He I λ 5876 and H α regions were corrected with the *telluric* command within IRAF using the list of telluric lines of Hinkle et al. (2000). The spectra were normalized self-consistently using a series of carefully chosen continuum windows. Journals of the observations for each star, along with the radial velocities that we have determined are given in Appendix B (see Tables B.1 – B.7).

3. Optical monitoring of the O-stars in IC 1805

To assess the L_X/L_{bol} relation of single O-type stars in IC 1805, it is important to derive accurate spectral types and evaluate the contamination of our sample by colliding wind binaries. So far, investigations of the multiplicity of O-type stars in IC 1805 only revealed two binaries: the long-period binary HD 15558 (O5.5 III(f) + O7 V, P_{orb} = 442 days, e = 0.4; Garmany & Massey, 1981; De Becker et al., 2006), and the short-period system BD+60° 497 (O6.5 V((f)) + O8.5-9.5 V((f)), P_{orb} = 3.96 days; Rauw & De Becker, 2004). In this section, we briefly review the properties of the O-star population within the field of view of our X-ray observations and, whenever appropriate, we revise them using our HEROS spectra.

Membership of the stars in the field of IC 1805 was investigated by Vasilevskis et al. (1965) based on proper motion. The corresponding membership probabilities were subsequently revised by Sanders (1972). Except for HD 15558, all O-stars considered here have a membership probability exceeding 50% (Sanders, 1972). For some of the stars, proper motion membership probabilities were also derived from *Hipparcos* data (Baumgardt et al., 2000). Unfortunately, for the few stars in common between the studies of Sanders (1972) and Baumgardt et al. (2000), the results often differ significantly⁴. Nevertheless, in what follows, we assume that all the O-type stars belong to IC 1805.

3.1. HD 15558

The spectrum of HD 15558 displays a number of remarkable features (see discussion by De Becker et al., 2006). The combined spectral type of the system was recently given as O4.5 III(f) by Sota et al. (2011). De Becker et al. (2006) tentatively proposed an O5.5 III(f) + O7 V spectral classification for the binary system.

Garmany & Massey (1981) presented the first single-lined spectroscopic binary (SB1) orbital solution of this eccentric long-period (~ 440 days) spectroscopic binary system. The SB1 orbital parameters were improved by De Becker et al. (2006). These authors also identified weak spectroscopic signatures of the secondary star in some spectral lines, which led to a first double-lined spectroscopic binary (SB2) orbital solution. The latter yielded very high minimum masses for the primary star ($m_1 \sin^3 i > 150 M_{\odot}$). However, this result needs to be confirmed, as the luminosities of HD 15558 (assuming the star to be a member of IC 1805) would be surprisingly low for such a massive star. De Becker et al. (2006) suggested that HD 15558 might be a triple system with a short-period binary moving around the O5 III star in a wide orbit of ~ 440 days.

² The ACIS spectrum of this star is subject to moderate pile-up, see Townsley et al. (2014).

³ To evaluate crowding, we used the source list of Townsley et al. (2014). BD+ 60° 499 appears to have a close companion, which is blended with the O-star emission in *XMM-Newton* data, therefore we extracted the spectra of BD + 60° 499 and its companion to evaluate the contamination in the *XMM-Newton* data. The small separation of the two sources forced the use of ellipses, rather than circles, to extract their spectra.

⁴ For instance, HD 15558 has a cluster membership probability of 2% according to Sanders (1972) and of 94.8% according to Baumgardt et al. (2000).

We have analysed 16 new HEROS spectra of HD 15558 taken between August 2013 and October 2014. Whilst this dataset is not sufficient to search for a possible signature of the putative close binary system, it allows us to improve the SB1 orbital solution and to establish accurate orbital phases corresponding to the X-ray observations. We measured the radial velocities (RVs) of the He II λ 4542 line on our HEROS spectra (Table B.1) and combined these new RVs with those listed by De Becker et al. (2006). Using the Fourier period search technique of Heck et al. (1985) and Gosset et al. (2001), we found a best estimate of the orbital period of 447.25 ± 4.10 days for 87 RV data points spread over 4880 days. We then used the Liège Orbital Solution Package (LOSP) code (Sana et al., 2006a), which is an improved version of the code originally proposed by Wolfe et al. (1967). The resulting orbital elements are listed in Table 2 and the new orbital solution is shown in Fig. 1. We note that the new mass function is lower than in the solution of De Becker et al. (2006), which obviously also leads to a reduction of the masses of the individual components. More observations are needed to determine whether HD 15558 is a triple system and to search for the secondary (and possibly tertiary) spectral signatures.

Table 2. Revised SB1 orbital solution of HD 15558 based on RVs of the He II λ 4542 line (see Table B.1).



Fig. 1. New SB1 orbital solution of HD 15558, using He II λ 4542 RVs from De Becker et al. (2006, open squares) and from our new HEROS data (filled circles, see Table B.1). The corresponding orbital elements are given in Table 2.

As a massive binary system, HD 15558 hosts a colliding wind interaction. The star was reported to be a probable nonthermal radio emitter by Bieging et al. (1989), and the relativistic electrons involved in the synchrotron radio emission are most likely accelerated at the shock fronts of the wind - wind collision. The wind interaction zone is also most relevant in the context of the X-ray emission of the system. With the newly derived ephemerides, we find that the *ROSAT*, *Chandra* and *XMM*-*Newton* observations were taken at orbital phases 0.42, 0.11 and 0.46, respectively, where $\phi = 0.0$ corresponds to the periastron passage.

3.2. HD 15570

The optical spectrum of HD 15570 displays many peculiarities (notably strong, broad, and asymmetric H α emission, presence of Si IV $\lambda\lambda$ 4088, 4116 and weak N IV λ 4058 emissions in addition to the usual Of emission lines of He II λ 4686 and N III $\lambda\lambda$ 4634-4640, presence of N v $\lambda\lambda$ 4604, 4620 absorptions) that led to an O4 If⁺ classification. A full discussion of the optical spectrum is provided by De Becker et al. (2006). Willis & Stickland (1980) noted that the *IUE* UV spectrum of HD 15570 was intermediate between that of normal Of stars and Wolf-Rayet stars of the WN sequence, thereby suggesting an intermediate evolutionary stage.

Several authors (Hillwig et al., 2006; De Becker et al., 2006, 2009) searched for RV variations that could reveal a spectroscopic binary. Whilst there are some low-level variations in the radial velocities, these variations are not significant and might reflect contamination of the absorption lines by some intrinsically variable wind emission. For instance, based on 68 spectra taken between September 2000 and November 2007, De Becker et al. (2009) reported a RV of -47.1 ± 5.8 km s⁻¹ for the He II λ 4542 line.

Polcaro et al. (2003) reported on strong H α line profile and equivalent width variability of HD 15570. They claimed variations of the EW of the H α emission between -3.3 and -11.7 Å, which translate into variations by 40% of the mass-loss rate (assuming a smooth wind). The He II λ 4686 emission was found to be considerably less variable (Polcaro et al., 2003). De Becker et al. (2006, 2009) confirmed the variability of the emission lines in the spectrum of HD 15570, but at a significantly lower level than indicated by Polcaro et al. (2003).

Even though we only have four HEROS spectra of this star, they are fully consistent with the general description of the spectrum and its variability as given by De Becker et al. (2006) and De Becker et al. (2009). For the RV of the He II λ 4542 line (see Table B.2), we obtain $-60.3 \pm 3.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Whilst this value is more negative than the one given by De Becker et al. (2009), this difference might stem from the slightly asymmetric profile of the line when observed under different spectral resolutions.

Bouret et al. (2012) and Šurlan et al. (2013) performed spectral modelling of the FUV, UV and optical spectrum of HD 15570 using the CMFGEN (Hillier & Miller, 1998) and PoWR (Hamann & Gräfener, 2004) model atmosphere codes, respectively. Whilst Bouret et al. (2012) assumed the wind to host a distribution of optically thin clumps, Šurlan et al. (2013) allowed the clumps to be of arbitrary optical depth. Bouret et al. (2012) had to assume a sub-solar phosphorus abundance to fit the P v $\lambda\lambda$ 1118, 1128 resonance lines, whilst Šurlan et al. (2013) could fit these lines with solar phosphorus abundance. Bouret et al. (2012) inferred $T_{\text{eff}} = 38000 \text{ K}$, $\log L/L_{\odot} = 5.94$, and a mass-loss rate $\dot{M} = 2.19 \ 10^{-6} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$. These authors also noted strongly enhanced N and strongly depleted C abundances, which most likely reflect the advanced evolutionary stage of the star. Šurlan et al. (2013) adopted the same effective temperature,



Fig. 2. Blue spectral regions of the components of $BD+60^{\circ}$ 497 obtained by disentangling of our HEROS spectra. The primary (top) and secondary (bottom) spectra are shown with their continua normalized to the relative contribution to the combined spectrum.

luminosity and chemical composition (except for phosphorus) and derived a mass-loss rate of $2.75 \ 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \ yr^{-1}$, in good agreement with the value of Bouret et al. (2012).

3.3. HD 15629

Sota et al. (2011) proposed a spectral-type O4.5 V((fc)) where the 'c' tag indicates that the C III $\lambda\lambda$ 4647-50-51 emission lines have the same intensity as N III λ 4634. Our two HEROS spectra suggest a slightly later spectral type (O5), but otherwise agree with the results of Sota et al. (2011). We find that the Si IV λ 4089 line is filled up by emission and that the Si IV λ 4116 line is weakly in emission. Moreover, we note a group of emission lines at 6716, 6721 and 6728 Å that we tentatively identify as a blend of C III and N v lines following the discussion for other stars in Walborn (2001).

Repolust et al. (2004) analysed the optical line spectrum of HD 15629 with the FASTWIND (Puls et al., 2005) code and inferred $T_{\rm eff} = 40500$ K, $\log L/L_{\odot} = 5.60 \pm 0.13$ and mass-loss rate $\dot{M} = 1.28 \ 10^{-6} \ M_{\odot} \ yr^{-1}$ (assuming a smooth wind). A subsequent analysis by Martins et al. (2005) with the CMFGEN code accounting for wind clumping led to similar stellar parameters ($T_{\rm eff} = 41000$ K, $\log L/L_{\odot} = 5.56$), but a significantly lower mass-loss rate ($3.2 \ 10^{-7} \ M_{\odot} \ yr^{-1}$ for a filling factor of 0.1 in the outer regions of the wind).

Neither Hillwig et al. (2006) nor De Becker et al. (2006) found significant and coherent RV variability. Our two HEROS spectra broadly agree with this conclusion (see Table B.3). Therefore, there is currently no evidence for binarity of HD 15629. Peri et al. (2012) studied *WISE* images that reveal a complex layered structure, making HD 15629 a bow-shock candidate. We stress, however, that the RV of the star does not deviate significantly from that of other O-stars in IC 1805, unlike what would be expected for a runaway star.

3.4. BD+60° 497

This star was found to be a double-lined spectroscopic binary with an orbital period of 3.96 days by Rauw & De Becker (2004). Based on a set of 16 blue spectra with a resolving power of 8000 obtained with the Aurélie spectrograph at the 1.52 m telescope at Observatoire de Haute Provence, these authors classified the system as an O6.5 V((f)) + O8.5-9.5 V((f)). They also presented

a first orbital solution assuming a circular orbit. Later on, Hillwig et al. (2006) combined the data of Rauw & De Becker (2004) with their own spectra, which had a resolving power of 5700, to revise the orbital solution. They derived a period of 3.95863 ± 0.00021 days and instead favoured an eccentric orbital solution with $e = 0.156 \pm 0.019$.

Our new HEROS spectra clearly confirm that this system has an eccentric orbit. We applied our spectral disentangling routine, which is based on the method of González & Levato (2006), to the HEROS and Aurélie spectra of the system and derived revised radial velocities that are listed in Table B.4. The separated spectra of BD+60° 497 (Fig. 2) support the previous spectral classification proposed by Rauw & De Becker (2004). Based on the Conti (1973) criterion, we infer spectral types O6.5 V((f)) and O8.5 V for the primary and secondary, respectively.

Using the LOSP code with the newly derived RVs, we then derived a new orbital solution for $BD+60^{\circ}$ 497 (see Fig. 3 and Table 3). We considered two different sets of RV data, either restricted to the RVs obtained through spectral disentangling, or also including the RVs quoted by Hillwig et al. (2006). In both cases, we find an eccentricity that matches the value proposed by Hillwig et al. (2006) quite well, whilst the longitude of periastron is significantly larger than the value obtained by Hillwig et al. (2006). This could indicate that the system undergoes a relatively fast apsidal motion. However, by far the largest differences between the two orbital solutions concern the amplitudes of the RV curves. This also leads to somewhat different values of the mass ratio. Additional spectroscopic monitoring at high spectral resolution is probably needed to solve this problem.

3.5. BD+60° 498

This star was classified as O9.7 II-III by Sota et al. (2011). Underhill (1967) reported double lines in the spectrum of $BD+60^{\circ}$ 498, suggesting it to be a binary.

Our 12 HEROS spectra of this star reveal RV changes (measured on H I, He I and He II lines) between -125 and -11 km s⁻¹ with a clear progression from the lowest to the least-negative value over a seven nights interval (see Table B.5 and Fig. 4). This situation suggests that this is a binary system with an orbital period of more than ten days, possibly with a rather eccentric orbit. Whilst there are some hints for a secondary spectral signature in He I lines around the most extreme RVs, a far more extensive observing campaign would be needed to derive a full SB2 so-



Fig. 3. *Left*: new orbital solution of BD+ 60° 497, using only RVs obtained by spectral disentangling (see text and Table 3). Aurelie data from Rauw & De Becker (2004) are shown by squares, HEROS data are given by circles. Filled and open symbols stand for the primary and secondary star, respectively. *Right*: same, but this time also including the RV data from Hillwig et al. (2006, shown by triangles).

Table 3. New orbital solution of $BD+60^{\circ}$ 497.

	Aurélie + HEROS	Aurélie, HEROS
	data	+ Hillwig et al. (2006)
Porb (days)	3.959266 ± 0.000030	3.959259 ± 0.000024
T_0 (HJD)	2456682.086 ± 0.071	2456682.037 ± 0.069
e	0.159 ± 0.039	0.149 ± 0.017
ω (°)	153.1 ± 7.2	148.0 ± 6.9
m_1/m_2	1.88 ± 0.09	1.56 ± 0.06
$K_1 ({\rm kms^{-1}})$	124.6 ± 4.9	139.6 ± 3.5
$K_2 ({\rm kms^{-1}})$	234.7 ± 9.2	218.0 ± 5.5
$\gamma_1 (\mathrm{kms^{-1}})$	-38.8 ± 3.6	-43.4 ± 3.3
$\gamma_2 (\mathrm{km}\mathrm{s}^{-1})$	-54.6 ± 4.6	-61.4 ± 3.8
$m_1 \sin^3 i (\mathrm{M}_{\odot})$	11.9 ± 1.2	11.1 ± 0.6
$m_2 \sin^3 i (M_{\odot})$	6.3 ± 0.6	7.1 ± 0.4
$a \sin i (\mathbf{R}_{\odot})$	27.7 ± 0.8	27.6 ± 0.5

lution. BD+60° 498 thus appears to be the third O-type binary system within IC 1805. The spectral lines are rather broad, and part of this broadening very likely stems from the blends with the companion's spectral signature. The Conti (1973) criteria applied to the mean spectrum yield a combined spectral type O9.7 in agreement with the result of Sota et al. (2011). However, the strength of the He II λ 4686 absorption, as well as the ratio between the strengths of Si IV λ 4088 and He I λ 4143, suggest a main-sequence luminosity class instead of a giant or bright giant, as proposed by Sota et al. (2011).

3.6. BD+60° 499

Sota et al. (2011) classified this star as O9.5 V, and our HEROS spectra fully agree with this classification. Underhill (1967) suggested that this is a single star.

We have only two HEROS spectra separated by three nights. The spectra reveal very narrow lines. Huang & Gies (2006) determined a projected rotational velocity of only 18 km s^{-1} for this star, whilst Martins et al. (2015) obtained 30 km s^{-1} . Huang & Gies (2006) also noted a change in RV (from -66.0 to -41.3 km s⁻¹) between their two spectra. Although our data



Fig. 4. Radial velocities of $BD+60^{\circ}$ 498 as measured on our HEROS data (see Table B.5). The top panel corresponds to data taken in February 2014, whilst the bottom panel illustrates the data from October and November 2014.

set is clearly not sufficient to rule out binarity, we stress that our data indicate a constant RV of -46.6 km s⁻¹ (Table B.6).

3.7. BD+60° 501

Rauw & De Becker (2004) provided an O7 V((f)) spectral type, while Sota et al. (2011) classified this star as O7 V(n)((f))z where the (n) qualifier stands for lines that are broadened by $v \sin i \sim$ 200 km s⁻¹ and the z tag indicates that the He II λ 4686 line is stronger than both He I λ 4471 and He II λ 4542.

Neither Rauw & De Becker (2004) nor Hillwig et al. (2006) found significant RV variations for this star, although the mean RVs obtained by these authors significantly differ. Rauw & De



Fig. 5. *Left:* energy-coded three-colour image of our *XMM-Newton* observation of IC 1805. Data from the three EPIC cameras were combined and exposure corrected to build this image. Red, green, and blue correspond to photon energies in the ranges [0.5, 1.0], [1.0, 2.0], and [2.0, 8.0] keV, respectively. *Right*: combined EPIC image illustrating the location of the O-type stars in IC 1805.

Becker (2004) obtained a mean of -49.9 ± 2.5 , whilst Hillwig et al. (2006) instead inferred -57.9 ± 1.1 km s⁻¹.

very probably pre-main-sequence (PMS) or extragalactic background sources; see below and Sung et al. (in prep.) for a full discussion of their counterparts.

3.8. BD+60° 513

This star displays broad absorption lines in its optical spectrum indicating that it must be a fast rotator (Rauw & De Becker, 2004; Hillwig et al., 2006). Whilst some RV variations were reported, they most likely result from distortions of the broad lines by intrinsic profile variations (Rauw & De Becker, 2004). The star was classified as O7.5 V((f)) by Rauw & De Becker (2004) and O7 Vnz by Sota et al. (2011), where the n qualifier stands for lines that are broadened by $v \sin i \sim 300 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$. Rauw & De Becker (2004) obtained a mean RV of -44.3 ± 10.5 , whilst Hillwig et al. (2006) instead quoted $-58.6 \pm 2.8 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$.

In February 2014 we obtained a single HEROS spectrum of the star that confirms the O7.5 Vnz spectral type. There is an Of emission hump between 4600 and 4700 Å. Measuring the same spectral lines as Rauw & De Becker (2004), we obtain a heliocentric RV of -65.7 ± 9.7 km s⁻¹ where the quoted uncertainty corresponds to the dispersion among the values of the four lines.

4. X-ray emission in IC 1805

Figure 5 illustrates the X-ray view of IC 1805 as seen with *XMM*-*Newton*. Red, green, and blue correspond to photon energies in the ranges [0.5, 1.0], [1.0, 2.0], and [2.0, 8.0] keV, respectively. The detection algorithm yields a total of 191 detected EPIC sources (see Table A.1). Except for a few soft sources, the brightest X-ray emitters appear yellow and are associated with the O-star members of the cluster. A few bright sources (XID 5, 8, 11) that are not associated with O-stars appear red in Fig. 5. These are most likely late-type foreground stars (see Sect. 4.3). Some very bright blue sources (XID 3 and 13) could be associated with background AGNs. Finally, many weak sources, most of which display a relatively hard emission (green or even blue in Fig. 5) are scattered throughout the cluster. Most of these sources are

4.1. O-type stars

Eight stars of an O-type spectral type are located inside the EPIC field of view (see Fig. 5 and the objects listed in Sect. 3). Two more objects inside the field of view have been classified as O-stars in the literature at some point, but this classification has been revised since then. ALS 7270 (= IC 1805 113 = LS I +61° 277) was listed as O9.5 Ve by Shi & Hu (1999). However, Negueruela et al. (2004) reclassified this star as B1 Ve rather than O9.5 Ve, explaining its non-detection in our *XMM-Newton* observation. Similarly, BD+60° 512 is listed in the online table of Reed (2005) as an O6 star. However, the coordinates given by Reed (2005) and the spectral classification refer to the nearby BD+60° 513 (see Sect. 3). Ishida (1970) instead classified BD+60° 512 as a foreground F8 V star. This probably explains why this star is undetected in our *XMM-Newton* observation.

The fits of the X-ray spectra of the O-stars were made using xspec (Arnaud, 1996) version 12.9.0i. The plasma abundances were taken to be solar (Asplund et al., 2009). The interstellar absorption was modelled using the Tübingen-Boulder ISM model (tbabs, Wilms et al., 2000). To evaluate the interstellar neutral hydrogen column density, we adopted the photometric colours of Sung & Lee (1995) along with the intrinsic colours from Martins & Plez (2006) and the conversion between colour excess and neutral hydrogen column density of Bohlin et al. (1978). X-ray spectra from massive stars can furthermore be absorbed by the ionized stellar wind. To model such an absorption, we imported the stellar wind absorption model of Nazé et al. (2004) into xspec as a multiplicative tabular model (hereafter labelled wind). Emission from collisionally ionized equilibrium optically thin thermal plasma was modelled using the apec models (Smith & Brickhouse, 2001). We used models computed with ATOMDB v2.0.2. The fits were performed for energy bins be-



Fig. 6. Left: comparison of the EPIC spectra of HD 15558 with the best-fit model quoted in Table 4. Black, red, and blue colours refer to the spectra obtained with the EPIC-MOS1, MOS2, and pn detectors, respectively. *Right*: same for HD 15570.

tween 0.2 and 12.0 keV. X-ray fluxes corrected for the interstellar absorption and estimates of their errors were obtained using the *cflux* command in **xspec**.

We tested models of the type tbabs×wind× $\sum_{i=1}^{1 \text{ or } 2}$ apec(T_i). low-quality For spectra, the data did not allow us to constrain the value of the wind absorption component or the properties of a second plasma component. In those cases, we rather adopted a single-temperature model absorbed by the sole interstellar absorption. The results of our fits are listed in Table 4 and the best fits of the EPIC spectra of HD 15558 and HD 15570 are illustrated in Fig. 6.

Given the sizes of the extraction regions of the *XMM-Newton* spectra (see Sect. 2.1), we need to consider the possible contamination of the EPIC spectra of the O-type stars by other sources.

HD 15558 is located in a very crowded region of IC 1805: Maíz Apellániz (2010, see also references therein) reported three companions within 10'.'6 of the star. The brightest of these objects is about 2.8 mag fainter than HD 15558 in the z-band. Ten sources in the *Chandra* data (Townsley et al., 2014), including the O-star binary itself, lie within the EPIC extraction region (radius of 10'' around HD 15558). These sources are much weaker than HD 15558, however. The net number of counts of the brightest and second-brightest secondary sources is only 2.5 and 2.0% of that of HD 15558. All other secondary sources are at least a factor of 3 weaker.

Two sources in the ACIS data lie close to the position of BD+60° 499. They are located at 1.11 and 4.16. The former is most likely the X-ray counterpart of the O-star⁵, whilst the latter (source b = CXO 023216.25 +613312.0, Townsley et al., 2014) is probably an unrelated PMS star caught during a flare. Its ACIS spectrum presents a much higher plasma temperature than O-stars usually do (see last line of Table 4).

Finally, two secondary sources in the *Chandra* data, lie within the *XMM-Newton* spectral extraction region of $BD+60^{\circ}$ 501 (Townsley et al., 2014). The brightest has a net number of ACIS counts that amounts to 18% of that of $BD+60^{\circ}$ 501 and could thus moderately contribute to the EPIC spectrum of the O-star.

We therefore conclude that the EPIC spectra of the O-type stars are only weakly affected by contamination from secondary sources.

4.1.1. Comparison between different data sets

Before we correlated the X-ray fluxes with the bolometric fluxes, we compared the absorption-corrected X-ray fluxes inferred from the O-type stars in common between the *ROSAT*, *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* data. The results are displayed in Fig. 7. The left panel shows excellent agreement between the *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* data. When we discard HD 15558, which is subject to moderate pile-up in the ACIS data, we find an average deviation (in the sense ACIS – EPIC) of -0.03 dex^6 . This result contrasts with the situation found in Cygnus OB2 (Rauw et al., 2015), where significant differences between the two instruments were found. The right panel of Fig. 7 also indicates a good agreement within errors between the PSPC and EPIC data. The latter comparison also indicates that most of the X-ray emission of the O-type stars in IC 1805 occurs in the soft band.



Fig. 7. *Left*: comparison of the fluxes corrected for ISM absorption of O-type stars in IC 1805 in the 0.5-10 keV energy band as determined with *XMM-Newton* and *Chandra*. The filled symbol stands for HD 15558, which is subject to mild pile-up in the *Chandra* data. The dashed line corresponds to the one-to-one relation. *Right*: comparison of the 0.5-2.5 keV *ROSAT* fluxes of O-type stars versus the 0.5-10 keV *XMM-Newton* fluxes.

⁵ We note that these objects are located far off-axis in the ACIS data and the PSF is quite heavily elongated, thereby increasing the uncertainties on the source positions.

⁶ Including HD 15558 in the analysis does not change the conclusion in any significant way

$\log L_{ m X}/L_{ m bol}$		-7.03 ± 0.06	-7.27 ± 0.25	-7.41 ± 0.18	-7.10 ± 0.11	-6.96 ± 0.19	-6.79 ± 0.04	-6.94 ± 0.06	-7.56 ± 0.14	-6.81 ± 0.01	-6.80 ± 0.02	-7.24 ± 0.03	-7.28 ± 0.05	-7.05 ± 0.03	-7.01 ± 0.08	
$f_{\rm X}^{\rm un}$	$cm^{-2} s^{-1}$)	$15.7^{+1.50}_{-2.00}$	$1.80^{+1.44}_{-0.64}$	$1.29\substack{+0.66\\-0.46}$	$2.00^{+0.40}_{-0.44}$	$2.75^{+1.48}_{-0.86}$	$9.71^{+0.88}_{-0.85}$	$6.76_{-0.84}^{+0.84}$	$2.20^{+0.67}_{-0.58}$	$56.9^{+1.6}_{-1.7}$	$57.3^{+2.2}_{-2.2}$	$32.8^{+2.3}_{-2.2}$	$30.5^{+4.0}_{-1.4}$	$20.3^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$	$21.7^{+4.4}_{-3.5}$	$4.88^{+1.35}_{-1.48}$
$f_{\rm X}$	(10^{-14} erg)	$5.58^{+.25}_{27}$	$0.65^{+.08}_{65}$	$0.46^{+.04}_{12}$	$0.83^{+.10}_{11}$	$1.01^{+.07}_{29}$	$3.39^{+.08}_{38}$	$3.09^{+.20}_{22}$	$0.86^{+.10}_{16}$	$26.96^{+.29}_{60}$	$32.7^{+.40}_{-1.40}$	$13.91^{+.11}_{46}$	$14.59^{+.65}_{64}$	$6.32_{38}^{+.07}$	$6.80^{+.57}_{56}$	$3.86^{+.60}_{77}$
χ^2_{ν} (dof)		0.97(38)	0.83(2)	0.03(3)	1.78(7)	0.02(2)	0.93(58)	0.75(22)	0.26(3)	1.60(238)	1.49(133)	1.38(153)	1.15(96)	0.97(95)	1.22(31)	1.02(9)
$norm_2$	(cm ⁻⁵)	I	I	I	I	I	$(2.56^{+0.74}_{-0.91})10^{-5}$	$(1.50^{+0.65}_{-0.55}) 10^{-5}$	I	$(3.09^{+0.19}_{-0.19})10^{-4}$	$(2.50^{+0.22}_{-0.27})10^{-4}$	$(2.80^{+0.38}_{-0.37}) 10^{-4}$	$(2.69^{+1.27}_{-1.31}) 10^{-4}$	$(2.87^{+1.80}_{-1.11}) 10^{-5}$	I	I
kT_2	(keV)	I	Ι	I	Ι	Ι	$0.97^{+.47}_{37}$	$1.56^{+.84}_{33}$	Ι	$1.24_{05}^{+.05}$	$1.97^{+.17}_{16}$	$0.96^{+.07}_{06}$	$0.95^{+.25}_{12}$	$0.87^{+.18}_{16}$	I	I
norm1	(cm ⁻⁵)	$(7.58^{+0.87}_{-1.06})\ 10^{-5}$	$(9.1^{+20.5}_{-4.9}) 10^{-6}$	$(6.4^{+9.7}_{-2.5})10^{-6}$	$(9.2^{+1.8}_{-1.8})10^{-6}$	$(1.30^{+2.13}_{-0.45}) 10^{-5}$	$(1.69^{+1.00}_{-0.69})10^{-4}$	$(2.26^{+0.56}_{-0.56}) 10^{-5}$	$(0.99^{+0.25}_{-0.27}) 10^{-5}$	$(1.09^{+0.20}_{-0.20})10^{-3}$	$(1.81^{+0.74}_{-0.54})10^{-4}$	$(1.09^{+0.47}_{-0.37}) 10^{-3}$	$(7.30^{+11.73}_{-2.92})10^{-4}$	$(3.56^{+1.48}_{-1.10})10^{-4}$	$(6.79^{+5.03}_{-3.00})10^{-4}$	$(3.26^{+0.97}_{-0.76})10^{-5}$
kT_1	(keV)	$0.65^{+.10}_{05}$	$0.54_{26}^{+.37}$	$0.55^{+.31}_{25}$	$0.79^{+.24}_{15}$	$0.62^{+.30}_{31}$	$0.27^{+.03}_{03}$	$0.61^{+.14}_{26}$	$0.71_{22}^{+.22}$	$0.33^{+.02}_{01}$	$0.79^{+.05}_{05}$	$0.32^{+.05}_{03}$	$0.42^{+.15}_{12}$	$0.27^{+.01}_{02}$	$0.29^{+.05}_{03}$	$4.0^{+10.0}_{-1.7}$
$\log N_{\rm wind}$		I	I	I	I	I	$21.57^{+.15}_{23}$	I	I	$21.77^{+.04}_{05}$	$21.13^{+.28}_{-1.02}$	$21.95_{04}^{+.04}$	$21.97^{+.08}_{07}$	$21.49^{+.13}_{20}$	$21.71^{+.12}_{16}$	I
$N_H^{\rm ISM}$	$(10^{22}{ m cm^{-2}})$	0.48	0.44	0.44	0.46	0.46	0.42	0.42	0.45	0.44	0.44	0.57	0.57	0.41	0.41	$0.39^{+.35}_{23}$
Inst.		M2 + pn	M2 + pn	ACIS	M2 + pn	ACIS	EPIC	ACIS	M2 + pn	EPIC	ACIS*	EPIC	ACIS	EPIC	ACIS	ACIS
oject		+60° 497	0+60° 498	0+60° 498	0+60° 499	0+60° 499	0+60° 501	0+60° 501	0+60° 513	ID 15558	ID 15558	ID 15570	ID 15570	ID 15629	ID 15629	Source b

Table 4. X-ray spectral fits of the O-type stars in IC 1805

Notes. The ACIS-I spectrum of HD 15558 is affected by moderate pile-up. Source b is CXO 023216.25 +613312.0, i.e. a secondary source detected with *Chandra* at 4".6 from the position of BD+60° 499. The normalization of the apec models corresponds to $\frac{10^{-14} \int n_e n_H dV}{d^2}$ where *d* is the distance of the source (in cm), n_e and n_H are the electron and hydrogen densities of the source (in cm^{-3}). The fluxes f_X and f_X^{m} correspond to the observed and ISM-absorption corrected fluxes in the 0.5 - 10.0 keV energy band. Another conclusion that can be drawn from Fig. 7 is that there are no large flux changes between the epochs of the various X-ray observations. Furthermore, adopting a significance level of 1% in our variability tests (see Sect. 2.1), we found that all O-stars were compatible with a constant emission during the *XMM-Newton* exposure. Overall, the X-ray emission of the majority of the O-stars in IC 1805 seems constant on short and long timescales.

4.1.2. L_X/L_{bol} relation of O-type stars

To build the L_X/L_{bol} relation of the O-type stars in IC 1805, we first need to establish their bolometric fluxes. For this purpose, we adopted the B and V magnitudes from Sung & Lee (1995). The reddening A_V was obtained assuming $R_V = 2.9$ (Sung & Lee, 1995) and using the intrinsic colours as well as bolometric corrections as a function of spectral type given by Martins & Plez (2006). For the two established binary systems, HD 15558 and BD+60° 497, we took the contributions from each star into account. De Becker et al. (2006) estimated that the primary of HD 15558 accounts for about 5/6 of the bolometric luminosity of the system. For BD+60° 497, the studies of Rauw & De Becker (2004) and Hillwig et al. (2006) agree about an optical brightness ratio of ~ 0.35 between the secondary and primary stars, and the bolometric flux was computed accordingly. Finally, for the binary candidate BD+60° 498, we currently lack information on the secondary star's spectral type. For this system, we therefore assumed the bolometric correction corresponding to its combined spectral type (see Sect. 3.5).

The X-ray fluxes corrected for ISM absorption found on our *XMM-Newton* data are plotted as a function of the bolometric fluxes in Fig. 8.

In some massive binary systems, colliding stellar winds provide an excess of X-ray emission in addition to the intrinsic emission generated in the winds of the individual binary components (e.g. Rauw & Nazé, 2016, and references therein). The amount of additional X-ray emission varies tremendously from one object to the other, however, and in recent years it was found that the vast majority of massive binaries are not X-ray overluminous (e.g. Oskinova, 2005; Nazé et al., 2011, 2013a; Rauw et al., 2015). From our current best knowledge of multiplicity among O-stars in IC 1805 (see Sect. 3), we expect only three objects (BD+60° 497, BD+60° 498, and HD 15558) to host potential X-ray emission from colliding winds.

Whilst HD 15558 is indeed the X-ray brightest object in the cluster, neither its X-ray luminosity nor its plasma temperature appear exceptional. In a wide eccentric binary such as HD 15558, the wind interaction region is likely in the adiabatic regime (Stevens et al., 1992). As a result, the X-ray emission from the colliding wind interaction is expected to scale with the inverse of the orbital separation. In the present case, with e = 0.42, we expect a variation by a factor 2.4 between apastron and periastron. Our XMM-Newton observation ($\phi = 0.46$) and the *ROSAT* observation ($\phi = 0.42$) were both obtained near apastron when the orbital separation is largest, hence the contribution of the colliding winds should be close to its minimum value. However, the Chandra observation was taken at orbital phase $\phi = 0.11$. In principle, we might therefore obtain a rough estimate of the emission level from colliding winds by considering the variations of the X-ray flux between the Chandra and XMM-Newton observation. Unfortunately, the ACIS data are affected by pile-up, which renders such a comparison quite uncertain. At best, we note from our spectral fits that HD 15558 appears indeed brighter during the ACIS observation, but only slightly so (by 21% in observed flux, and by less than 1% in ISM-corrected flux), certainly not by a factor 2. Concerning the other two binaries, we note that none of them appears X-ray overluminous compared to the general trend in Fig. 8. We therefore conclude that to first order, X-ray emission from colliding winds probably does not play a major role in the properties of the X-ray emission of O-stars in IC 1805.

Using the X-ray fluxes corrected for ISM absorption obtained from our *XMM-Newton* data and the estimates of the bolometric fluxes, we have fitted a simple least-squares scaling relation:

$$\log L_{\rm X}/L_{\rm bol} = -6.98 \pm 0.20 \tag{1}$$

Both the average value of $\log L_X/L_{bol}$ and its dispersion are typical of samples of O-type stars in massive young open clusters (e.g. Sana et al., 2006b; Nazé, 2009; Nazé et al., 2011; Rauw et al., 2015). We then investigated the correlation between f_X and $\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}}$. The quantity $\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}}$ provides a measure of the mean wind density, and the cooling length of the hot shocked plasma is inversely proportional to this parameter (Hillier et al., 1993). Therefore, it seems quite natural that this quantity should play a role in the X-ray emission. Analysing a sample of 42 ROSAT-PSPC spectra of O-type stars, Kudritzki et al. (1996) empiri-cally derived a relation $L_{\rm X} \propto \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}}\right)^{-0.38} L_{\rm bol}^{1.34}$. Accounting for the dependence of $\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}}$ on $L_{\rm bol}$ (see Eq. 32 of Owocki et al., 2013), the Kudritzki et al. (1996) result leads to $L_X \propto \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}}\right)^{0.67}$. Based on theoretical considerations, Owocki et al. (2013) predicted that the X-ray luminosity scales as $\left(\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}}\right)^{1-m}$ with *m* in the range [0.2, 0.4]. To check whether such a substitution of the state of the st [0.2, 0.4]. To check whether such a relation applies to our data, we need to estimate the wind terminal velocities and the massloss rates. For the former, we adopted the ratio $v_{\infty}/v_{\rm esc} = 2.6$ along with the escape velocities quoted by Muijres et al. (2012) for the spectral types of the stars in our sample. For the massloss rates, we used the values given by Muijres et al. (2012) as a function of spectral type, which correspond to the formalism of Vink et al. (2001) with $v_{\infty}/v_{\rm esc} = 2.6$. For the two doublelined spectroscopic binaries, we considered the spectral type of the primary star, while we used the combined spectral type for the binary candidate BD+60° 498 (see Sect. 3). A least-squares fit to the full sample of O-stars in IC 1805 then yields

$$\log f_{\rm X} = (0.63 \pm 0.21) \log \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}}\right)_{\rm theor} - 6.81 \pm 2.10 \tag{2}$$

We have to stress that the values of the mass-loss rates and terminal velocities that we use here are theoretical values for a given spectral type that do not account for the effect of clumping. When we consider the two stars in our sample (HD 15570 and HD 15629) for which dedicated model atmosphere fits accounting for clumping are available in the literature (Bouret et al., 2012; Martins et al., 2015), we find that these clumpingcorrected mass-loss rates are lower by a factor 2.9 to 4.6. When we assume, however, that the clumping correction to be applied to the Vink et al. (2001) mass-loss rates is essentially independent of spectral type, the overall trend in the right panel of Fig. 8 should be correct. Relation (2) nicely agrees with the empirical relation found by Kudritzki et al. (1996). Our best-fit m value (0.37 ± 0.21) is well inside the range predicted by Owocki et al. (2013). It is lower than what we found for the Cyg OB2 stars (0.52 ± 0.10) , Rauw et al., 2015), although both values overlap within their errors.



Fig. 8. *Left*: logarithm of the X-ray fluxes corrected for ISM absorption of O-type stars in IC 1805 as a function of the logarithm of the bolometric fluxes. The solid line yields the best-fit scaling relation (Eq. 1) between the X-ray and bolometric fluxes considering the full sample of O-type stars, i.e. including binaries as well as single stars. *Right*: logarithm of the X-ray fluxes corrected for ISM absorption of O-type stars in IC 1805 as a function of log $\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}}$. The solid lines yield the theoretical relation log $f_X \propto (1 - m) \log(\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}})$ (Owocki et al., 2013) with m = 0.37 (Eq. 2).

4.1.3. A break in the L_X/L_{bol} relation for O If⁺ stars?

The two data points that deviate most from relation (2) are $BD+60^{\circ}$ 513 at the faint end, and to a lesser extent, HD 15570 at the brighter end. If we discard these two stars, the best-fit relation becomes

$$\log f_{\rm X} = (0.71 \pm 0.14) \, \log \left(\frac{\dot{M}}{v_{\infty}}\right)_{\rm theor} - 5.92 \pm 1.40 \tag{3}$$

The coefficients in Eqs. (2) and (3) overlap within the errors. BD+60° 513, which has the highest projected rotational velocity among the O-type stars in IC 1805, is also quite faint in its L_X/L_{bol} ratio. On the other hand, HD 15570, which has $\log L_X/L_{bol} = -7.24 \pm 0.03$, is indeed the O4 If⁺ star we are interested in. Does this result imply that O If⁺ stars, and early-O If supergiants in general, are indeed X-ray faint? To answer this question, we first considered other studies of luminous O-supergiants in Galactic young open clusters.

Nazé et al. (2011) studied the L_X/L_{bol} relation for massive stars in the Carina complex. If we focus on the earliest supergiants in their sample, we find $\log L_X/L_{bol} = -6.97$ for HD 93129A (O2 If* + O3.5 V) and -7.21 for Cl* Trumpler 14 MJ 257 (O3/4 If). Generally speaking, presumably single Ostars with spectral types O2 – O5.5 in the Carina Nebula were found to display $\log L_X/L_{bol} = -7.25 \pm 0.22$. Hence, there was no evidence for a significant underluminosity of the earliest Osupergiants in this cluster.

Nazé et al. (2013a) studied the X-ray emission of the massive star population of the open cluster HM 1, which harbours two early-O If⁺ stars, VB 4 and VB 5, which are of spectral types O4 If⁺ and O5 If⁺, respectively. Both were found to have rather low log L_X/L_{bol} values of -7.4 and -7.3 for VB 4 and VB 5, respectively. However, HM 1 is subject to very heavy interstellar absorption, and Nazé et al. (2013a) noted that, given the limited signal-to-noise ratio of their EPIC spectra, this large absorption could lead to an overestimate of the plasma temperature and accordingly to an underestimate of the X-ray flux for these and other stars of the same cluster. Therefore, although this result indicates a relative X-ray underluminosity of O If⁺, it is not sufficient to conclude that this effect is indeed real.

Finally, Rauw et al. (2015) reported $\log L_X/L_{bol} = -7.03$ for the O3 If^{*} star Cyg OB2 #7, whilst the full sample of O-type stars in Cyg OB2 (excluding the very bright, overluminous colliding wind systems Cyg OB2 #5, 8a and 9) yields $\log L_X/L_{bol} = -7.18 \pm 0.21$. Hence, the O supergiant is fully consistent with the overall relation.

Returning to the specific case of IC 1805, we examined the possible causes for the deviations seen in Fig. 8. For this purpose, we considered the recent work of Gayley (2016), who proposed a first attempt to estimate the X-ray emergence efficiency from the winds of all types of non-magnetic single massive stars. The emergence efficiency η_X is defined as the ratio between the emerging X-ray luminosity and the total excess turbulent energy flux injected into the wind. Based on first principles, Gayley (2016) provided a simple expression of η_X (his Eq. 11) as a function of the ratio between the velocity jump needed to account for the mean X-ray photon energy and the wind terminal velocity, on the one hand, and the wind optical depth $\tau(L)$ at some specific distance L in the wind⁷, on the other hand. The latter parameter is a proxy for the mass-loss rate. We solved Eq. 11 of Gayley (2016) assuming m = 0.37 (see Eq. 2) to estimate η_X as a function of $\tau(L)$. We used these results to compare the emergence efficiency for 1 keV photons in HD 15629 (log $L_X/L_{bol} = -7.05$) and HD 15570 (log $L_X/L_{bol} = -7.24$). Both stars are most likely single (see Sect. 3), and their stellar and wind parameters have been determined with the same model atmosphere code (Martins et al., 2005; Bouret et al., 2012). Assuming $L = 1 R_*$ (Gayley,

⁷ This distance scale parameter is the correlation length between the fast wind and the slower clumps. It also corresponds to the porosity length of the wind (Gayley, 2016).

2016), we can then estimate values of $\tau(L) \sim 0.34$ for HD 15629 and ~ 1.66 for HD 15570. These values translate into X-ray emergence efficiencies of 35% and 16%, respectively. Hence, we would expect a 2.2 times (0.34 dex) lower efficiency for the denser wind of HD 15570. Similar conclusions are obtained when we consider the mean photon energies of the apec models in Table 4 instead of a photon energy of 1 keV. Hence, the mild X-ray underluminosity of HD 15570 can very likely be traced to its specific stellar and wind parameters.

4.2. B- and A-type stars

Of the 191 sources detected in the EPIC field of view, 13 have an optical counterpart of spectral type B, three are classified as A-type stars, and two as Herbig Ae/Be star. Spectroscopic surveys of A- and B-type stars in IC 1805 are probably far from complete. Shi & Hu (1999), who provide one of the most extensive spectroscopic surveys of this cluster, list 78 B-type stars in IC 1805, 49 of which lie inside our EPIC field of view. Of these 49 B-stars, 37 have a spectral type earlier than or equal to B4, whilst the remaining 12 have later spectral types. Of the 13 X-ray sources that have a B-type optical counterpart, 10 have been classified by Shi & Hu (1999), 9 of them are early B-type stars, whilst only 1 has a spectral type later than B4. We thus have detection rates of $\sim 24\%$ for B0 - B4 stars and $\sim 8\%$ for B5 - B9.5 stars. This situation is very much reminiscent of that reported by Sana et al. (2006b) for the NGC 6231 cluster: a detection rate of ~ 24% for B0 - B4 stars (15 objects out of 63) and a significant drop (to ~ 7%, 2 objects out of 28) at later subtypes. A higher detection efficiency of 50% (54 objects out of 108) for B-type stars up to spectral type B5 (included) was achieved in the Chandra legacy survey of Cyg OB2 (Rauw et al., 2015). This could be due to the higher sensitivity of Chandra for faint point-like sources.

Three of the EPIC sources with probable A- or B-type optical counterparts were sufficiently bright to produce at least 400 EPIC counts, and we therefore extracted and analysed their spectra (see Sect. 2.1). The spectra were fitted within xspec with either absorbed optically thin thermal plasma apec models or absorbed power-law spectra. The results of these fits are quoted in Table 5 along with the spectral fits of other bright sources in the field of view that are discussed in Sect. 4.3.

XMMU J023240.7+612801 (XID 16) most probably corresponds to IC 1805 143, an early B-star with literature spectral classifications of B1 V (Massey et al., 1995), B0.5 V (Shi & Hu, 1999), or B0.3 V (Huang & Gies, 2006). The best EPIC position is at 1".4 from CXOU 023240.76+612800.2. Although this separation is relatively large for a source located within 1' of the *Chandra* aimpoint, their association is highly likely. A second *Chandra* source (CXOU 023240.79+612758.5) lies in the *XMM-Newton* extraction region, but it is twice as distant and more than 50 times fainter. The absorption and X-ray flux of the target were larger during the *Chandra* observations: in the power-law fits, absorption doubled and flux quadrupled, while the slope did not change significantly⁸.

The optical counterpart of XMMU J023243.3+612803 (XID 18) is classified as a late B-type star in Sung et al. (in prep.) and as an A6 star by Wolff et al. (2011). The EPIC spectra of this object are well fitted by a moderate absorption, close to the typ-

ical value for the IC 1805 cluster, and a rather high temperature. The *Chandra* source CXOU 023243.21+612804.5 lies at 1''.3 distance, which is a non-negligible separation especially since the *Chandra* source was not too far off-axis (<1'). Nevertheless, if both X-ray sources are associated, then the X-ray flux appears to have quadrupled in the *XMM-Newton* observation.

XMMU J023243.7+612631 (XID 19) corresponds to IC 1805 152 classified as B3V by Shi & Hu (1999) and quoted with spectral type B2.5V by Sung et al. (in prep.). Three *Chandra* sources are located within the extraction region of XID 19: CXOU 023243.54+612632.0 (at 1".6), CXOU 023243.39+612635.0 (at 4".2), and CXOU 023243.54+612636.8 (at 5".3). While the separation is non-negligible, the *XMM-Newton* source probably corresponds to the former source, which is 30 times brighter than each of the latter two objects. The X-ray properties (flux, absorption slightly larger than that of the cluster, moderate Γ) appear to have remained relatively constant, within the errors, between the two observations.

A- and B-type stars are usually not expected to be strong intrinsic X-ray emitters. Except for the earliest spectral types, they lack the strong winds that produce the shocks responsible for the X-ray emission of O-type stars. At the same time, except for the latest spectral types, these stars also lack the convective outer layer where the interplay between convection and rotation leads to a dynamo mechanism able to sustain an X-ray bright corona. Observations indeed confirm that A- and B-type stars are generally X-ray dark: based on data from the ROSAT All-Sky Survey, Schröder & Schmitt (2007) found that stars of spectral type B1 - A9 have low, but non-zero, X-ray detection rates, roughly between 10 and 15%. The origin of the X-ray emission of the detected sources is not clear: it could either be intrinsic to the AB stars or arise from unseen late-type companions. A similar situation holds for Herbig Ae/Be stars, where the actual origin of the X-ray emission (intrinsic to the star, produced in a magnetic star/disk interaction, or due to an unresolved latetype pre-main-sequence companion) remains a puzzle (Stelzer et al., 2009). However, the high incidence of binary companions (Duchêne, 2015) in Herbig Ae/Be stars suggests that their Xray emission might be associated, at least to some extent, with unseen low-mass companions.

One of the most promising scenarios for an intrinsic emission of AB stars is the magnetically confined wind shock (MCWS) model (Babel & Montmerle, 1997; ud-Doula & Owocki, 2002). Robrade (2016) discussed the X-ray emission of magnetic Ap/Bp stars. This author notably found that X-ray detections are more frequent among the earliest and most luminous stars in his sample, although there is a large scatter in X-ray luminosity for a given bolometric luminosity. This situation favours an intrinsic origin of the X-ray emission of Ap/Bp stars, possibly related to the MCWS scenario as the more luminous stars have stronger winds that could more easily lead to a significant X-ray emission when interacting with the magnetic field. However, a strong (kilo-Gauss) magnetic field does not provide a sufficient condition for an intrinsic X-ray emission. In a sample of ten magnetic late-B and early-A stars, Czesla & Schmitt (2007) failed to detect X-rays for four stars. Another argument against magnetic fields as the main explanation for the X-ray emission of B-type stars comes from the incidence rate of magnetic fields among OB stars. Sensitive spectropolarimetric surveys have established this rate to be about 6-8% (Wade et al., 2014; Fossati et al., 2015), which is well below the X-ray detection rate. Hence, whilst magnetically

⁸ The usual degeneracy of the fits between a highly absorbed warm plasma and a less absorbed hot plasma prohibits clear conclusions from the thermal fits regarding changes of the absorption.

Name	XID			tbabs×ape	SC .				tbabs×pc	M	
		${N_{H}^{0.0} \over (10^{22}{ m cm}^{-2})}$	kT (keV) (keV)	$norm (10^{-4} \mathrm{cm}^{-5})$	$\chi^2(\mathrm{dof})$	$f_{\rm X}^{f_{\rm X}}$ (10 ⁻¹³ erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	${N_{H} \over (10^{22}{ m cm}^{-2})}$	Ц	norm	χ^2 (dof)	f_X (10 ⁻¹³ erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)
XMMU J023200.4+612038 CXOU 023200.45+612039.0	e	1.35 ± 0.16 1.19 ± 0.25	12.3±4.1 >10.5	4.31 ± 0.20 3.03 ± 0.54	1.09(211) 1.18(118)	5.84 ± 0.42 4.19 ± 0.33	1.84 ± 0.23 1.47 ± 0.28	1.86 ± 0.14 1.59 ± 0.22	1.76 ± 0.38 0.80 ± 0.27	0.95(211) 1.13(118)	5.51 ± 0.34 3.98 ± 0.40
XMMU J023230.2+611747	S	0.07 ± 0.02	0.64 ± 0.15 +1.65+0.26	0.20 ± 0.03 0.48 ± 0.06	1.20(80)	0.92 ± 0.06					
CXOU 023230.26+611748.6		0.73 ± 0.18	0.33 ± 0.08 +2.26±1.08	3.96 ± 3.15 0.70 ± 0.17	1.20(72)	1.26 ± 0.40	0.39 ± 0.12	3.81±0.41	1.09 ± 0.31	1.26(74)	$1.24{\pm}0.10$
XMMU J023407.5+612817	8	0.02 ± 0.02	0.26 ± 0.02 +1.20±0.17	0.18 ± 0.04 0.13 ± 0.03	1.31(54)	0.39 ± 0.03					
XMMU J023300.0+612503	6	0.54 ± 0.22	$3.34{\pm}1.07$	$0.39 {\pm} 0.78$	0.91(37)	0.40 ± 0.05	0.86 ± 0.27	2.48 ± 0.39	0.23 ± 0.11	0.86(37)	0.39 ± 0.06
XMMU J023237.2+612810 CXOU 023237.01+612811.9	10	0.29 ± 0.14 1.45 ±0.33	1.85 ± 0.27 0.81 ± 0.21	0.22 ± 0.03 0.36 ± 0.23	1.06(27) 0.77(9)	0.20 ± 0.06 0.10 ± 0.04	0.82 ± 0.25 0.74 ± 0.49	3.63 ± 0.76 3.35 ± 1.10	0.27 ± 0.18 0.14 ± 0.17	1.07(27) 1.15(9)	0.19 ± 0.03 0.12 ± 0.05
XMMU J023208.0+612203 CXOU 023208.03+612203.6	11	0.90 ± 0.17	0.75 ± 0.12	0.41 ± 0.16	1.13(23)	0.19 ± 0.03	0.78 ± 0.28 0.44 ± 0.28	5.44 ± 1.30 3.63 ± 0.99	0.44 ± 0.34 0.21 ± 0.17	1.17(32) 1.05(23)	0.19 ± 0.04 0.23 ± 0.04
XMMU J023245.8+612959	12	$0.31 {\pm} 0.19$	3.69 ± 2.26	0.19 ± 0.04	0.57(20)	0.22 ± 0.05	0.50 ± 0.29	2.19 ± 0.53	$0.081 {\pm} 0.052$	0.66(20)	0.23 ± 0.05
XMMU J023155.3+612249	13	2.39 ± 2.67	> 3.75	0.61 ± 0.21	1.19(11)	0.75 ± 0.65	2.35 ± 2.75	1.33 ± 0.86	0.11 ± 0.34	1.19(11)	0.77 ± 0.10
XMMU J023300.9+613737	14	0.34 ± 0.12	5.07 ± 3.15	0.43 ± 0.06	1.06(33)	0.58 ± 0.12	0.49 ± 0.19	1.97 ± 0.38	0.16 ± 0.07	1.07(33)	0.59 ± 0.11
XMMU J023243.0+613059	15	0.33 ± 0.12	3.12 ± 0.87	$0.28 {\pm} 0.04$	1.02(35)	0.31 ± 0.05	0.59 ± 0.19	2.47 ± 0.36	0.15 ± 0.06	1.02(35)	0.31 ± 0.04
XMMU J023240.7+612801 CXOU 023240.76+612800.2	16	0.24 ± 0.14 1.58 ± 0.70	2.50 ± 1.29 1.56 ± 0.83	0.15 ± 0.03 1.21 ± 0.52	1.13(15) 1.01(14)	0.16 ± 0.03 0.53 ± 0.13	0.52 ± 0.25 1.05 ± 0.69	2.73 ± 0.73 2.64 ± 0.64	0.097 ± 0.069 0.44 ± 0.52	1.05(15) 1.35(14)	0.16 ± 0.04 0.61 ± 0.17
XMMU J023209.2+613039	17	0.76 ± 0.53	2.69 ± 1.31	0.46 ± 0.18	1.21(17)	0.38 ± 0.09	1.02 ± 0.49	2.60 ± 0.59	0.27 ± 0.22	1.29(17)	0.39 ± 0.11
XMMU J023243.3+612803	18	0.33 ± 0.13	2.58 ± 0.93	0.22 ± 0.03	0.69(24)	0.22 ± 0.04	0.60 ± 0.22	2.59 ± 0.49	0.13 ± 0.07	0.79(24)	0.23 ± 0.04
XMMU J023243.7+612631 CXOU 023243.54+612632.0	19	0.44 ± 0.44 1.34 ± 0.85	2.78 ± 1.86 1.48 ± 1.35	0.31 ± 0.12 0.69 ± 0.47	0.36(10) 1.07(11)	0.30 ± 0.07 0.32 ± 0.12	0.70 ± 0.43 0.95 ± 0.59	2.55±0.67 2.72±0.77	0.18 ± 0.16 0.29 ± 0.33	0.39(10) 1.63(11)	0.31 ± 0.09 0.38 ± 0.14
XMMU J023317.6+612808	20	0.29 ± 0.17	2.91 ± 1.19	0.18 ± 0.04	1.27(18)	0.20 ± 0.04	0.67 ± 0.30	2.79 ± 0.63	0.13 ± 0.09	1.08(18)	0.19 ± 0.04
XMMU J023254.0+612803	21	0.35 ± 0.56	3.93 ± 4.40	0.15 ± 0.08	0.98(11)	0.18 ± 0.05	0.56 ± 0.58	2.20 ± 0.72	0.066 ± 0.082	0.98(11)	0.18 ± 0.06
XMMU J023300.9+612608	22	0.38 ± 0.21	$3.21{\pm}1.82$	0.18 ± 0.04	1.16(15)	0.20 ± 0.04	0.63 ± 0.17	2.43 ± 0.60	0.097 ± 0.068	1.19(15)	0.20 ± 0.05
	i -			-	:						

Table 5. Spectral fits of other bright X-ray sources

Notes. For each source, all existing EPIC spectra were fitted simultaneously. When available, the results of the associated *Chandra* source are presented immediately below the best-tit to the *XMM-Newton* data. Errors correspond to 90% confidence intervals (derived from the Error command for parameters and FLUX Err for fluxes) - when asymmetric, the larger error is indicated. The quoted fluxes are the observed values in the 0.5-10. keV energy band. The normalization of the power-law models is expressed as the number of photons keV⁻¹ cm⁻² s⁻¹ at an energy of 1 keV, whilst the normalization of the apec models is presented in Table 4.

confined winds could indeed explain a few percent of the X-ray detections of early B-type stars, they certainly cannot account for the full set of detections.

The three sources for which we were able to extract EPIC spectra display quite hard spectra, consistent with the generally high plasma temperatures found for low-mass pre-main-sequence (PMS) stars (see Sect. 4.3). Moreover, the hardening and flux increase of XID 16 between the *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* observations may indicate a flaring event. These characteristics thus favour an interpretation of the X-ray emission arising from a late-type PMS companion and not from the AB star itself.

4.3. Other bright X-ray sources in the field of view

As explained in Sect. 2.1, we extracted EPIC spectra for 17 sources that are not O-type stars but were bright enough (they had at least 400 EPIC counts) to perform a (rough) spectral analysis. A cross-correlation with the *Chandra* source list of Townsley et al. (2014) was made for these sources, with an association considered secure whenever the separation was smaller than 1". For those targets for which Townsley et al. (2014) reported at least 100 ACIS net counts (in the 0.5–8.0 keV energy band), we also extracted the ACIS spectra (see Sect.2.2). The spectral fitting was made within xspec considering optically thin thermal plasma apec models and the possibility of non-thermal X-ray emission (represented by a power-law spectrum). Table 5 lists the results of both types of fits, and we now briefly examine each source in turn, except for the three sources that have been discussed in Sect. 4.2.

The third brightest X-ray source in the field of view (XID 3) is XMMU J023200.4+612038, which corresponds to CXOU 023200.45+612039.0 (Townsley et al., 2014), probably a young stellar object (YSO) according to Broos et al. (2013). Sung et al. (in prep.) classify this object as a class I YSO. However, since most YSOs in IC 1805 are rather of class II, the authors caution that it is more likely for this source to be of a different nature, for example extragalactic. This possibility is indeed supported by our X-ray analysis. The best-fit absorbing column exceeds that of the cluster, and the fit by a thermal emission component yields a rather high temperature (>8 keV), while the power-law model has a $\Gamma \sim 2$ in the XMM-Newton data, two properties in line with an extragalactic nature. While the source appeared constant, at the 1% significance level, during the XMM-Newton exposure, the overall flux increased by nearly 40% in the EPIC data compared to the Chandra-ACIS data.

The spectrum of XMMU J023230.2+611747 (XID 5) is clearly better fitted by thermal emission models, and two components are needed to achieve a good fit. This source corresponds to CXOU 023230.26+611748.6 (Townsley et al., 2014) and IC 1805 132, an object considered as a star by Broos et al. (2013) and Sung et al. (in prep.). The latter authors suggest this is a foreground F/G-type star⁹. The fitted temperatures are moderate, and the absorption column density is quite low, typical of nearby stellar sources. The usual trade-off between a more absorbed warm solution and a less absorbed hot solution is found, with *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* data each converging

to one of these cases, respectively (see Table 5). The analysis of the *XMM-Newton* light curve (especially that of EPIC-pn) rejects the constancy hypothesis at the 1% significance level, but the behaviour of the light curve appears more complex than a simple trend or a single large flare (see Fig. 9). In addition, the overall flux appeared to have decreased by about 40% in the *XMM-Newton* exposure compared to the *Chandra* observation, although the error bar is large, hence variation is at the edge of significance.

The spectrum of XMMU J023407.5+612817 (XID 8) is quite similar to that of the previous source, the best fit indicating two moderate temperatures with little absorption, compatible with the foreground late-type star nature pointed out by Sung et al. (in prep.). The emission constancy is rejected at the 1% significance level for the EPIC-pn light curve, and the EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS1 light curves are both significantly better fitted by increasing trends than by constants (see Fig. 9). Unfortunately, this target is located outside the *Chandra* field of view.

The flux of XMMU J023300.0+612503 (XID 9) appears to significantly increase during the XMM-Newton observation. Its X-ray emission appears somewhat absorbed, with either a rather steep slope for a power-law model or a very high temperature for a thermal plasma model. This source corresponds to CXOU 023259.92+612503.1 in Townsley et al. (2014), whose optical/IR counterpart is classified as a young star in Broos et al. (2013). Sung et al. (in prep.) also find that this counterpart lies within the PMS locus of IC 1805. With only 26 net Chandra counts (Townsley et al., 2014), a meaningful spectral comparison cannot be performed for this object, but we note that Townsley et al. (2014) attributed a photon flux of $1.62 \times 10^{-6} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a median energy of 1.73 keV to this source, corresponding to a flux¹⁰ of ~ 4.5×10^{-15} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. The target would thus have brightened by an order of magnitude between the two observations. In this context, it may be noted that constancy was also rejected at the 0.5% significance level in the Chandra data (Townsley et al., 2014).

Three Chandra sources are found within the XMM-Newton extraction region of XMMU J023237.2+612810 (XID 10): CXOU 023237.01+612811.9, CXOU 023236.57+612813.4, and CXOU 023238.26+612807.6 (ordered by increasing separation). The former object clearly dominates the emission of the region in the Chandra data, as it has nearly 120 net counts, while the two other sources display fewer than sevencounts together. In addition, these two faint sources are at least twice as distant from the XMM-Newton position. While its position is shifted by 2".5 compared to that of the XMM-Newton target, a quite high value for the Chandra source within 1' of the aimpoint, the spectral properties of the best-fit power laws are similar in both Chandra and XMM-Newton exposures, suggesting that the two objects are associated. The source flux may have doubled in the XMM-Newton observation, but this variation is again at the edge of significance in view of the large error bars. The number of counts were here too low for a meaningful light-curve analysis, but we note that a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test on the Chandra data rejected the constancy null hypothesis at the 0.5% significance level (Townsley et al., 2014). Sung et al. (in prep.)

⁹ According to Vasilevskis et al. (1965) and Sanders (1972), the cluster membership probability of IC 1805 132 is indeed 0%.

¹⁰ We note that this procedure yielded a flux close to those found in spectral fits for the six *Chandra* sources for which meaningful spectral information could be extracted.

find its optical/IR counterpart to lie within the PMS locus of the cluster.

The spectrum of XMMU J023208.0+612203 (XID 11) appears much better fitted by a power law than by a thermal plasma emission model. This source corresponds to CXOU 023208.03+612203.6, which is considered to be a star (Broos et al., 2013; Sung et al., in prep., it appears in the PMS locus in this latter reference, but the possibility of a foreground late-type star cannot be excluded). Straižys et al. (2013) assigned a K4 V photometric spectral type to the optical counterpart. The source flux is similar in the *Chandra* and *XMM-Newton* observations, but we note that a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test on the *Chandra* data rejected the constancy null hypothesis at the <0.01% significance level (Townsley et al., 2014).

XMMU J023245.8+612959 (XID 12) has an absorption similar to that of the cluster, and it appears moderately hard $(kT \sim 4 \text{ keV}, \Gamma \sim 2)$. Three *Chandra* sources are found within the XMM-Newton extraction region of this source: CXOU 023245.77+612959.4, CXOU 023245.84+612955.3, and CXOU 023246.78+613001.2 (in order of increasing separation). The former object clearly dominates the emission of the region in the Chandra data, as it has more than 60 counts, while the two other sources display ~7 counts together (Townsley et al., 2014). Moreover, these two faint objects are at 3".6 and 7" distance from the XMM-Newton position, respectively. The photon flux and median energy reported by Townsley et al. (2014) suggest a doubling of the X-ray flux in the XMM-Newton observation. Sung et al. (in prep.) find the optical/IR counterpart to lie within the PMS locus, while Broos et al. (2013) suggested a (young) stellar nature for the X-ray source.

XMMU J023155.3+612249 (XID 13) displays a highly absorbed and hard X-ray emission. The temperature associated with a thermal model fitting is unrealistically high. This is not surprising because this target corresponds to CXOU 023155.35+612249.8, which is classified as an AGN in Broos et al. (2013), a scenario reinforced by the lack of optical counterparts in the sensitive survey of Sung et al. (in prep.). The photon flux and median energy reported by Townsley et al. (2014) suggest a tripling of the X-ray flux in the *XMM-Newton* observation.

The light curve of XMMU J023300.9+613737 (XID 14) clearly displays a moderate flare during the observation (Fig. 9). Spectral fitting yields an absorption close to that of the cluster, while the intrinsic emission is moderately hard. Only one *Chandra* source lies within the *XMM-Newton* extraction region, the young star CXOU 023300.58+613737.1, but it is offset by 2''8, which is large even for an object at 10' off-axis angle in the ACIS data. If the two sources correspond to the same object, then the X-ray flux would have changed by a factor of 20. Sung et al. (in prep.) do not find any optical/IR counterpart to this object, while Broos et al. (2013) suggested a stellar nature for the X-ray source.

XMMU J023243.0+613059 (XID 15) has a spectrum similar to that of the previous source. In Simbad, it appears close to 2MASS J02324314+6130587 (which has a likely YSO classification in Broos et al., 2013, and a class II YSO classification in Sung et al. in prep.). Straižys et al. (2013) reported a WISE counterpart, suggesting this object to be an Ae/Be high-mass YSO (see also Sect. 4.2). Its extraction region en-

compasses four Chandra sources: CXOU 023243.14+613058.6 0.'75), CXOU 023243.01+613102.5 (at (at CXOU 3.2), 023243.36+613056.3 (at 3'.'5). and CXOU 023242.08+613105.3 (at 9".5). The former and latter sources have a similar number of counts, but the latter one is quite far away from the XMM-Newton position; the two other sources are fainter by a factor of ~5. If the XMM-Newton source corresponds to the closest Chandra source, then the photon flux and median energy reported by Townsley et al. (2014) suggest a fourfold increase of its flux.

XMMU J023209.2+613039 (XID 17) displays a quite hard spectrum, with an absorption stronger than the typical cluster value and a high temperature/photon index. Its extraction region encompasses two *Chandra* sources: CXOU 023209.23+613040.8 (at 1."1 - not too high considering the ACIS off-axis angle of 5') and CXOU 023207.89+613047.4, which is five times fainter in Townsley et al. (2014) and at a very large angular distance of 12."5 from the *XMM-Newton* position. The former *Chandra* source is a likely YSO (Broos et al., 2013), more precisely classified as a class II YSO by Sung et al. (in prep.); it is also known as 2MASS J02320924+6130404 and was found to be a WISE source probably associated with a YSO (Straižys et al., 2013). Compared to *Chandra* observations (see photon flux and median energy in Townsley et al., 2014), its X-ray flux has quadrupled.

XMMU J023317.6+612808 (XID 20) displays an absorption compatible with that of the cluster and moderately high temperature and Γ . In its extraction region lie two *Chandra* sources CXOU 023317.49+612808.4 and CXOU 023316.53+612809.5, the latter being 20 times fainter and 7 times farther away from the *XMM-Newton* position. Despite a separation of 1".2, it is therefore reasonable to consider the former *Chandra* source as the counterpart of the *XMM-Newton* source. The photon flux and median energy reported by Townsley et al. (2014) suggest that the object was somewhat brighter during the *XMM-Newton* observation. The optical/IR counterpart is a likely YSO (Broos et al., 2013) lying in the PMS locus (Sung et al., in prep.). Straižys et al. (2013) quoted a photometric spectral type G for this object.

With a similar spectrum as the previous object, XMMU J023254.0+612803 (XID 21) is most probably associated with CXOU 023253.96+612804.3, which lies at 1" (a second source in the extraction region, CXOU 023254.24+612814.6 is 30 times fainter in *Chandra* exposure and 10 times farther away). Its optical/IR counterpart is a young star (Broos et al., 2013) lying in the PMS locus (Sung et al., in prep.), and its *XMM-Newton* flux is somewhat higher than the one derived from the photon flux of Townsley et al. (2014).

Again displaying a similar spectrum as the previous sources, XMMU J023300.9+612608 (XID 22) is the probable counterpart of CXOU 023300.79+612609.6 (which was at nearly 3' off-axis in the *Chandra* data, explaining the larger separation - 1."3 with the *XMM-Newton* position). A second source, CXOU 023300.57+612606.8, appears both eight times fainter and twice more distant, rendering an association less likely. The optical/IR counterpart is a likely YSO (Broos et al., 2013) lying in the PMS locus (Sung et al., in prep.) and the X-ray flux is about eight times higher in the *XMM-Newton* observation than in the *Chandra* data.



Fig. 9. EPIC light curves of three secondary sources in the field of IC 1805: XID 5, 8, and 14, from left to right. Black, red, and blue correspond to count rates measured with the EPIC-MOS1, MOS2, and pn cameras, respectively. The light curves were extracted with time bins of 1 ks. Time zero corresponds to the beginning of our *XMM-Newton* observation.

In summary, XID 3 and 13 are probably extragalactic background sources, whilst XID 5 and 8 are probably associated with foreground late-type stars. The remaining bright X-ray sources are most probably PMS members of IC 1805. Megeath et al. (2008) quoted a typical distance for the W4 region of 2 kpc. Adopting this distance and taking an average neutral hydrogen column density of $0.4 \, 10^{22} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$ along with an average plasma temperature of kT = 2.7 keV (see Table 5), we can convert the observed fluxes into intrinsic fluxes and thereby estimate X-ray luminosities. For the X-ray brightest PMS candidates, we found $f_{\rm X} \simeq 0.5 \ 10^{-13} \ {\rm erg \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1}}$ (Table 5) which then translates into $L_{\rm X} \simeq 3.5 \ 10^{31} \ {\rm erg \ s^{-1}}$. Whilst this is a relatively high value, it is not unusual for PMS stars in young open clusters, especially during flares (Sana et al., 2007; Rauw, 2011). We note also that our cross-correlation with catalogues from the literature (see Table A.1) resulted in the association of six X-ray sources with low-mass YSOs identified with WISE (Straižys et al., 2013). Additional support for the conclusion that the bulk of the sources are associated with PMS stars comes from the correlation with deep, sensitive photometry (see Sung et al., in prep.).

5. Summary and conclusions

We have presented new X-ray observations and optical spectroscopy of the very young open cluster IC 1805. We improved the orbital solutions of the two previously known O-star binary systems, HD 15558 and BD+60° 497, and found evidence that BD+60° 498 is a third O-star binary. With this information, we then studied the X-ray emission of the O-star population of IC 1805. X-ray emission from colliding winds in known binary systems was found to play only a marginal role in determining the X-ray luminosity. The X-ray luminosities of O-type stars in IC 1805 follow the well-known canonical scaling relation with bolometric luminosity $\log L_X/L_{bol} = -6.98 \pm 0.20$. We paid particular attention to HD 15570, an O4 If⁺ star, to search for evidence that the dense stellar wind reduces the level of emerging X-ray emission. For this star we obtained $\log L_X/L_{bol}$ = -7.24 ± 0.03 , which is below the scaling relation of the Ostars in the cluster, although at only 1.3 times the dispersion of the latter relation. Such a deviation is compatible with theoretical expectations based on the stellar and wind properties of the star. Considering results for other very early and luminous O-supergiants in the literature, however, we found no clear observational evidence that the most luminous O-supergiants as a class are systematically X-ray underluminous. The reason for this is the relatively small amplitude of the expected reduction effect when compared to the dispersion around the scaling relation.

In addition to the O-type stars, our *XMM-Newton* X-ray data revealed many weaker sources in the field of view of IC 1805. We discussed the X-ray spectra and light curves of the brightest of these objects. Except for a few field interlopers, most of the sources are probably low-mass pre-main-sequence stars belonging to IC 1805. Finally, whilst we detected about 25% of the spectroscopically classified B-type stars in the cluster, the properties of the detected sources are in most cases compatible with X-ray emission from otherwise undetected low-mass PMS companions, thus suggesting that the X-ray detections of B- and A-type stars in IC 1805 are due to such low-mass companions.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Prof. Hwankyung Sung for sharing some of his results with us before publication. Our thanks go to the referee of our paper for a swift and helpful report. We acknowledge support through an ARC grant for Concerted Research Actions, financed by the French Community of Belgium (Wallonia-Brussels Federation), from the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS/FNRS), as well as through an XMM PRODEX contract (Belspo). The TIGRE facility is funded and operated by the universities of Hamburg, Guanajuato and Liège. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France.

References

- Antipin, S.V. 2008, Peremennye Zvezdy Prilozhenie 8,, 19A
- Arnaud, K.A. 1996, in Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V, eds. G. Jacoby, & J. Barnes, ASP Conf. Series, 101, 17
- Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A.J., & Scott, P. 2009, ARA&A, 47, 481
- Babel, J., & Montmerle, T. 1997, ApJ, 485, L29
- Baumgardt, H., Dettbarn, C., & Wielen, R. 2000, A&AS, 146, 251
- Berghöfer, T.W., Schmitt, J.H.M.M., Danner, R., & Cassinelli, J.P. 1997, A&A, 322, 167
- Bieging, J.H., Abbott, D.C., & Churchwell, E.B. 1989, ApJ, 340, 518
- Bohlin, R.C., Savage, B.D., & Drake, J.F. 1978, ApJ, 224, 132
- Bouret, J.-C., Hillier, D.J., Lanz, T., & Fullerton, A.W. 2012, A&A, 544, A67
- Broos, P.S., Getman, K.V., Povich, M.S., et al. 2013, ApJS, 209, 32

Conti, P.S. 1973, ApJ, 179, 181

- Conti, P.S., Hanson, M.M., Morris, P.W., Willis, A.J., & Fossey, S.J. 1995, ApJ, 445, L35
- Czesla, S., & Schmitt, J.H.M.M. 2007, A&A, 465, 493
- De Becker, M. 2013, New Astronomy, 25, 7
- De Becker, M., Rauw, G., Manfroid, J., & Eenens, P. 2006, A&A, 456, 1121
- De Becker, M., Rauw, G., & Linder, N. 2009, ApJ, 704, 964
- Duchêne, G. 2015, Ap&SS, 355, 291
- Feigelson, E.D., Townsley, L.K., Broos, P.S., et al. 2013, ApJS, 209, 26
- Feldmeier, A., Puls, J., & Pauldrach, A.W.A. 1997, A&A, 322, 878
- Fossati, L., Castro, N., Schöller, M., et al. 2015, A&A, 582, A45
- Garmany, C.D., & Massey, P. 1981, PASP, 93, 500
- Gayley, K.G. 2016, AdSpR, 58, 719
- González, J.F., & Levato, H. 2006, A&A, 448, 283
- Gosset, E., Royer, P., Rauw, G., Manfroid, J., & Vreux, J.-M. 2001, MNRAS, 327, 435
- Gosset, E., Nazé, Y., Claeskens, J.-F., et al. 2005, A&A, 492, 685
- Hamann, W.-R., & Gräfener, G. 2004, A&A, 427, 697
- Harnden, F., R., Jr., Branduardi, G., Gorenstein, P., et al. 1979, ApJ, 234, L51
- Heck, A., Manfroid, J., & Mersch, G. 1985, A&AS, 59, 63
- Hillier, D.J., & Miller, D.L. 1998, ApJ, 496, 407
- Hillier, D.J., Kudritzki, R.P., Pauldrach, A.W., et al. 1993, A&A, 276, 117
- Hillwig, T.C., Gies, D.R., Bagnuolo, W.G., et al. 2006, ApJ, 639, 1069
- Hinkle, K., Wallace, L., Valenti, J., & Harmer, D. 2000, Visible and Near Infrared Atlas of the Arcturus Spectrum 3727-9300 Å, eds. K. Hinkle, L. Wallace, J. Valenti, & D. Harmer, San Francisco: ASP
- Huang, W., & Gies, D.R. 2006, ApJ, 648, 580
- Ignace, R., Oskinova, L.M., & Foullon, C. 2000, MNRAS, 318, 214
- Ishida, K. 1970, PASP, 22, 277
- Jansen, F., Lumb, D., Altieri, B., et al. 2001, A&A, 365, L1
- Kudritzki, R.P., Palsa, R., Feldmeier, A., Puls, J., & Pauldrach, A.W. 1996, in *Röntgenstrahlung from the Universe*, eds. H.U. Zimmermann, J. Trümper, & H. Yorke, MPE Rep. 263, 9
- Lindgren, B.W. 1976, Statistical Theory Third Edition, Mc Millan Pub. (New York)
- Maíz Apellániz, J. 2010, A&A, 518, A1
- Martins, F., & Plez, B. 2006, A&A, 457, 637
- Martins, F., Schaerer, D., Hillier, D.J., et al. 2005, A&A, 441, 735
- Martins, F., Hervé, A., Bouret, J.-C., et al. 2015, A&A, 575, A34
- Massey, P., Johnson, K.E., & Degioia-Eastwood, K. 1995, ApJ, 454, 151
- Megeath, S.T., Townsley, L.K., Oey, M.S., & Tieftrunk, A., R. 2008, in *Handbook of Star Forming Regions Vol. I*, ed. Bo Reipurth, Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 264
- Mernier, F., & Rauw, G. 2013, New Astronomy, 20, 42
- Mittag, M., Hempelmann, A., González-Pérez, J.N., & Schmitt, J.H.M.M. 2010, AdAst, 101502
- Muijres, L.E., Vink, J.S., de Koter, A., Müller, P.E., & Langer, N. 2012, A&A, 537, A37
- Nazé, Y. 2009, A&A, 506, 1055
- Nazé, Y., Rauw, G., Vreux, J.-M., & De Becker, M. 2004, A&A, 417, 667
- Nazé, Y., Broos, P.S., Oskinova, L., et al. 2011, ApJS, 194, 7
- Nazé, Y., Rauw, G., Sana, H., & Corcoran, M.F. 2013a, A&A, 555, A83
- Nazé, Y., Oskinova, L.M., & Gosset, E. 2013b, ApJ, 763, 143
- Nazé, Y., Petit, V., Rinbrand, M., et al. 2014, ApJS, 215, 10
- Negueruela, I., Steele, I.A., & Bernabeu, G. 2004, AN, 325, 749
- Oskinova, L.M. 2005, MNRAS, 361, 679
- Oskinova, L.M. 2015, in *Wolf-Rayet Stars*, eds. W.-R. Hamann, A. Sander, H. Todt, 295
- Oskinova, L.M., Ignace, R., Hamann, W.-R., Pollock, A.M.T., & Brown, J.C. 2003, A&A, 402, 755
- Owocki, S.P., Sundqvist, J.O., Cohen, D.H., & Gayley, K.G. 2013, MNRAS, 429, 3379
- Peri, C.S., Benaglia, P., Brookes, D.P., Stevens, I.R., & Isequilla, N.L. 2012, A&A, 538, A108
- Pittard, J.M., & Parkin, E.R. 2010, MNRAS, 403, 1657
- Polcaro, V.F., Viotti, R., Norci, L., et al. 2003, in *International Conference on magnetic fields in O, B and A stars*, eds. L.A. Balona, H.F. Henrichs, & T. Medupe, ASP Conf. Series, 305, 377
- Puls, J., Urbaneja, M.A., Venero, R., et al. 2005, A&A, 435, 669
- Rauw, G. 2011, A&A, 536, A31
- Rauw, G., & De Becker, M. 2004, A&A, 421, 693
- Rauw, G., & Nazé, Y. 2016, AdSpR, 58, 761
- Rauw, G., Nazé, Y., Wright, N.J., et al. 2015, ApJS, 221, 1
- Reed, B.C. 2005, AJ, 130, 1652
- Repolust, T., Puls, J., & Herrero, A. 2004, A&A, 415, 349
- Robrade, J. 2016, AdSpR, 58, 727
- Sana, H., Gosset, E., & Rauw, G. 2006a, MNRAS, 371, 67

- Sana, H., Rauw, G., Nazé, Y., Gosset, E., & Vreux, J.-M. 2006b, MNRAS, 372, 661
- Sana, H., Rauw, G., Sung, H., Gosset, E., & Vreux, J.-M. 2007, MNRAS, 377, 945
- Sanders, W.L. 1972, A&A, 16, 58
- Schmitt, J.H.M.M., Schröder, K.-P., Rauw, G., et al. 2014, AN 335, 787
- Schröder, C., & Schmitt, J.H.M.M. 2007, A&A, 475, 677
- Sciortino, S., Vaiana, G.S., Harnden, F.R.Jr., et al. 1990, ApJ, 361, 621
- Shi, H.M., & Hu, J.Y. 1999, A&AS, 136, 313
- Skrutskie, M.F., Cutri, R.M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Smith, R.K., & Brickhouse, N.S. 2001, ApJ, 556, L91
- Sota, A., Maíz Apellániz, J., Walborn, N.R., et al. 2011, ApJS, 193, 24
- Stelzer, B., Robrade, J., Schmitt, J.H.M.M., & Bouvier, J. 2009, A&A, 493, 1109
- Stevens, I.R., Blondin, J.M., & Pollock, A.M.T. 1992, ApJ, 386, 265
- Straižys, V., Boyle, R.P., Jnusz, R., Laugalys, V., & Kazlauskas, A. 2013, A&A, 554, A3
- Strüder, L., Briel, U., Dennerl, K., et al. 2001, A&A, 365, L18
- Sung, H., & Lee, S.-W. 1995, Journal of the Korean Astronomical Society, 28, 119
- Šurlan, B., Hamann, W.-R., Aret, A., et al. 2013, A&A, 559, A130
- Townsley, L.K., Broos, P.S., Garmire, G.P., et al. 2014, ApJS, 213, 1
- Turner, M.J.L., Abbey, A., Arnaud, M., et al. 2001, A&A, 365, L27
- ud-Doula, A., & Owocki, S.P. 2002, ApJ, 576, 413
- ud-Doula, A., & Nazé, Y. 2016, AdSpR, 58, 680
- Underhill, A.B. 1967, in Determination of Radial Velocities and their Applications, IAU Symp., 30, 167
- Vasilevskis, S., Sanders, W.L., & Van Altena, W.F. 1965, AJ, 70, 806
- Vink, J.S., de Koter, A., & Lamers, H.J.G.L.M. 2001, A&A, 369, 574
- Wade, G. A., Grunhut, J., Alecian, E., et al. 2014, in *Magnetic Fields throughout Stellar Evolution*, IAU Symp., 302, 265
- Walborn, N.R. 2001, in *Eta Carinae and Other Mysterious Stars: The Hidden Opportunities of Emission Spectroscopy*, eds. T.R. Gull, S. Johannson, & K. Davidson, ASP Conference Series, 242, 217
- Wessolowski, U. 1996, in *Röntgenstrahlung from the Universe*, eds. H.U. Zimmermann, J. Trümper, & H. Yorke, MPE Rep., 263, 75
- Willis, A.J., & Stickland, D.J. 1980, MNRAS, 190, 27
- Wilms, J., Allen, A., & McCray, R. 2000, ApJ, 542, 914
- Wolfe, R.H.Jr., Horak, H.G., & Storer, N.W. 1965, in Modern Astrophysics. A
- Memorial to Otto Struve, ed. M. Hack, (New York: Gordon & Breach), 251
- Wolff, S.C., Strom, S.E., & Rebull, L.M. 2011, ApJ, 726, 19

Appendix A: List of X-ray sources detected with XMM-Newton

Table A.1 provides the full catalogue of X-ray sources detected with the EPIC instruments onboard *XMM-Newton* ordered by increasing right ascension. The coordinates of the sources were cross-correlated with the optical and IR catalogues of Straižys et al. (2013), Wolff et al. (2011), and the SIMBAD catalogue. We adopted in each case a correlation radius of 4", which is generally well adapted for EPIC data. For a more detailed comparison, we refer to the work of Sung et al. (in prep.), who present the results of a cross-correlation with their own photometric catalogue, which is much deeper than the catalogues used here. Adopting the same 4" radius for cross-correlation with the 2MASS (Skrutzkie et al., 2006) catalogue results in 72% of the X-ray sources with a single IR counterpart and an additional 5% with two IR counterparts.

Name	TONNN N COMPA	YIN	M	101	M	763		,	Ontiral ID & CnT	Note
XMMU	hhmmss°,"		Ct Rate $(10^{-3} \text{ ct s}^{-1})$	HR	Ct Rate $(10^{-3} \text{ ct s}^{-1})$	(10^{-3} ct s ⁻¹)	Ct Rate	HR		
J023039.8+612949	02:30:39.873 +61:29:49.62	71					20.45 ± 2.37	$0.43 {\pm} 0.09$		
J023040.1+613040	02:30:40.176 +61:30:40.02	54					22.46 ± 2.44	$0.30 {\pm} 0.10$		
J023051.0+613020	02:30:51.030 +61:30:20.33	170	1.51 ± 0.59	$0.30 {\pm} 0.36$	$1.50 {\pm} 0.51$	-0.29 ± 0.37	3.53 ± 1.12	$0.13 {\pm} 0.31$		
J023113.7+612712	02:31:13.771 +61:27:12.29	109	2.33 ± 0.50	0.23 ± 0.21	0.89 ± 0.38	0.45 ± 0.40	3.11 ± 0.74	0.44 ± 0.22		
J023116.3+612321 102312174-612321	02:31:16.339 +61:23:21.69	101	1.22±0.40 1.09±0.37		0.58±0.33 2 51±0 51	0.75±0.42 0.62±0.15	2.47±1.44 ว 84+0 67	-1.00±0.68 0 10+0 24		
J023122.8+613748	02:31:22.854 +61:37:48.74	121	1.07 ± 0.57	0.0040.00	3.20 ± 0.61	-0.99 ± 0.13	1.0110.01	0.10±0.21		
J023124.2+613702	02:31:24.275 +61:37:02.89	92			$4.62 {\pm} 0.74$	-0.64 ± 0.16				
J023127.7+611513	02:31:27.700 +61:15:13.33	70					12.10 ± 1.60	$-1.00{\pm}0.13$		
J023128.1+611644	02:31:28.154 +61:16:44.40	153	1	1	1	1	9.34 ± 1.78	0.38 ± 0.16		
J023129.2+612725	02:31:29.239 +61:27:25.31	156	0.31 ± 0.21	$0.92{\pm}0.68$	0.61 ± 0.23	-0.92 ± 0.32	2.55 ± 0.59	-0.27 ± 0.24		
J023129.2+613623	02:31:29.282 +61:36:23.38	160			1.30 ± 0.48	0.11 ± 0.36	2.99 ± 0.87	-0.43 ± 0.31		
JU23138.0+0132U9	02:31:38:000 +01:32:09.33	141	001.010	100.00	0.64.0.21	-0.43±0.23	3.13±0.02	-0.38±0.20		
1023147.0+613025	02:31:47 048 +61:30:25 70	48	0.04±0.12	-1.00±3.00	2 20+0 38	0.60+0.32	5 66+0 67	0.31±0.29		
J023147.2+613207	02:31:47.205 +61:32:07.53	151			1.00 ± 0.27	-0.89 ± 0.22	2.57 ± 0.57	-0.62 ± 0.23		
J023150.3+613601	02:31:50.315 +61:36:01.01	45			$3.10{\pm}0.54$	0.02 ± 0.17	$6.37 {\pm} 0.87$	-0.43 ± 0.14		
J023150.5+613257	02:31:50.577 +61:32:57.91	55			2.46 ± 0.38	-0.48 ± 0.15	5.26 ± 0.69	-0.74 ± 0.12		
J023150.7+613332	02:31:50.732 +61:33:32.00	180	n 38+n 18	-0 60+0 42	0.75±0.26	-0.55 ± 0.32	2.44±0.58	-0.23±0.24	[SBJLK13] 25; 18 V	(1)
J023155.3+612249	02:31:55.337 +61:22:49.77	13	4.46 ± 0.53	0.45 ± 0.11	3.61 ± 0.48	0.54 ± 0.11	13.58 ± 0.99	0.46 ± 0.07		
J023155.3+613123	02:31:55.396 +61:31:23.37	75			1.16 ± 0.26	-0.23 ± 0.22	5.14 ± 0.84	-0.41 ± 0.16		
J023156.4+613235	02:31:56.421 +61:32:35.00	105			0.75 ± 0.25	-0.80 ± 0.28	1.67 ± 0.51	-0.18 ± 0.31		
JU23156.6+613345	02:31:57117 +61:35:43.38	7 93			3.16±0.45	-0.00 ± 0.14	AD 17±1 77	CU UT10 UT	BD+60° 107: D6 5 V((f))+D8 5 V	3
J023157.2+613243	02:31:57.229 + 61:32:43.53	, 104			1.29 ± 0.29	-0.33 ± 0.22	3.64 ± 0.60	-0.45 ± 0.16	WISE J023157.06+613245.4	¥£
J023157.4+613348	02:31:57.459 +61:33:48.03	132:			$0.50 {\pm} 0.29$	$1.00{\pm}0.36$	$2.64{\pm}0.92$	-0.08 ± 0.35		
J023200.0+612050	02:32:00.028 +61:20:50.60	97:	$1.33 {\pm} 0.58$	$0.54{\pm}0.45$	2.67 ± 0.67	0.51 ± 0.24	$0.00{\pm}0.92$			
J023200.4+612038	02:32:00.491 +61:20:38.85	έω	38.96 ± 1.54	0.22 ± 0.04	40.02 ± 1.64	0.36 ± 0.04	105.13 ± 2.74	0.22 ± 0.03		
J023200.7+613153	02:32:00.702 +61:31:53.05	146	00000	70 0 23 0	0.52 ± 0.20	-0.66 ± 0.34	1.00-0.27	-0.48 ± 0.26	TC 1005 100. 20 V	
J023202.0+012048	02:32:02 776 +61:20:48.03	40	0.08±0.20	-0.03±0.27	0.49±0.10 1 40+0 26	- 1.00±0.12	3 75+0 55	-1.00±0.09	INTD951 1023202 42+612951 2	(I)
J023206.8+613243	02:32:06.884 +61:32:43.70	119			0.72 ± 0.23	-0.13 ± 0.32	$2.63 {\pm} 0.49$	-0.64 ± 0.18	WISE J023206.99+613242.9	(*)
J023208.0+612203	02:32:08.024 +61:22:03.28	11	$3.34 {\pm} 0.45$	$-0.80 {\pm} 0.11$	$3.90{\pm}0.47$	-0.77 ± 0.09	13.52 ± 0.96	-0.92 ± 0.05	[SBJLK13] 53; k4 V	(1)
J023208.4+613529	02:32:08.444 +61:35:29.80	187:			0.50 ± 0.20	-1.00 ± 0.24	2.06 ± 0.58	-0.46 ± 0.30		
J023209.1+612627	02:32:09.181 +61:26:27.64	86 S	0.72 ± 0.19	-0.93+0.15	0.80+0.19	-0.99+0.12	3.22±0.32	-0.80 ± 0.10	IC 1805 110: A8 III	(3)
J023209.2+613039	02:32:09.291 +61:30:39.81	17	$4.89 {\pm} 0.47$	$0.08 {\pm} 0.10$	$3.77 {\pm} 0.39$	-0.22 ± 0.10	7.76 ± 1.53	-0.13 ± 0.20	WISE J023209.24+613040.4	(*)
J023209.6+613824	02:32:09.677 +61:38:24.41	165			1.16 ± 0.38	-0.39 ± 0.36	1.36 ± 0.53	-0.86 ± 0.36	IC 1805 111; B2 V	(4,**)
J023210.8+613307	02:32:10.870 +61:33:07.64	78	010.00		1.13 ± 0.27	-1.00 ± 0.15	3.70 ± 0.51	-1.00 ± 0.05	BD+60° 498; 09.7 V	60
J023210.9+613100	02:32:10.992 +61:31:00.65	0115	0.73 ± 0.26	-0.12±0.35	0.53 ± 0.18	-0.920	2.14 ± 0.43	-0.73 ± 0.18	[MJD95] J023211.11+613102.8; B8	(C)
J023212.5+613856	02:32:12.381 +61:38:36.23	171			1.82 ± 0.43	-0.58 ± 0.24	4.17 ± 0.82	-0.66 ± 0.20		
J023214.4+013330 J023216.4+613209	02:32:16.452 +61:32:09.30	161:			U.40±0.2J	- 1.00±0.27	1.50 ± 0.44	-1.00 ± 0.1		
J023216.5+613313	02:32:16.578 +61:33:13.57	36			$3.63 {\pm} 0.70$	-0.28 ± 0.19	$6.82 {\pm} 0.64$	-0.96 ± 0.06	BD+60° 499; O9.5 V	(2)
J023217.1+612927	02:32:17.129 +61:29:27.09	08	0.60 ± 0.19	-0.65 ± 0.27	1.00 ± 0.21	-0.38 ± 0.21	2.55 ± 0.47	-0.37 ± 0.18		
J023218.2+612650	02:32:18.271 +61:26:50.56	6	1.03 ± 0.23	-0.02 ± 0.23	0.93 ± 0.20	0.30 ± 0.21	3.07 ± 0.45	-0.25 ± 0.14		
J023218.8+613118	02:32:18.885 +61:31:18.43	85	0.64 ± 0.21	-0.39 ± 0.30	0.71 ± 0.19	-0.31 ± 0.25	$1.30{\pm}0.38$	-0.68 ± 0.31		

Table A.1. List of X-ray sources detected with XMM-Newton in IC 1805

XMMU htmms \circ c Rate 0023220.4613014 023220.288 (61.30):14.37 773 0.032401 0023221.1401218 0223221.197 (61.27):18.28 167 0.032401 0023221.1401235 0232221.394 (61.37):18.28 167 0.32401 0023221.1401235 0232221.394 (61.37):18.28 167 0.34401 0023222.14613250 0232225.384 (61.30):30.81 139 0.34401 0023222.14613260 0232225.384 (61.30):30.81 139 0.34401 0023222.1461270 022322.193 161.3111.00 124401 0023223.14612619 0232230.384 (61.37):456 139 0.34401 0023223.14612619 023223.194 (61.27):456 140 0.34401 0023233.24611371 022323.2461 (61.27):456 164.427 0.34401 0023233.24611427 02322.3194 (61.27):456 164.427 0.34401 0023233.24611427 02322.3194 (61.27):458 167 0.34401 0023233.24611427 02322.3194 (61.27):458 167 0.34401 0023233.4461177280 023233244 02324409 <t< th=""><th>Ct Rate HR</th><th>W</th><th>700</th><th>7</th><th></th><th></th><th>Note</th></t<>	Ct Rate HR	W	700	7			Note
$ \begin{array}{c} (10^{-3} \text{crs} \\ 1023220 + 613014 & 02.3221.30 + 61.30.14.37 & 173 & 0.324.01 \\ 1023221 + 612345 & 02.3222.130 + 61.325.965 & 128 & 167 & 0.364.01 \\ 1023222 + 613259 & 02.3222.130 + 61.325.965 & 128 & 163 & 0.364.01 \\ 1023222 + 613259 & 02.3222.130 + 61.325.965 & 128 & 0.364.01 \\ 1023225 + 613210 & 02.3222.51 & 06 + 61.325.04.7 & 173 & 0.364.01 \\ 1023225 + 613210 & 02.3222.51 & 06 + 61.325.04.7 & 173 & 0.364.01 \\ 1023225 + 613210 & 02.322.53 & 06 + 61.375.04.7 & 173 & 0.564.01 \\ 1023225 + 6113609 & 02.322.30 & 281 + 61.3719.65 & 139 & 0.664.02 \\ 1023220 + 6113609 & 02.322.30 & 281 + 61.3719.65 & 128 & 0.664.02 \\ 1023230 + 6113609 & 02.322.30 & 288 + 61.327.073 & 134 & 0.664.02 \\ 1023230 + 6113609 & 02.322.30 & 288 + 61.327.073 & 134 & 0.664.02 \\ 1023230 + 6112619 & 02.322.33 & 207 + 61.172.477 & 5 & 0.544.02 \\ 1023231 + 616219 & 02.322.33 & 579 + 61.3819.65 & 194 & 0.664.02 \\ 1023231 + 616219 & 02.322.33 & 579 + 61.3810.25 & 103 & 0.564.01 \\ 1023232 + 611261 & 02.322.33 & 599 + 61.3719.65 & 189 & 0.264.01 \\ 1023231 + 612261 & 02.322.33 & 599 + 61.382.34.77 & 61 & 0.894.02 \\ 1023231 + 612261 & 02.322.33 & 599 + 61.288.10 & 57 & 102 & 2.667.40 \\ 1023231 + 612261 & 02.322.33 & 599 + 61.288.11 & 02.324.01 \\ 1023232 + 611263 & 02.322.34 & 599 + 61.288.11 & 02.324.01 \\ 1023232 + 611263 & 02.322.34 & 599 + 61.288.11 & 02.324.01 \\ 1023232 + 611263 & 02.322.34 & 599 + 61.288.11 & 579 & 209.02 \\ 1023234 + 612519 & 02.322.34 & 599 + 61.288.11 & 272.24 & 0.284.01 \\ 1023241 + 611276 & 02.322.34 & 309 + 61.255.41 & 3 & 0.324.01 \\ 1023241 + 611276 & 02.322.34 & 309 + 61.255.94 & 129 & 0.234.01 \\ 1023241 + 611276 & 02.322.34 & 309 + 61.255.94 & 139 & 0.234.01 \\ 1023241 + 611276 & 02.322.44 & 301 + 61.277.25 & 311 & 0.324.01 \\ 1023241 + 611279 & 02.322.44 & 501 + 61.277.29 & 30 & 0.0232.24 \\ 1023241 + 611276 & 02.322.44 & 501 + 61.277.29 & 30 & 0.0232.24 & 500 + 61.277.29 & 30 & 0.0232.24 & 500 + 61.277.29 & 30 & 0.0232.24 & 500 + 61.277.29 & 30 & 0.0232.24 & 500 + 61.277.29 & 311 & 0.0232.24 & 500 + 61.277.20 & 02.324$		Ct Rate	HR	Ct Rate	HR		
$\begin{array}{c} 1023220.1.4 (127) \\ 1023221.1.4 (127) \\ 1023221.1.4 (123) \\ 1023221.1.4 (123) \\ 1023221.1.4 (123) \\ 1023225.1.4 (123) \\ 1023224.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (148) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102324.1 (141) \\ 102$	$(10^{-3} \text{ ct s}^{-1})$	$(10^{-3} \text{ ct s}^{-1})$	$(10^{-3} \text{ ct s}^{-1})$				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.32 ± 0.15 -1.00 ± 0	$0.36 0.42\pm0.15$	-0.19 ± 0.36	0.95 ± 0.33	-0.38 ± 0.34		ŧ
$\begin{array}{c} 0.22221.5-612345 \\ 0.23225.5.4-612345 \\ 0.23225.5.308 + 61:23:50.47 \\ 177 \\ 0.23225.5.4-612345 \\ 0.23225.5.308 + 61:23:75.44 \\ 124 \\ 1022325.5.4-611303 \\ 0.23225.5.308 + 61:23:11.00 \\ 148 \\ 0.23225.5.4-611310 \\ 0.23225.5.4-6113719 \\ 0.23225.0.88 + 61:25:0.57 \\ 120 \\ 0.232230.7-611747 \\ 0.232250.88 + 61:25:0.57 \\ 120 \\ 0.232231.9-613719 \\ 0.232230.7-611747 \\ 0.232230.7-6113719 \\ 0.232230.7-6113719 \\ 0.232230.7-6113719 \\ 0.232230.7-6113719 \\ 0.232230.7-611376 \\ 0.232230.8-612350 \\ 0.232230.8-612350 \\ 0.232230.8-612350 \\ 0.232230.8-612350 \\ 0.232230.8-612350 \\ 0.232230.8-612350 \\ 0.232233.2-612471 \\ 0.232233.1-612519 \\ 0.232233.1-612518 \\ 0.232233.1-612526 \\ 0.232236.4612844 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232230.5-611728 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232230.5-611728 \\ 0.232233.1-612519 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232236.4612768 \\ 0.232234.261418 \\ 0.232241.44612556 \\ 0.232243.066 \\ 0.2324$	U.20±U.12 C1.U±0C.U	$0.42 0.41\pm0.10 0.42\pm0.18$	-1.00 ± 0.30	1.30±0.37	-0.122±0.25	(3BJLA13] 91; g3 V	(\mathbf{I})
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.46 ± 0.30	-0.01+0.20	5.82 ± 0.60	-0.52 ± 0.09	[SBJLK13193; p0 V	(1)
$\begin{array}{c} 1023225.1+613250 & 02.32.25.106 + 61:32:50.47 & 177 \\ 1023226.3+613010 & 02.322.26.399 + 61:37:03.087 & 139 & 0.54\pm0.1 \\ 1023229.0+612707 & 02.322.29.990 + 61:37:0731 & 5 & 12.64\pm1.1 \\ 1023220.1+613709 & 02.322.30.281 + 61:37:19.62 & 138 & 133.20 \\ 1023230.2+611747 & 02.322.30.281 + 61:37:19.62 & 138 & 135.20 \\ 1023230.28+613206 & 02.322.30.281 + 61:37:19.62 & 188 & 15.52 & 102.25 \\ 1023233.1+612619 & 02.322.31.594 + 61:27:19.62 & 188 & 16.35\pm0.1 \\ 1023233.1+612619 & 02.322.33.154 + 61:26:19.41 & 66 & 0.84\pm0.2 \\ 1023233.1+612619 & 02.322.33.154 + 61:26:19.41 & 66 & 0.84\pm0.2 \\ 1023233.1+612619 & 02.322.33.154 + 61:26:19.41 & 66 & 0.84\pm0.2 \\ 1023233.5-611281 & 02.322.33.1567 + 61:17.2881 & 38 & 34.22 & 103 & 102.252 \\ 1023235.3+611281 & 02.322.36.199 + 61:27.58.97 & 01 & 36\pm0.2 \\ 1023235.3+611281 & 02.322.34.277 + 61:26:19.41 & 66 & 1.35\pm0.2 \\ 1023235.3+611281 & 02.322.34.277 + 61:27.5881 & 38 & 0.23\pm0.1 \\ 1023235.3+611281 & 02.322.34.277 + 61:27.5881 & 38 & 0.23\pm0.1 \\ 1023235.3+611281 & 02.322.34.277 + 61:27.136 & 109+0.2 \\ 1023235.3+611281 & 02.322.34.277 + 61:22.14.13 & 0124.02 & 1024.02 \\ 1023239.5+612791 & 02.322.40.288 + 61:22.19.28 & 132 & 028\pm0.1 \\ 1023234.1+612554 & 02.322.40.288 + 61:22.19.28 & 1024.02 & 1024.02 & 1022.224 & 1022.234.16 & 65 & 1.224.02 & 1024.02 & 1022.224.02 & 1022.224.13 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 16.2224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 102224.02 & 102224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 1022224.02 & 102224.02 & 1022224.02 & 102224.02 & 102224.02 & 1022224.02 & 102224.02 & 102224.02 & 1022224.02 & 102224.02 & 102224.02 & 102224.02 & 1022224.02 & $	0.34 ± 0.16 -1.00 ± 0	0.17 0.28 ± 0.15	-0.35 ± 0.53	1.77 ± 0.39	-0.13 ± 0.22		E
$\begin{array}{c} 1023225.3+613030 0.23225.380 + 61:30:30.87 139 0.55\pm0.1 \\ 1023229.4611707 0.2322:0.290 + 61:37:77.31 120 0.55\pm0.1 \\ 1023229.4611847 0.2322:30.281 + 61:17:4778 5 12.64\pm1.1 \\ 10232230.7+613609 0.2322:30.281 + 61:37:19.67 139 0.55\pm0.1 \\ 1023231.4616384 0.2322:30.281 + 61:32:67.07 49 1.66\pm0.2 \\ 1023231.4616384 0.2322:33.587 + 61:32:59.76 185 0.89\pm0.2 \\ 1023231.4616384 0.2322:33.581 + 61:32:59.76 161 0.89\pm0.2 \\ 1023233.4616384 0.2322:33.567 + 61:28:24.77 6 0.84\pm0.2 \\ 1023233.4611845 0.2322:33.56199 + 61:37:5897 61 0.36\pm0.1 \\ 1023233.4616384 0.2322:35.6199 + 61:37:5897 61 0.36\pm0.1 \\ 1023235.4+612840 0.2322:35.69199 + 61:27:5897 61 0.36\pm0.1 \\ 1023236.4+612840 0.2322:36.495 + 61:28:24.77 6 0.84\pm0.2 \\ 1023236.4+612840 0.2322:36.495 + 61:28:24.77 6 0.84\pm0.2 \\ 1023236.4+612840 0.2322:36.495 + 61:28:24.77 6 0.86\pm0.2 \\ 10232340.7+612840 0.2322:34.95 + 61:28:13.28 1.09\pm0.2 \\ 10232340.7+612840 0.2322:34.95 + 61:28:13.28 1.02\pm0.2 \\ 10232340.7+612840 0.2322:34.95 + 61:28:13.28 1.02\pm0.2 \\ 10232340.7+612840 0.2322:34.306 + 61:21:54.16 65 1.55\pm0.2 \\ 10232340.7+612840 0.2322:43.306 + 61:20:53.13 1 1 1.35\pm0.2 \\ 10232440.7+612731 0.232244.306 + 61:236:31.82 1 0.23\pm0.2 \\ 1023244.3+612731 0.232244.306 + 61:236:33.422 1 0.23\pm0.2 \\ 1023244.3+612731 0.232244.306 + 61:236:31.82 1 0.23\pm0.2 \\ 1023244.3+612731 0.232244.306 + 61:236:31.82 1 0.23\pm0.2 \\ 1023244.3+612731 0.232244.306 + 61:236:33.422 1 0.23\pm0.2 \\ 1023244.3+612731 0.232244.306 + 61:29:30.942 1 0.23\pm0.2 \\ 1023244.3+612731 0.232244.306 + 61:236:33.422 1 0.23\pm0.2 \\ 1023244.3+612731 0.232244.306 + 61:29:30.942 1 0.23\pm0.2 \\ 1023244.3+612731 0.232244.306 + 61:29:59.04 1 0.23\pm0.42 \\ 1023244.3+612731 0.232244.306 + 61:29:59.04 1 $		0.40 ± 0.18	-0.73 ± 0.36	1.85 ± 0.42	-0.43 ± 0.22		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.54 ± 0.18 -0.20 ± 0	$0.32 0.49\pm0.17$	-0.12 ± 0.33	1.23 ± 0.36	-0.52 ± 0.27		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.64 ± 0.20 -0.24 ± 0	$0.31 0.80\pm0.20$	-0.45 ± 0.24	1.77 ± 0.42	-0.35 ± 0.22		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.55 ± 0.18 -0.48 ± 0.18	$0.31 0.55\pm0.17$	-0.65 ± 0.28	1.73 ± 0.38	-0.56 ± 0.20	IC 1805 130; B3 V	(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12.64±1.16 -0.79±($1.06 18.71\pm1.18$	-0.78 ± 0.05	63.99 ± 2.25	-0.86 ± 0.02	IC 1805 132	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 66 10 31 002 10	0.82±0.24	-0.2/±0.28	1 61 - 0 30	2001210		
$\begin{array}{c} 0.22232.8 + 612874 \\ 0.23233.5 + 61247 \\ 0.23233.5 + 61247 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612427 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612427 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612427 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612427 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612427 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612427 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612427 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612427 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.5 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612824 \\ 0.23233.6 + 612821 \\ 0.23237.4 + 613217 \\ 0.23237.4 + 613217 \\ 0.23237.4 + 613217 \\ 0.23237.4 + 613217 \\ 0.23237.4 + 613217 \\ 0.23239.5 + 612866 \\ 0.23239.5 + 612866 \\ 0.23239.5 + 612866 \\ 0.23239.5 + 612866 \\ 0.23239.5 + 612866 \\ 0.23239.5 + 612866 \\ 0.23239.5 + 612866 \\ 0.232240.5 + 612811 \\ 0.232240.5 + 612811 \\ 0.232240.5 + 612811 \\ 0.232240.5 + 612813 \\ 0.232240.5 + 612813 \\ 0.232241.4 + 612554 \\ 0.232242.0 + 614021 \\ 0.232242.0 + 614021 \\ 0.232242.0 + 614021 \\ 0.232242.0 + 614021 \\ 0.232242.0 + 612861 \\ 0.23244.3 + 612553 \\ 0.23244.3 + 612553 \\ 0.23244.3 + 612563 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612563 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612563 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612763 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612762 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.3 + 612772 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612868 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 + 612861 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.23244.5 \\ 0.23244.5 \\ 0.232244.5 \\ 0.23244.5 \\ 0.23244.5 \\ 0.23244.$	NECU.U IC.UEUU.I	$0.75 \pm 0.75 \pm 0.75 \pm 0.79$	0.73+0.37	758 ± 0.64	0.40±0.23		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.61 ± 0.22	-1.00 ± 0.29	1.64 ± 0.71	-0.47 ± 0.45	IC 1805 134: B7 V	(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.89 ± 0.21 -0.26 ± 0	0.23 1.13±0.27	-0.58 ± 0.20	1.77 ± 0.37	-0.56 ± 0.19	~	~
$\begin{array}{c} 1023233.5 + 611845 & 02:32:33.507 + 61:18:45.59 & 103 \\ 1023234.2 + 611728 & 02:32:36.495 + 61:28:28.97 & 61 & 0.36\pm0.1 \\ 1023236.4 + 612824 & 02:32:36.495 + 61:28:10.29 & 165\pm0.2 \\ 1023236.4 + 612824 & 02:32:36.495 + 61:28:10.29 & 103 \\ 1023237.2 + 613217 & 02:32:37.450 + 61:28:10.29 & 103 & 0.86\pm0.2 \\ 1023237.4 + 613217 & 02:32:37.450 + 61:28:10.25 & 10 & 2.46\pm0.2 \\ 1023237.4 + 613217 & 02:32:37.592 + 61:28:10.25 & 10 & 2.46\pm0.2 \\ 1023237.4 + 613217 & 02:32:39.592 + 61:28:10.25 & 10 & 2.46\pm0.2 \\ 1023239.5 + 612566 & 02:32:39.592 + 61:28:13.28 & 109\pm0.2 \\ 1023239.5 + 612519 & 02:32:39.592 + 61:28:13.28 & 109\pm0.2 \\ 10232241.4 + 612519 & 02:32:40.238 + 61:28:13.28 & 109\pm0.2 \\ 1023241.4 + 612579 & 02:32:40.739 + 61:28:01.69 & 184 & 0.28\pm0.1 \\ 1023242.4 + 612713 & 02:32:40.739 + 61:28:01.69 & 184 & 0.28\pm0.1 \\ 1023243.3 + 612721 & 02:32:43.306 + 61:26:51.928 & 184 & 0.28\pm0.1 \\ 1023243.3 + 612723 & 02:32:43.366 + 61:28:01.69 & 184 & 0.28\pm0.1 \\ 1023243.3 + 612731 & 02:32:43.366 + 61:28:01.69 & 184 & 0.28\pm0.1 \\ 1023243.3 + 612733 & 02:32:43.366 + 61:28:01.69 & 184 & 0.28\pm0.1 \\ 1023243.3 + 612863 & 02:32:43.366 + 61:28:01.59 & 184 & 0.28\pm0.1 \\ 1023243.3 + 612863 & 02:32:43.366 + 61:28:01.59 & 184 & 0.28\pm0.1 \\ 1023244.3 + 612723 & 02:32:43.366 + 61:28:03.54 & 184 & 0.28\pm0.1 \\ 10223243.3 + 612863 & 02:32:43.366 + 61:28:03.54 & 193 & 3.71\pm0.3 \\ 1023244.3 + 612722 & 02:32:43.366 + 61:28:03.54 & 193 & 3.71\pm0.3 \\ 1023244.3 + 612722 & 02:32:44.301 + 61:27:22.59 & 31: 3.66\pm0.7 \\ 10223245.6 + 612631 & 02:32:46.673 + 61:28:03.54 & 193 & 3.71\pm0.3 \\ 1023246.6 + 61272 & 02:32:46.673 + 61:28:03.54 & 193 & 3.71\pm0.2 \\ 1023244.3 + 612722 & 02:32:44.301 + 61:27:22.59 & 31: 3.66\pm0.7 \\ 10223245.8 + 612959 & 02:32:46.673 + 61:28:03.54 & 175 & 0.25\pm0.2 \\ 10223246.6 + 61272 & 02:32:46.673 + 61:27:22.59 & 31: 0.025\pm0.2 \\ 10223246.6 + 612723 & 02:32:46.673 + 61:27:23.54 & 175 & 0.32 \\ 10223246.6 + 61272 & 02:32:46.673 + 61:27:23.54 & 175 & 0.25\pm0.2 \\ 10223246.6 + 612726 & 02:32:46.673 + 61:27:256 & 31:20 & 02:25\pm0.2 \\ 10223246.6 + 61272$	0.84 ± 0.21 -0.73 ± 0	$0.22 0.89 \pm 0.21$	-0.17 ± 0.23	2.61 ± 0.66	-0.51 ± 0.24	[MJD95] J023233.11+612427.4; a3 V	(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1.49 ± 0.38	-0.62 ± 0.27	4.39 ± 0.78	-0.20 ± 0.18		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$		4.03 ± 0.67	-0.09 ± 0.17	11.18 ± 1.17	-0.03 ± 0.11		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.36 ± 0.19 -0.94 ± 0.02	$0.27 0.83\pm0.25$	-0.22 ± 0.28	1.59 ± 0.47	0.01 ± 0.30		Ş
$\begin{array}{c} 10.22236.4+612840 & 0.2:32:56.495 + 61:28:40.99 & 42 & 0.0540.2\\ 10.223237.2+612810 & 0.2:32:37.269 + 61:28:10.25 & 10 & 2.46\pm0.3\\ 10.23239.5+612656 & 02:32:37.550 + 61:32:17.42 & 59 & 1.55\pm0.3\\ 10.23239.5+612656 & 02:32:39.592 + 61:28:11.42 & 59 & 1.55\pm0.2\\ 10.23239.5+612564 & 02:32:39.592 + 61:28:11.22 & 156\pm0.3\\ 10.23240.2+612813 & 02:32:40.288 + 61:21:54.16 & 65 & 1.52\pm0.2\\ 10.23240.2+612813 & 02:32:40.288 + 61:21:54.16 & 65 & 1.52\pm0.2\\ 10.23240.6+612519 & 02:32:40.288 + 61:28:11.28 & 288 + 0.288\pm0.1\\ 10.232424.2+612811 & 02:32:40.288 + 61:28:11.28 & 1.09\pm0.2\\ 10.23242.2+612703 & 02:32:41.448 + 61:25:19.28 & 184 & 0.28\pm0.1\\ 10.23242.2+612703 & 02:32:43.096 + 61:28:01.69 & 16 & 2.21\pm0.3\\ 10.23242.6+61271 & 02:32:43.309 + 61:38:13.28 & 243\pm0.3\\ 10.23242.6+612721 & 02:32:43.309 + 61:38:05933 & 15 & 4.11\pm0.3\\ 10.23242.5+612703 & 02:32:43.309 + 61:28:01.591 & 83 & -0.02\pm0.3\\ 10.23242.5+612703 & 02:32:43.309 + 61:28:01.591 & 83 & -0.02\pm0.3\\ 10.23242.5+612703 & 02:32:43.309 + 61:28:01.591 & 23 & -0.02\pm0.3\\ 10.23243.3+612722 & 02:32:43.309 + 61:28:03.54 & 18 & 2.43\pm0.3\\ 10.23244.2+613043 & 02:32:43.309 + 61:28:03.54 & 18 & 2.43\pm0.3\\ 10.23245.6+612631 & 02:32:43.301 + 61:28:0303.54 & 18 & 2.43\pm0.3\\ 10.23245.6+612631 & 02:32:45.688 + 61:28:03.69 & 31 & 3.66\pm0.7\\ 10223245.6+612729 & 02:32:45.60 + 61:28:030 & 02 & 30 & 0.67\pm0.2\\ 1023245.8+612959 & 02:32:45.60 + 61:28:030 & 02 & 30 & 0.25\pm0.2\\ 1023245.8+612959 & 02:32:46.673 + 61:27:22.59 & 31 & 3.66\pm0.7\\ 1023245.8+612959 & 02:32:48.771 9 + 61:27:22.59 & 31 & 0.25\pm0.2\\ 1023245.8+61295 & 02:32:48.771 9 + 61:27:20.30 & 39 & 0.25\pm0.2\\ 1023245.6+61272 & 02:32:48.771 9 + 61:26:05010 & 131 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 1023248.7+61425 & 02:32:48.771 9 + 61:26:05010 & 131 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 1023248.7+61425 & 02:32:48.771 9 + 61:26:56.64 & 175 & 0012402 & 021402 \\ 1023248.7+61425 & 02:32:48.771 9 + 61:2605010 & 131 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 1023248.7+61425 & 02:32:48.779 + 61:2605010 & 131 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 1023248.7+61425 & 02:32:48.779 + 61:2605010 & 131 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 1023248.7+61425 & 02:32:48.779 + 61:2605010 & 1$	8.00±0.52 -0.82±0	1.04 7.15 ± 0.49	-0.86 ± 0.04	27.01 ± 1.03	-0.90 ± 0.02	$BD+60^{\circ}$ 501; O7 V(n)((f))z	(9)
$\begin{array}{c} 0.22237, 4+612510\\ 0.232337, 4+613217\\ 0.232337, 4+613217\\ 0.232335, 5+613264\\ 0.232335, 5+613264\\ 0.232335, 5+613264\\ 0.23239, 5+612364\\ 0.23239, 5+612364\\ 0.23239, 5+612154\\ 0.23239, 5+612154\\ 0.23239, 5+612154\\ 0.232340, 5+612813\\ 0.232340, 5+612813\\ 0.232340, 5+612813\\ 0.232340, 5+612813\\ 0.232340, 5+612813\\ 0.232340, 5+612813\\ 0.232340, 5+612813\\ 0.232341, 448, 661, 255; 19, 28\\ 109+02\\ 10023241, 4+612554\\ 0.232341, 448, 661, 255; 19, 28\\ 109+02\\ 10023242, 2+612703\\ 0.232342, 2+612703\\ 0.2323242, 2+612703\\ 0.232342, 2+612723\\ 0.232343, 0+61, 272, 03, 67\\ 10023243, 0+612723\\ 0.232343, 0+61, 272, 03, 67\\ 10023243, 2+612733\\ 0.232343, 0+61, 272, 03, 554\\ 18\\ 0.23244, 2+61334\\ 0.232343, 3+61, 28; 0, 332, 44, 21\\ 165\\ 10023244, 3+612722\\ 0.232343, 3+61, 20; 30, 40, 21\\ 1023244, 3+612722\\ 0.23244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0.23244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0.23244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0.23244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 0.23244, 3-612723\\ 0.23244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0.23244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0.23244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0.23244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 243, 300 + 61, 20; 30; 30, 30\\ 10, 25402\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 23244, 3-612723\\ 0, 232, 244, 301 + 61, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 20, 21, 25, 44\\ 10, 21, 20, 30\\ 10, 22, 248, 774, 75\\ 10, 232, 44, 761, 27; 20, 30\\ 10, 21, 25, 54\\ 175\\ 0, 1140\\ 10, 22, 248, 774, 57\\ 10, 232, 48, 774, 50\\ 10, 232, 48, 774\\ 10, 75\\ 10, 20, 20, 10\\ 10, 20, 20, $	0.6/±0.23 0.13±0	$.35 0.60\pm0.21$	-0.51 ± 0.28	2.60 ± 0.49	-0.67 ± 0.14		
$\begin{array}{c} 0.22237, 4+61/21/\\ 0.22239, 5+61/2656\\ 0.22329, 55, 556, 594\\ 0.23239, 55, 561/2656\\ 0.22329, 5, 556, 56, 94\\ 0.23239, 5, 5+61/2656\\ 0.23239, 5, 5, 561/2656\\ 0.23239, 5, 5, 61/2656\\ 0.23239, 5, 5, 61/2656\\ 0.23239, 5, 5, 61/2656\\ 0.23239, 5, 61/2656\\ 0.23239, 5, 61/2616\\ 0.23240, 2+61/2813\\ 0.232340, 5+61/2519\\ 0.232340, 5+61/2519\\ 0.232340, 5+61/2616\\ 0.232340, 5666\\ 0.232341, 4461, 2556, 19, 28\\ 1009402\\ 0.023240, 5+61/2710\\ 0.023241, 4+61/2556\\ 0.2323242, 5661, 2066\\ 0.232342, 2661, 20710\\ 0.232342, 2661, 20710\\ 0.232342, 2661, 20710\\ 0.232343, 2661, 2072\\ 0.023243, 3+61/2720\\ 0.232243, 3066, 1505, 0.332, 300\\ 0.61, 200, 0.332, 43, 300\\ 0.61, 200, 203, 33, 226\\ 0.023244, 3-61/2720\\ 0.232244, 3-61/2720\\ 0.232244, 301\\ 0.23244, 301\\ 0.23244, 301\\ 0.2324$	2.40±0.30 1.05±0.51 1.05±0.51	0.1U 5.2U±U.38	-0.41 ± 0.10	8.89±0.75	-0.03±0.07		ć
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.35±0.31 –0.54±0 0.62 0.72 0.07	1.21 1.51 ± 0.27	-0.43 ± 0.17	3.25±0.49	-0.79 ± 0.13	IC 1805 139; B4 V	(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	U.00±U.20 -U.U2±U 1 £0±0 20 - 0.47±U	91.01202.0 00.00 01.01 01.010	10.02 ± 0.0	1.93±0.42 1 63±0 58	-0.30 ± 0.20		
$\begin{array}{c} 0.222240.2+012813 & 0.223240.258 + 61.2813.28 & 0.28\pm01.2\\ 0.023240.5+612519 & 0.23240.639 + 61.2519.28 & 184 & 0.28\pm01.2\\ 0.023240.5+612519 & 0.23240.739 + 61.2519.28 & 184 & 0.28\pm01.2\\ 0.023240.5+61271 & 0.23242.67 + 61.2519.28 & 184 & 0.28\pm01.2\\ 0.023242.5+612721 & 0.23242.67 + 61.251381 & 63 & 0.28\pm01.2\\ 0.023242.5+612723 & 0.23242.67 + 61.2721.11 & 1 & 51.60\pm11.2\\ 0.023243.5+612721 & 0.23243.305 + 61.30559.33 & 15 & 4.11\pm0.3\\ 0.023243.5+612731 & 0.23243.305 + 61.30559.33 & 15 & 4.11\pm0.3\\ 0.023243.5+612833 & 0.23243.305 + 61.30559.33 & 15 & 4.11\pm0.3\\ 0.023243.5+612833 & 0.23243.305 + 61.30559.33 & 15 & 4.11\pm0.3\\ 0.023243.5+612833 & 0.23243.305 + 61.26531.82 & 19 & 3.71\pm0.3\\ 0.023244.3+612722 & 0.23244.301 + 61.272259 & 31: & 3.66\pm0.7\\ 0.023245.5+612631 & 0.23245.688 + 61.26531.20 & 30 & 0.67\pm0.2\\ 0.023245.5+612631 & 0.23245.688 + 61.26531.20 & 30 & 0.67\pm0.2\\ 0.023245.5+612631 & 0.23245.688 + 61.26531.20 & 30 & 0.67\pm0.2\\ 0.023245.6+61279 & 0.23245.688 + 61.27229.30 & 31: & 3.66\pm0.7\\ 0.023245.8+612959 & 0.23245.688 + 61.27729.30 & 30 & 0.67\pm0.2\\ 0.023245.8+612959 & 0.23245.688 + 61.27729.30 & 30 & 0.67\pm0.2\\ 0.023245.8+612959 & 0.23245.686 + 61.27729.30 & 30 & 0.67\pm0.2\\ 0.023245.8+612959 & 0.23245.686 + 61.27729.30 & 30 & 0.67\pm0.2\\ 0.023245.8+612959 & 0.23245.686 + 61.27729.30 & 30 & 0.67\pm0.2\\ 0.023245.8+612959 & 0.23245.667 + 61.27729.30 & 30 & 0.25\pm0.2\\ 0.023246.677 + 612779 & 0.23246.677 + 61.27729.30 & 39 & 0.255\pm0.2\\ 0.023246.677 + 612765 & 0.23246.677 + 61.27729.30 & 39 & 0.255\pm0.2\\ 0.023248.7+612455 & 0.23246.767 + 61.2425.54 & 175 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 0.023248.76616767 + 6122756 & 0.23246.757 + 61.2755.54 & 175 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 0.023248.76616757 & 0.23246.767 + 61.2425.54 & 175 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 0.023248.7661677 & 0.23246.767 + 61.2425.54 & 175 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 0.023248.7661677 & 0.23246.767 + 61.2425.54 & 175 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 0.023248.7661677 & 0.23246.767 + 61.2425.54 & 175 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 0.023248.76616767 & 0.23246.767 + 61.2425.54 & 175 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 0.023248.7661677 & 0.23246.767 + 61.2425.54 & 175 & 0.31\pm0.1\\ 0.023248.76616$	1.00±0.32 -0.4/±0 1.52+0.30 0.15+0	$10 0.04\pm0.24$	-0.40 ± 0.10 0 13+0 26	4.03±0.36 3.44+0.53	-0.30±0.12 0.24+0.15		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.04+0.25 -0.50+0.10+0	101 1 33+0.07	-0.53+0.17	3.60+0.56	-0.53+0.13		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.28 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0	$.35 0.59\pm0.18$	-0.02 ± 0.30	0.70 ± 0.32	1.00 ± 0.62		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.21 ± 0.34 -0.50 ± 0	0.13 2.61 ± 0.35	-0.55 ± 0.12	9.95 ± 0.73	-0.56 ± 0.06	IC 1805 143; B0.5 V	(3)
$\begin{array}{c} J023242.0+614021 \\ J023242.0+614021 \\ J023242.2+612703 \\ J023242.2+612703 \\ J023242.6+612721 \\ J023242.6+612721 \\ J023243.0+613059 \\ J023243.1+613039 \\ J023243.1+613039 \\ J023243.1+613039 \\ J023243.3+612631 \\ J023243.3+612631 \\ J023243.3+612631 \\ J023244.3+612631 \\ J023244.3+612631 \\ J023244.3+612631 \\ J023244.3+61272 \\ J023244.3-61272 \\ J023244.7-61374 \\ J023245.686 \\ +61272 \\ J023246.673 \\ +612729 \\ J023246.673 \\ +612729 \\ J023246.673 \\ +612729 \\ J023246.673 \\ +612729 \\ J023246.673 \\ J141 \\ J131 \\ J12246.73 \\ J140 \\ J151 \\ J1202346 \\ J151 \\ J1202 \\ J140 \\ J114 \\ J140 \\ J140 \\ J114 \\ J140 \\$	0.38 ± 0.16 -0.85 ± 0	$0.32 0.45\pm0.17$	-1.00 ± 0.28	3.08 ± 0.46	-0.72 ± 0.13	[SBJLK13] 138; f6 V	(E)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.60 ± 0.57	0.12 ± 0.21	6.70 ± 1.01	-0.15 ± 0.15		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.02 ± 0.30 $-1.00\pm$	19.7 0.61±0.26	-0.78 ± 0.34	3.56 ± 0.74	-0.67 ± 0.17		
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	51.60±1.32 -0.72±0	$0.02 51.12\pm1.24$	-0.72 ± 0.02	170.55 ± 2.43	-0.77 ± 0.01	HD 15558; 04.5 III(f)	(e)
$\begin{array}{c} 0.22243 + 0.1237 \\ 0.23243.3+61280 \\ 0.23244.3+61283 \\ 0.23244.3+61283 \\ 0.23244.3+61283 \\ 0.23244.3+613040 \\ 0.23244.361-273 \\ 0.23244.3-61272 \\ 0.23244.301 + 61.275259 \\ 0.23244.3-61272 \\ 0.23244.301 + 61.27722.59 \\ 0.23244.301 + 61.27722.59 \\ 0.23244.301 + 61.27722.59 \\ 0.23244.301 + 61.27722.59 \\ 0.23244.301 + 61.27722.59 \\ 0.23244.301 + 61.27722 \\ 0.23244.301 + 61.27722 \\ 0.23244.301 + 61.27722 \\ 0.23244.761 + 612729 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27729 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27729 \\ 0.23246.612729 \\ 0.23246.612729 \\ 0.23246.612729 \\ 0.23246.612779 \\ 0.23246.612779 \\ 0.23246.612779 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27729 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27729 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27729 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27729 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27729 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27729 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27229 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27229 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27229 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.2729 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27225 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27225 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27225 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27225 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27225 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27225 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.2725 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.2725 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23246.673 + 61.27255 \\ 0.23140.0000 \\ 0.24000 \\ 0.240000 \\ 0.240000 \\ 0.254000 \\ 0.240000 \\ 0.2540000 \\ 0.240000 \\ 0.2400000 \\ 0.2400000 \\ 0.2400000 \\ 0.2400000 \\ 0.2400000 \\ 0.2400000 \\ 0.24000000 \\ 0.24000000 \\ 0.2400000 \\ 0.24000000 \\ 0.24000000 \\ 0.2400$	4.11±0.39 –0.50±0	1.08 3.50±0.37	-0.54 ± 0.09	11.23±0./4	$-0.3/\pm0.06$	W1SE J023243.13+613038.8; Ae/Be	(1,*)
$ \begin{array}{c} 1022243,7+612631 \\ 1023243,7+612631 \\ 1023244,2+613040 \\ 1023244,2+613040 \\ 1023244,2+613040 \\ 1023244,3-61272 \\ 1023244,3-61272 \\ 1023245,64-612631 \\ 1023245,64-612631 \\ 1023245,64-61272 \\ 1023245,84-61279 \\ 1023245,84-61279 \\ 1023245,84-61279 \\ 1023245,84-61279 \\ 1023245,84-61279 \\ 1023245,74-612729 \\ 1023245,74-77,195+61,27729,30 \\ 1023245,764-61272 \\ 1023248,776,100 \\ 102424,700 \\ 102424,700 \\ 102424,700 \\ 102424,700 \\ 102424,700 \\ 102424,700 \\ 102424,700 \\ 102424,700 \\ 102424,700 \\ 102424,700 \\ 102$	0.80±0.22 2.43+0.33 = 0.26+0	$0.27 0.22\pm0.23$	-0.00 ± 0.24 -0.37+0.15	6 77+0 62	0.04040.08	[MID95] 1023243 22+612804 4· A6	(5)
J023244.2+613040 02:32:44.221 +61:30:40.21 41 1.35±0.2 J023244.3+612722 02:32:44.301 +61:27:22.59 31: 3.66±0.7 J023245.6+612631 02:32:45.688 +61:26:31.20 30 0.67±0.2 J023245.8+612959 02:32:45.6673 +61:29:59.04 12 2.20±0.2 J023246.6+612729 02:32:46.673 +61:27:29:30 39 0.25±0.2 J023248.7+614057 02:32:48.719 +61:40:50.10 131 J023248.7+61425 02:32:48.779 +61:40:50.10 131 J023248.7+61425 02:32:48.750 +61:24:22:54 175 0.31±0.1	3.71 ± 0.38 -0.39 ± 0	$1.10 4.40\pm0.41$	-0.33 ± 0.09	11.80 ± 1.79	-0.60 ± 0.14	IC 1805 152: B3 V	<u>)</u> (1)
J023244.3+612722 02:32:44.301 +61:27:22.59 31: 3.66±0.7 J023245.6+612631 02:32:45.688 +61:26:31.20 30 0.67±0.2 J023245.8+612959 02:32:45.860 +61:29:59.04 12 2.20±02 J023246.6+612729 02:32:46.673 +61:27:29.30 39 0.25±0.2 J023247.1+613754 02:32:47.195 +61:37:54.77 166 J023248.7+614050 02:32:48.719 +61:40:50.10 131 J023248.7+614050 02:32:48.719 +61:40:50.10 131 J023248.7+614050 02:32:48.750 +61:22:554 175 0.31±0.1	1.35±0.25 -0.19±0	$1.18 1.52\pm0.27$	-0.65 ± 0.17	4.11 ± 0.52	-0.73 ± 0.11	[SBJLK13] 145; g8IV	Ξ
J023245,6+612631 02:32:45,688 +61:26:31.20 30 0.67±0.2 J023245,8+612959 02:32:45,860 +61:29:59.04 12 2.20±0.2 J023246,6+612729 02:32:46,673 +61:27:29.30 39 0.25±0.2 J023247,1+613754 02:32:47,195 +61:37:54,77 166 J023248,7+612425 02:32:48,719 +61:24:25.54 175 0.31±0.1	3.66±0.72 -0.33±0	0.17 3.36 ± 0.54	-0.55 ± 0.12	6.17 ± 1.16	-0.37 ± 0.15	IC 1805 153; B2	6
J023245.8+612959 02:32:45.860 +61:29:59.04 12 2.20±0.2 J023246.6+612729 02:32:46.673 +61:27:29.30 39 0.25±0.2 J023247.1+613754 02:32:47.195 +61:37:54.77 166 J023248.7+614050 02:32:48.719 +61:40:50.10 131 J023248.7+612425 02:32:48.750 +61:24:25.54 175 0.31±0.1	0.67 ± 0.23 -0.78 ± 0	$1.25 1.06\pm0.25$	-0.32 ± 0.23	2.66 ± 0.55	-0.83 ± 0.17		
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	2.20 ± 0.29 -0.29 ± 0	$1.13 1.97 \pm 0.28$	-0.32 ± 0.14	7.03 ± 0.59	-0.37 ± 0.08		
J023247.1+613754 02:32:47.195 +61:37:54.77 166 J023248.7+614050 02:32:48.719 +61:40:50.10 131 J023248.7+612425 02:32:48.750 +61:24:25.54 175 0.31±0.1	0.25±0.25 1.00±1	$.39 0.72\pm0.40$	-0.40 ± 0.49	3.47 ± 0.57	-0.33 ± 0.15		
J023248.7+614050 02:32:48.719 +61:40:50.10 131 J023248.7+612425 02:32:48.750 +61:24:25.54 175 0.31±0.1		0.34 ± 0.22	-0.32 ± 0.67	1.74 ± 0.50	-0.85 ± 0.25		
J023248.7+612425 02:32:48.750 +61:24:25.54 175 0.31±0.1		1.99 ± 0.50	-0.34 ± 0.27				
	0.31 ± 0.16 0.10 ± 0	$.51 0.63\pm0.20$	-0.27 ± 0.31	1.33 ± 0.36	-0.09 ± 0.27		
10.25249.3+01.0010 U2.349.323 U2.301.05.10.61049.249.301 10.5010 C 70.05.15.7500.05.00 CFC13.30100000		CT 1 1 CF 7C CO C	-0.1 ± 0.02	2./4±0.30	-0.08 ± 0.21	11D 15570. 04 164	ę
J025249.3+012242 02:32:49.347 + 01:22:42.07 2 24:32-01 J023250.3+613341 02:32:50.351 +61:33:41.92 56 1.81±0.3	1.81±0.31 -0.81±0 1.81±0.31 -0.81±0).14 1.69±0.28	-0.50±0.15	3.96±0.53	-0.75±0.12	П 102 /0; О4 П	(7)

Rauw & Nazé: The X-ray view of IC 1805

		-0.23±0.27	2.1JEU.J/	-0.20±0.31	0.99±0.30	U.24±U.40	U.J4EU.27	114	02.33.23.090 +01.33.33.94	0202010+0102020
		-0.33 ± 0.13	3.69 ± 0.51	-0.18 ± 0.21	1.46 ± 0.30	-0.22 ± 0.19	1.50 ± 0.28	47	02:33:23.643 +61:24:43.10	J023323.6+612443
		-0.67 ± 0.15	2.82 ± 0.45	$-0.31 {\pm} 0.22$	1.05 ± 0.24	-0.33 ± 0.23	$0.93 {\pm} 0.22$	60	02:33:22.881 +61:27:40.49	J023322.8+612740
		$0.34 {\pm} 0.25$	1.59 ± 0.40	-0.08 ± 0.41	0.59 ± 0.24	$0.46 {\pm} 0.24$	1.03 ± 0.24	73	02:33:22.545 +61:25:09.14	J023322.5+612509
		-0.61 ± 0.19	2.24 ± 0.43	-0.76 ± 0.27	0.56 ± 0.20	$-1.00{\pm}1.84$	$0.10 {\pm} 0.16$	125	02:33:21.698 +61:30:14.12	J023321.6+613014
(2)	HD 15629; O5 V((fc))	-0.99 ± 0.01	$48.83 {\pm} 1.46$	-0.98 ± 0.02	11.75 ± 0.67	-1.00 ± 0.01	13.26 ± 0.71	4	02:33:20.733 +61:31:17.89	J023320.7+613117
(1)	[MJD95] J023320.18+612211.0; F8	-0.40 ± 0.20	2.63 ± 0.52	-0.13 ± 0.33	0.76 ± 0.25			126	02:33:20.356 +61:22:08.49	J023320.3+612208
		-0.81 ± 0.17	2.25 ± 0.44	-0.55 ± 0.33	0.52 ± 0.20	-0.04 ± 0.47	$0.36 {\pm} 0.17$	69	02:33:17.858 +61:28:27.38	J023317.8+612827
	[SBJLK13] 228; g	-0.39 ± 0.09	5.76 ± 0.57	-0.70 ± 0.09	2.75 ± 0.33	-0.54 ± 0.12	2.43 ± 0.33	20	02:33:17.669 +61:28:08.39	J023317.6+612808
		-0.66 ± 0.17	6.81 ± 1.20	-0.36 ± 0.28	1.21 ± 0.34			102	02:33:16.193 +61:20:45.92	J023316.1+612045
		-0.56 ± 0.11	4.66 ± 0.55	-0.24 ± 0.23	1.51 ± 0.36	$0.39 {\pm} 0.61$	0.92 ± 0.54	64	02:33:15.787 +61:31:44.92	J023315.7+613144
		-0.99 ± 0.16	1.10 ± 0.32	-0.64 ± 0.28	$0.63 {\pm} 0.19$	-0.29 ± 0.51	$0.30 {\pm} 0.16$	123	02:33:15.489 +61:26:04.80	J023315.4+612604
(1)	IC 1805 187; b-f	-0.66 ± 0.10	5.19 ± 0.56	-0.74 ± 0.12	1.98 ± 0.31	-0.63 ± 0.18	1.25 ± 0.25	27	02:33:14.802 +61:24:02.34	J023314.8+612402
		-0.41 ± 0.24	1.46 ± 0.38	-0.23 ± 0.29	$0.66 {\pm} 0.20$	$0.05 {\pm} 0.39$	$0.47 {\pm} 0.18$	129	02:33:14.658 +61:30:11.79	J023314.6+613011
		-0.66 ± 0.33	1.22 ± 0.39	-0.57 ± 0.40	$0.39{\pm}0.18$	-0.49 ± 0.37	$0.48 {\pm} 0.19$	130	02:33:13.517 +61:31:52.33	J023313.5+613152
		-0.28 ± 0.23	1.72 ± 0.41	-0.67 ± 0.33	0.45 ± 0.19	$-1.00{\pm}0.26$	$0.65 {\pm} 0.20$	152	02:33:12.974 +61:31:30.94	J023312.9+613130
(1)	IC 1805 184; f5 V	-0.97 ± 0.13	1.90 ± 0.40	$-1.00{\pm}0.08$	0.61 ± 0.18	-1.00 ± 0.16	$0.39 {\pm} 0.15$	150	02:33:12.069 +61:30:58.13	J023312.0+613058
(*)	WISE J023311.42+613114.9	0.02 ± 0.19	2.37 ± 0.45	-0.95 ± 0.23	0.62 ± 0.20	$0.02 {\pm} 0.67$	0.23 ± 0.15	133	02:33:11.577 +61:31:14.86	J023311.5+613114
(1)	[SBJLK13] 212; k-m V	-0.40 ± 0.13	3.46 ± 0.47	-0.70 ± 0.16	1.23 ± 0.24	-0.56 ± 0.14	$1.67 {\pm} 0.27$	34	02:33:11.139 +61:30:18.39	J023311.1+613018
		-0.37 ± 0.24	1.44 ± 0.37	$-0.27 {\pm} 0.31$	0.59 ± 0.19	-1.00 ± 0.21	$0.37 {\pm} 0.16$	117	02:33:10.483 +61:24:02.89	J023310.4+612402
				0.09 ± 0.15	5.31 ± 0.80	-0.05 ± 0.18	$3.91 {\pm} 0.68$	50	02:33:10.378 +61:41:06.94	J023310.3+614106
(*)	WISE J023310.03+613208.9	-0.92 ± 0.20	1.18 ± 0.32	-0.31 ± 0.71	$0.20{\pm}0.14$	-0.54 ± 0.45	$0.38 {\pm} 0.17$	164	02:33:10.352 +61:32:07.91	J023310.3+613207
		-0.24 ± 0.20	2.03 ± 0.41	-0.42 ± 0.50	$0.38 {\pm} 0.18$	$0.03 {\pm} 0.28$	0.74 ± 0.21	79	02:33:10.208 +61:25:00.59	J023310.2+612500
(1)	[SBJLK13] 206; g5 V	-0.47 ± 0.09	6.12 ± 0.59	$-0.58 {\pm} 0.12$	$2.38 {\pm} 0.32$	-0.71 ± 0.14	$1.70 {\pm} 0.30$	25	02:33:09.085 +61:25:21.41	J023309.0+612521
(1)	[MJD95] J023308.90+612845.7; b8 IV, Ae/Be	-0.91 ± 0.16	1.83 ± 0.37	-0.71 ± 0.33	0.43 ± 0.16	-0.52 ± 0.36	$0.46 {\pm} 0.18$	110	02:33:08.981 +61:28:47.78	J023308.9+612847
				$0.06 {\pm} 0.38$	1.12 ± 0.43	-0.21 ± 0.40	$0.90 {\pm} 0.35$	157:	02:33:07.825 +61:40:43.84	J023307.8+614043
		-0.41 ± 0.22	2.43 ± 0.52	0.00 ± 0.33	0.82 ± 0.27	-0.60 ± 0.37	$0.67 {\pm} 0.27$	168	02:33:07.215 +61:36:09.31	J023307.2+613609
		-0.39 ± 0.35	2.82 ± 0.95	-0.81 ± 0.23	$1.34{\pm}0.34$	-0.33 ± 0.22	$1.80 {\pm} 0.40$	62	02:33:06.136 +61:37:31.49	J023306.1+613731
		-0.19 ± 0.07	12.20 ± 0.85	-0.33 ± 0.28	2.21 ± 0.62			23	02:33:05.696 +61:22:01.79	J023305.6+612201
(3)	IC 1805 175; B2.5 V	-0.69 ± 0.11	3.81 ± 0.50	-0.17 ± 0.19	1.32 ± 0.25	-0.44 ± 0.19	1.07 ± 0.22	35	02:33:05.282 +61:27:06.94	J023305.2+612706
		-0.73 ± 0.25	2.13 ± 0.56	-0.62 ± 0.22	1.05 ± 0.30	0.11 ± 1.56	0.22 ± 0.35	118	02:33:05.181 +61:22:49.44	J023305.1+612249
		-1.00 ± 0.22	0.86 ± 0.30		0.00 ± 0.09	-0.67 ± 0.35	0.63 ± 0.22	176	02:33:04.600 +61:33:43.11	J023304.6+613343
(*)	WISE J023303.82+612909.6	-0.59 ± 0.24	1.57 ± 0.38	-0.00 ± 0.41	0.46 ± 0.19	-0.91 ± 0.19	0.46 ± 0.16	178	02:33:03.866 +61:29:09.29	J023303.8+612909
		0.84 ± 0.25	1.51 ± 0.37	0.28 ± 0.40	0.48 ± 0.19	0.47 ± 0.23	0.93 ± 0.21	87	02:33:02.926 +61:26:05.82	J023302.9+612605
		0.11 ± 0.35	2.31 ± 0.80	-0.01 ± 0.21	1.12 ± 0.23	-0.17 ± 0.31	$0.57 {\pm} 0.18$	88	02:33:01.502 +61:31:34.08	J023301.5+613134
		-0.36 ± 0.07	15.76 ± 1.15	-0.12 ± 0.13	4.93 ± 0.61	-0.26 ± 0.10	6.42 ± 0.67	14	02:33:00.969 +61:37:37.82	J023300.9+613737
		-0.33 ± 0.08	6.85 ± 0.61	-0.37 ± 0.13	2.17 ± 0.30	-0.39 ± 0.13	2.12 ± 0.29	22	02:33:00.903 +61:26:08.68	J023300.9+612608
				0.83 ± 0.22	0.68 ± 0.19	$0.53 {\pm} 0.33$	0.48 ± 0.16	154	02:33:00.868 +61:29:12.00	J023300.8+612912
		-0.59 ± 0.24	1.41 ± 0.36	-1.00 ± 0.35	0.22 ± 0.13	-1.00 ± 0.47	0.27 ± 0.15	183	02:33:00.800 +61:27:04.90	J023300.8+612704
		-0.29 ± 0.06	12.24 ± 0.77	-0.23 ± 0.10	3.72 ± 0.39	-0.38 ± 0.14	$3.19 {\pm} 0.47$	9	02:33:00.016 +61:25:03.08	J023300.0+612503
		-0.78 ± 0.26	1.25 ± 0.39	-0.36 ± 0.35	0.53 ± 0.19	-0.71 ± 0.31	$0.50 {\pm} 0.18$	158	02:32:59.182 +61:25:56.50	J023259.1+612556
(3)	IC 1805 166; B2 V	0.27 ± 0.91	1.12 ± 1.04	-0.29 ± 0.16	1.54 ± 0.26	-0.20 ± 0.16	1.60 ± 0.25	44	02:32:57.964 +61:27:26.21	J023257.9+612726
		0.22 ± 0.21	2.34 ± 0.49	-0.35 ± 0.32	0.77 ± 0.25			145	02:32:57.290 +61:21:08.55	J023257.2+612108
		$0.18 {\pm} 0.17$	3.17 ± 0.52	$0.33 {\pm} 0.20$	$1.14{\pm}0.24$	0.13 ± 0.19	1.27 ± 0.24	85	02:32:56.711 +61:30:40.62	J023256.7+613040
		-0.69 ± 0.14	2.92 ± 0.51	0.17 ± 0.26	0.88 ± 0.23	-0.83 ± 0.16	1.03 ± 0.23	57	02:32:56.466 +61:25:34.49	J023256.4+612534
		-0.44 ± 0.18	5.97 ± 1.11	-0.18 ± 0.16	1.91 ± 0.30	-0.18 ± 0.13	2.28 ± 0.31	32	02:32:54.266 +61:25:27.73	J023254.2+612527
		-1.00 ± 0.06	$3.58 {\pm} 0.49$	-0.65 ± 0.18	1.23 ± 0.26	-0.88 ± 0.16	$0.85 {\pm} 0.23$	43	02:32:54.239 +61:34:11.98	J023254.2+613411
		-0.42 ± 0.08	6.31 ± 0.56	-0.16 ± 0.14	1.91 ± 0.28	-0.52 ± 0.16	1.41 ± 0.25	21	02:32:54.072 +61:28:03.71	J023254.0+612803
				$(10^{-3} \text{ ct s}^{-1})$	$(10^{-3} \text{ ct s}^{-1})$		$(10^{-3} \text{ ct s}^{-1})$			
		HR	Ct Rate	HR	Ct Rate	HR	Ct Rate		hhmmss°, "	XMMU
Note	Ontical ID & SnT	n	a)S2	MO)S1	MO	XID	J2000.0 Coord.	Name

Table A.1. Continued

ned
ontin
I. Č
e A.
Tabl

11010				į	(3)																									ŝ	(1)	(9)					(5)										(3)
I de x II mando					IC 1805 200; B4 V																										[MJD95] J023357.20+612627.9; B5	BD+60° 513: O7 Vnz				[MJD95] J023407.72+612933.8	IC 1805 237; A0										IC 1805 260; B2 V
" HR		-0.43 ± 0.16	0.10 ± 0.32	-0.89 ± 0.21	-0.43 ± 0.21	-0.28±0.62	-0.7 ± 0.20	-0.65±0.19	01.010.00	0.01 ± 0.00	0.01±0.22	-1.00±0.11	0.59 ± 0.22	1.00±0.44	-0.42 ± 0.13	-1.00±0.19	-0.54±0.15	-0.70 ± 0.10	-0.46 ± 0.35	-0.94 ± 0.26	1.00 ± 0.29	-0.57 ± 0.21	-0.27 ± 0.14	-0.62 ± 0.13	-0.71 ± 0.28		-0.39±0.68		0.033±0.18	-0.35 ± 0.10	-0.53 ± 0.27	-0.55±0.13 -1.00±0.00	0.17+0.16	0.40 ± 0.25	-0.95 ± 0.03	-0.88 ± 0.18	-0.78 ± 0.17	-0.78 ± 0.25	-0.02 ± 0.21	-0.51 ± 0.20	-0.42 ± 0.27	-0.32 ± 0.13		0.36 ± 0.25			
Ct Rate		2.33 ± 0.42	1.69 ± 0.55	1.21 ± 0.33	1.76 ± 0.38	0./9±0.4/ 1 20.0 20	1.30±0.30	0.0±02.0 7 67±0 46	1.02+0.40	1.95±0.44	1.95 ± 0.43	2.00±0.71	3.23±0.74	$0./1\pm0.52$	3.69±0.51	3.U5±U.60	3./0±0.5/	5.87 ± 0.65	1.35 ± 0.45	$2.84{\pm}0.87$	1.51 ± 0.45	2.46 ± 0.51	5.83 ± 0.84	6.34 ± 0.83	1.96 ± 0.50		0.66±0.45		3.00±0. /2	9.18 ± 0.90	1.85 ± 0.51	5.48±0.76 7.71±0.80	5 16+0 83	2.45 ± 0.62	29.91 ± 1.47	2.81 ± 0.59	4.65 ± 0.78	2.46 ± 0.60	3.35 ± 0.71	4.02 ± 0.80	2.49 ± 0.64	7.80 ± 1.02		3.33 ± 0.87			
HR .	$(10^{-3} \mathrm{ct s^{-1}})$	-0.29 ± 0.19	-0.60 ± 0.35	$-0.2'\pm0.3'$		-0.63±0.21	-0.40±0.24	-1.00±0.22	01.0±01.0	0.00.000	0.0510.15	CI.U±06.U-	0.94 ± 0.12	0.39±0.31	-0.0±0.0-	-0.02±0.20	0.11±0.20	-1.00 ± 0.96	0.49 ± 0.35	-0.56 ± 0.28	1.00 ± 0.19	-0.07 ± 1.62	-0.61 ± 0.24	-0.46 ± 0.26	-0.67 ± 0.39	-0.71 ± 0.22	-0.45 ± 0.52	0.09 ± 0.23	0.42±0.25	-0.38 ± 0.15	-0.53 ± 0.48	-0.65 ± 0.2	0.42 ± 0.18	1.00 ± 0.29	-0.90 ± 0.07	-0.50 ± 0.32	-0.42 ± 0.24	-0.12 ± 0.28	0.34 ± 0.23	0.52 ± 0.30	0.26 ± 0.29	-0.59 ± 0.33	-0.25 ± 0.62	0.60 ± 0.21	-0.10 ± 0.31	0.94 ± 0.07	-0.53 ± 0.45
Ct Rate	$(10^{-3} \mathrm{ct s^{-1}})$	1.26 ± 0.25	1.05 ± 0.34	0.62 ± 0.22	0.00 ± 0.07	1.14±0.27	1.00±0.20	1.0/±0.29 フラフェ0 36	0C 0 - 7 - 7	1.14 ± 0.20	0.01 ± 0.20	10.0±00.1	1.8 ± 0.53	0.90±0.28	1.65 ± 0.32	95.0±/C.1	1.63 ± 0.34	0.81 ± 0.58	0.71 ± 0.27	0.93 ± 0.27	0.48 ± 0.26	0.07 ± 0.11	1.71 ± 0.40	1.76 ± 0.43	0.72 ± 0.30	2.08 ± 0.47	0.89±0.28	2.53 ± 0.61	1.64 ± 0.48	3.62 ± 0.52	0.60 ± 0.26	$1.40\pm0.3/$ 2 13±0 11	2 51+0 53	0.83 ± 0.35	7.13 ± 0.69	1.09 ± 0.34	2.30 ± 0.52	1.63 ± 0.44	1.96 ± 0.51	1.33 ± 0.51	1.49 ± 0.47	1.62 ± 0.47	0.66 ± 0.38	2.22 ± 0.62	1.63 ± 0.49	4.31±0.79	0.94 ± 0.38
HR		-0.60 ± 0.17	0.79 ± 0.49	$-0.5/\pm0.38$	-0.92 ± 0.25	0.54 ± 0.25	20.0±00.0-	-0.73 ± 0.20	47'0 TOTO	0.02±0.24	1.UU±U.40	C7.U±28.U-		0.22±0.38	-0.40 ± 0.21	-0.51 ± 0.58	-0.18 ± 0.29			-0.57 ± 0.27	-0.56 ± 0.35	-0.86 ± 0.23		-0.31 ± 0.19	-0.03 ± 0.45	-0.70±0.34	-0.60±0.30	-0.16 ± 0.59		-0.45 ± 0.22	-0.73 ± 0.28	-0.86±0.44	-0.07+0.22	0.18 ± 0.25	-0.90 ± 0.05	-0.75 ± 0.28	-0.84 ± 0.18	-0.42 ± 0.23	0.12 ± 0.44	-0.81 ± 0.32	-1.00 ± 0.25	-0.29 ± 0.20	-0.34 ± 0.37		0.06 ± 0.40	0.55 ± 0.36	-0.47 ± 0.31
Ct Rate	$(10^{-3} \text{ ct s}^{-1})$	1.12 ± 0.24	0.39 ± 0.23	0.48 ± 0.20	0.47 ± 0.19	0./9±0.24	0.29±0.20	1.14±0.32 1.06±0.36	1 16-0-20	1.10±0.27	0.2/±0.18	I.41±U.40		0.01±0.22	1.30 ± 0.28	0.81 ± 0.32	1.02 ± 0.30			0.71 ± 0.23	0.73 ± 0.29	0.58 ± 0.22		2.35 ± 0.45	0.55 ± 0.25	1.12 ± 0.37	0./1±0.26	0.59 ± 0.34		2.84 ± 0.64	0.78 ± 0.27	0.0402.0	1 88+0 42	1.48 ± 0.37	8.48 ± 0.72	0.83 ± 0.32	1.75 ± 0.43	1.60 ± 0.40	0.79 ± 0.34	0.67 ± 0.32	0.52 ± 0.26	2.71 ± 0.55	1.25 ± 0.43		1.60 ± 0.64	2.31 ± 0.95	1.71 ± 0.52
		33	186:	189	116	113	01	06	001	100	CC1 64	84 707	182	181	71	711	66	68	179	147	155	174	LL	74	127	96	142	137	108	26	122	78	55	138	8	95	53	98	106	143	159	81	162	188	136	89	149
hhmmss°''		02:33:25.280 +61:27:19.57	02:33:26.482 + 61:35:31.95	02:33:28.658 +61:25:27.82	02:33:30.263 +61:28:55.34	02:33:31.23 + 101:29:22 02:32	02:22:22:45 +01:22:14:20	60.64:66:10+ 618:26:66:20	17:/1:/7:101 //00:00:00:20	16.00:20:14 124.00:00:00:00	02:35:34:492 +01:27:03:99	17:04:06:10+ 060:40:00:00	02:33:34.624 +61:19:21.91	02:33:35.012 +01:28:11.24	02:33:35.4 /2 +01:28:50	12:23:30:25 + 401:30:37.37	02:33:38.328 +01:31:03.57	02:33:41.505 +61:25:02.47	02:33:44.232 + 61:24:40.88	02:33:46.077 +61:27:54.25	02:33:47.769 +61:24:53.37	02:33:48.591 +61:28:36.48	02:33:51.691 +61:22:13.54	02:33:51.857 +61:33:55.36	02:33:52.115 +61:25:25.80	02:33:52.234 +61:37:37.72	02:33:52.66/ +01:26:12.06	02:33:53.040 +61:37:17.01	02:33:33.76 + 001 + 001 - 02:33:33	02:33:54.436 + 61:31:45.95	02:33:57.484 +61:26:26.81	02:33:9:824 +61:32:90 30 02:34:07 631 ±61:73:10 34	02.34.03 573 +61.33.23 02	02:34:05.426 +61:29:40.80	02:34:07.576 +61:28:17.89	02:34:07.984 +61:29:36.49	02:34:10.385 +61:24:38.83	02:34:12.631 +61:26:11.78	02:34:14.748 +61:30:27.73	02:34:15.616 +61:24:42.89	02:34:16.545 +61:27:41.33	02:34:19.065 +61:24:46.68	02:34:20.244 +61:35:32.12	02:34:21.667 +61:23:42.99	02:34:26.934 + 61:33:07.15	02:34:28.334 +61:33:41.67	02:34:31.094 +61:30:37.78
XMMU		J023325.2+612719	J023326.4+613531	J023328.6+61252/	J023330.2+612855	JU23331.2+012922	2022222/ +0122124 20222229 0 - 6122714	JU22322.0+0.22534 7102333 0+612017	11671010.0000200	CC07013 - 4 4 CCCC201	JU23334.4+012/U3	1025554C.401584U	J023334.6+611921	10233350.0+012811	J023335.4+612852	JU23330.2+013037	J023338.3+613103	J023341.5+612502	J023344.2+612440	J023346.0+612754	J023347.7+612453	J023348.5+612836	J023351.6+612213	J023351.8+613355	J023352.1+612525	J023352.2+613737	J023352.6+612615	J023353.0+613717	J023333./+612121	J023354.4+613145	J023357.4+612626	J0233599.8+613210 1073407 6+617310	1023403 5+613323	J023405.4+612940	J023407.5+612817	J023407.9 + 612936	J023410.3+612438	J023412.6+612611	J023414.7+613027	J023415.6+612442	J023416.5+612741	J023419.0+612446	J023420.2+613532	J023421.6+612342	J023426.9+613307	J023428.3+613341	J023431.0+613037

Appendix B: Newly determined radial velocities

In this section we provide the journal of the new optical spectra of the O-type stars obtained with the HEROS spectrograph at the 1.2 m TIGRE telescope along with the RVs inferred from these data.

Table	B.1.	RVs	of	the	Неп	$\lambda 4542$	line	as	measured	on	the
HERO	S spe	ectra o	of H	D 1	5558.	Typical	unce	rtai	inties are 5	km	s^{-1} .

HJD-2450000	RV_1
	$\rm kms^{-1}$
6512.867	-26.1
6518.819	-35.8
6533.823	-22.5
6537.853	-21.6
6560.899	-14.3
6566.885	-16.3
6575.830	-12.1
6580.770	-19.3
6581.901	-5.6
6584.809	-8.4
6592.667	-11.4
6606.784	-12.5
6640.688	-21.5
6662.591	-31.2
6689.592	-66.9
6690.645	-65.4

Table B.4. Newly determined RVs of BD+60° 497

HJD-2450000	RV ₁	RV ₂	Instrument
	$\rm km \ s^{-1}$	$\rm km \ s^{-1}$	
2520.644	-107.1	110.7	А
2523.600	74.4	-222.8	А
2524.552	-99.3	102.7	А
2527.555	78.3	-232.7	А
2528.533	-112.1	99.2	А
2529.562	-147.3	77.0	А
2531.543	71.5	-236.4	А
2532.534	-122.6	106.6	А
2533.638	-137.3	48.4	А
2916.583	-143.5	130.4	А
2918.667	40.9	-212.9	А
2919.632	36.2	-222.7	А
2922.677	54.4	-216.3	А
2925.665	-87.9	52.7	А
2928.641	-139.1	172.5	А
2934.545	53.0	-219.4	А
6681.572	-53.9	-47.0	Н
6685.581	-88.0	3.2	Н
6686.579	-143.9	173.4	Н
6687.672	10.9	-199.1	Н
6688.573	72.7	-233.6	Н
6682.573	-152.7	175.5	Н
6684.571	55.7	-245.0	Η

Notes. The RVs were determined through cross-correlation in the disentangling process. The A and H letters in the last column indicate Aurélie and HEROS data, respectively.

 Table B.2. Newly determined RVs of HD 15570

HJD-2450000		RV (km s^{-1})	
	Непλ4542	Νшλ4634	Νшλ4641
6509.918	-61.0	-69.0	-73.3
6519.877	-65.2	-58.9	-74.5
6680.564	-56.6	-59.3	-72.2
6958.815	-58.3	-61.6	-70.5
Mean	-60.3 ± 3.8	-62.2 ± 4.7	-72.6 ± 1.7

Table B.3. Nev	vly determined	RVs of HD	15629
----------------	----------------	-----------	-------

HJD-2450000	$RV (km s^{-1})$		
	Непλ4542	Νшλ4634	Νшλ4641
6680.658	-53.8	-58.5	-79.1
6958.757	-52.7	-78.7	-78.2
Mean	-53.3 ± 0.8	-68.6 ± 14.3	-78.7 ± 0.6

Table B.5. Newly determined RVs of BD+60° 498

HJD-2450000	RV	$\sigma(\mathrm{RV})$
	$\rm kms^{-1}$	$\rm kms^{-1}$
6693.578	-114.0	19.9
6694.581	-124.7	9.7
6695.586	-63.7	38.8
6697.590	-58.6	11.2
6699.569	-34.7	43.9
6700.585	-11.2	9.6
6713.594	-23.7	13.2
6716.596	-40.4	9.9
6960.821	-47.9	24.3
6961.758	-48.7	28.0
6979.719	-42.9	12.4
6980.733	-69.4	13.2

Notes. The RVs are the mean of the values measured on the He I $\lambda\lambda$ 4026, 4121, 4144, 4388, 4471, 4713, H γ , H β and He II λ 4686 lines.

Table B.6. Newly determined RVs of BD+60° 499

HJD-2450000	RV	$\sigma(RV)$
	$\rm kms^{-1}$	$\rm kms^{-1}$
6696.589	-45.9	5.2
6699.628	-47.3	4.9

Notes. The RVs are the mean of the values measured on the He I $\lambda\lambda$ 4026, 4121, 4144, 4388, 4471, 4713, H γ , H β and He II λ 4686 lines.

Table B.7. Newly determined RV of $BD+60^{\circ}$ 513

HJD-2450000	RV	$\sigma(RV)$
	$\rm kms^{-1}$	$\rm km \ s^{-1}$
6698.600	-65.7	9.7

Notes. The RV is the mean of the values measured on the He I λ 4471, He II $\lambda\lambda$ 4542, 4686 and H β lines.