

Stein operators for product distributions, with applications

Robert E. Gaunt*, Guillaume Mijoule[†] and Yvik Swan[‡]

University of Oxford and Université de Liège

Abstract

We build upon recent advances on the distributional aspect of Stein’s method to propose a novel and flexible technique for computing Stein operators for random variables that can be written as products of independent random variables. We show that our results are valid for a wide class of distributions including normal, beta, variance-gamma, generalized gamma and many more. Our operators are k th degree differential operators with polynomial coefficients; they are easy to obtain even when the target density bears no explicit handle. We apply our toolkit to derive a new formula for the density of the product of k independent symmetric variance-gamma distributed random variables, and to study the asymptotic behaviour of the K -distribution under different regimes; this has implications in the analysis of radar signal data.

AMS classification: 60E15, 26D10, 60B10

Key words: Stein operators, Product distributions, Product Normal, Variance-Gamma, PRR distribution, K -distribution.

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Let X and Y be real random variables with respective laws $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ which are expected to be close in some sense. Non asymptotic assessments of the closeness of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ are often performed in terms of probability metrics such as the total variation distance $\sup_{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} |\mathbb{P}(X \in A) - \mathbb{P}(Y \in A)|$, the Kolmogorov distance $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) - \mathbb{P}(Y \leq x)|$ or the Wasserstein (a.k.a. Kantorovitch) distance $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}(X \leq x) - \mathbb{P}(Y \leq x)| dx$. Except in the simplest cases, the distribution functions of X and Y can not both be written in closed-form, thus providing exact evaluation of such metrics is not tractable. The most classical approach to the estimation of probabilistic discrepancies relies on the study of characterizing *integral* operators of the form $\phi_X(T) = \mathbb{E}[T(X)]$, leading to the comparison of characteristic functions, of moment generating functions, etc. The gist of the approach, pioneered in [5], is to use inversion formulas to transfer the problem of estimating the chosen metric into that of estimating the differences between $\phi_X(T)$ and $\phi_Y(T)$.

One of the main contenders to the classical characteristic function approach is due to [40] and rests implicitly on a comparison of well-chosen characterizing *differential* operators generally referred to as Stein operators. Informally, if X has density p with respect to some dominating measure μ and if there exists a linear operator \mathcal{L} such that $\mathcal{L}(p) = 0$ then a Stein operator for X is any linear operator \mathcal{A} which is a dual to \mathcal{L} with respect to integration in $L^2(p d\mu)$ (a precise definition will be given in Section 1.3). If X and Y have operators \mathcal{A}_X and \mathcal{A}_Y , respectively, then one can assess the difference between $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ by estimating the difference between the actions of \mathcal{A}_X and \mathcal{A}_Y over well chosen classes of functions. Stein’s masterstroke of insight provides a way of using this difference in order to derive estimates on the above mentioned probability metrics by using the representation

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(X, Y) = \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |\mathbb{E}h(X) - \mathbb{E}h(Y)| = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})} |\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}_X f(X)] - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}_Y f(X)]| \quad (1)$$

*University of Oxford, Department of Statistics, 24–29 St. Giles’, Oxford, OX1 3LB, UK gaunt@stats.ox.ac.uk

[†]Université de Liège, Sart-Tilman, Allée de la découverte 12, B-8000 Liège, Belgium guillaume.mijoule@gmail.com.

[‡]Université de Liège, Sart-Tilman, Allée de la découverte 12, B-8000 Liège, Belgium yswan@ulg.ac.be.

with $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbb{I}_A, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})\}$ (for the total variation distance), $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathbb{I}_{(-\infty, x]}, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (for the Kolmogorov distance) or $\mathcal{H} = \{h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Lipschitz with constant } 1\}$ (for the Wasserstein distance) and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$ a well-chosen class of functions (see, for example, [30, Chapter 3] for details when $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, the standard normal distribution).

The first key to setting up Stein's method for a target X is of course to identify the operator \mathcal{A}_X . A general canonical theory is available in [25], upon which we shall dwell in Section 1.3. Many general theories have been proposed in recent years. These are relatively easy to setup under specific assumptions on the target density, see <https://sites.google.com/site/steinsmethod/> for an overview of the quite large literature on this topic. In the case where the target has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure, an assumption which we impose from here onwards, then adhoc duality arguments are easy to apply for targets X whose densities satisfy explicit differential equations. For instance the p.d.f. $\gamma(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}e^{-x^2/2}$ of the standard normal distribution satisfies the first order ODE $\gamma'(x) + x\gamma(x) = 0$ leading, by integration by parts, to the well-known operator $\mathcal{A}f(x) = f'(x) - xf(x)$. By a similar reasoning, natural first order operators are easy to devise for target distributions which belong to the Pearson family [37] or which satisfy a diffusive assumption [8, 23]. There is a priori no reason for which the characterizing operator should be of first order and a very classical example is the above mentioned standard normal operator which is often viewed as $\mathcal{A}f(x) = f''(x) - xf'(x)$, the generator of an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process (see, for example, [3]). Higher order operators whose order can not be trivially reduced are also available : [14] obtains a second order operator for the entire family of variance-gamma distributions (see also [16]), [35] obtain a second order Stein operator for the Laplace distribution, and [33] obtain a second order operator for the PRR distribution, which has a density that can be expressed in terms of the Kummer U function. More generally, if the p.d.f. of X is defined in terms of special functions (Kummer U , Meijer G , Bessel, etc.) which are themselves defined as solutions to explicit d th order differential equations then the duality approach shall yield a tractable differential operator with explicit coefficients.

In many cases the target distribution is not defined analytically in terms of its distribution but rather probabilistically, as a statistic (sum, product, quotient) of independent contributions. Applying a direct duality argument or requiring in any way explicit knowledge of the density in order to obtain Stein operators for such objects is generally not tractable, and new approaches must be devised. In [2], a Fourier-based approach is developed for identifying appropriate operators for arbitrary combinations of independent chi-square distributed random variables

$$X_{\text{sum}} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^q \alpha_i (X_i^2 - 1) \quad (2)$$

where $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. standard normal random variable; this includes for instance the chi-square as well as several particular instances of the variance-gamma class. In [17, 15], an iterative conditioning argument is provided for obtaining operators for random variables which can be represented as

$$X_{\text{prod}} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^q X_i \quad (3)$$

where $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. beta, gamma or mean-zero normal random variables.

In spite of the increasing body of literature devoted to the topic of Stein operators, there is still an inherent vagueness even at the very core of their construction. Indeed such operators are not unique and, moreover, given any operator \mathcal{A}_X for X then one can churn out infinitely many more operators of the form $f \mapsto \mathcal{A}_X(T(f))$ by choosing any suitable transformation T . Hence it is not a priori clear which operator one should use for any given target, or even what characteristics one needs to seek in order to construct a "good" Stein operator. In view of the current state of literature, the consensus seems to be that the following three constraints are most desirable.

1. The operator is characterizing : there exists a collection of functions \mathcal{F} such that $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}_X f(Y)] = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}(Y) = \mathcal{L}(X)$.
2. The operator is generic : the collection \mathcal{F} contains all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support.

3. The operator is elementary and differential : there exist $d \geq 1$ an integer and $(a_j)_{j=1,\dots,d}$ a sequence of polynomials such that

$$\mathcal{A}_X f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j(x) f^{(j)}(x) \quad (4)$$

with $f^{(j)}(x)$ the j th derivative of f at x (recall that we are in the absolutely continuous setting).

The first two constraints are not essential and several authors have worked with operators which violate either (or even both), see, for example, [6] when the target is exponentially distributed. Throughout the literature the third constraint is crucial for the operator to be of use for applications. In this paper, we pursue the work started in [17, 15] and identify the appropriate format for the \mathcal{A}_n by which one can easily deduce an explicit operator for any random variable of the form (3). Our approach will be shown to provide operators satisfying Constraints 2 and 3.

1.2 Operators for functionals

Formally, the following easy-to-prove result (see, for instance, [31]) provides an answer to all our queries on the topic of Stein operators for random variables which can be written as functionals of independent contributions.

Proposition 1.1. *Let X be a random variable with Stein operator \mathcal{A}_X acting on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ some class functions. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be non constant in its first coordinate, and let Y be a random variable independent of X . Then*

$$\mathcal{A}_{T(X,Y)}g(z) = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathcal{A}_X \left(\frac{g(T(X,Y))}{\partial_x T(X,Y)} \right) \mid T(X,Y) = z \right] \quad (5)$$

is a (weak) Stein operator for $T(X,Y)$ on $\mathcal{F}(X)$ (see Definition 1.2).

This means that if we know the operator for one of the contributions then we can, in principle, deduce an operator for the statistic (and Proposition 1.1 is easy to generalize to statistics of an arbitrary number of independent contributions). For example if X, Y are independent standard normal then $\mathcal{A}_X g(x) = g'(x) - xg(x)$ and, choosing $T(x,y) = x + y$, we immediately obtain by independence and equality in distribution of X and Y that

$$\mathcal{A}_{X+Y}g(z) = \mathbb{E} [g'(X+Y) - Xg(X+Y) \mid X+Y = z] = g'(z) - \frac{z}{2}g(z)$$

which is none other than the operator for $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2)$ a centered normal random variable with variance 2, as expected. Such a simple argument breaks down if $T(X,Y) = XY$ because then (5) becomes (still under the assumption that X, Y are i.i.d. standard normal)

$$\mathcal{A}_{XY}g(z) = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{Yg'(XY) - Xg(XY)}{Y} \mid XY = z \right] = g'(z) - \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{X}{Y} \mid XY = z \right] g(z).$$

This first order operator is uneasy to handle and the more appropriate operator is known from [17] to be

$$\mathcal{A}_{XY}g(z) = zg''(z) + g'(z) - zg(z),$$

a second order operator. The passage from the former to the latter permits to satisfy Constraint 3 at the cost of a higher degree in the characterizing operator. In the sequel we will see that such changes in the order of the operator are far from anecdotal and rather reflect deeply on the inherent randomness of the target distribution. For instance, if X and Y are independent normal with variance 1 and mean 1 then we will prove in Section 3.2.1 that a polynomial Stein operator (i.e. a Stein operator with polynomial coefficients) is now

$$\mathcal{A}_{XY}g(z) = zg'''(z) + (1-z)g''(z) - (z+2)g'(z) + (z-1)g(z),$$

a third order operator. Similarly, if X and Y do not have the same mean then the resulting operator will have still a different order, depending on whether or not one of them is centered or not.

1.3 Stein operators

The following is the basic definition of the Stein operator of a random variable X .

Definition 1.1 (The Stein operator, [25]). *Let X be a random variable on some measure space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ and let \mathcal{D} be a linear operator on \mathcal{X}^* the collection of real valued functions on \mathcal{X} . Let X have density p with respect to μ . The \mathcal{D} -Stein pair for X is $(\mathcal{T}_X, \mathcal{F}(X))$ where $\mathcal{F}(X) = \{f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \mathcal{D}(fp) \in L^1(\mu) \text{ and } \int \mathcal{D}(fp)d\mu = 0\}$ is the \mathcal{D} -Stein class and $\mathcal{T}_X f = \mathcal{D}(fp)/p$ is the corresponding \mathcal{D} -Stein operator.*

In this paper, we fix $\mathcal{D}f = f'$, the usual strong derivative, and consider random variables that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, with density p which we suppose to be differentiable with interval support \mathcal{I} , say. We suppose that $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is not empty and define $\text{dom}(X)$ the collection of functions g such that (i) $x \mapsto |g(x)(f(x)p(x))'|$, $x \mapsto |g'(x)(f(x)p(x))|$ are both integrable on \mathcal{I} for all $f \in \mathcal{F}(X)$; (ii) $[g(x) f(x) p(x)]_a^b = 0$. Under these conditions we have the generalized covariance identity

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{T}_X f(X)g(X)] = -\mathbb{E}[f(X)g'(X)] \quad (6)$$

for all $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}(X) \times \text{dom}(X)$. The collection $\text{dom}(X)$ is not empty (it contains at least the constant functions) and it is shown in [25] that if Y is a random variable such that for some $f \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ (respectively for some $g \in \text{dom}(X)$) (6) holds for all $g \in \text{dom}(X)$ (respectively for all $f \in \mathcal{F}(X)$) then necessarily Y and X have the same distribution.

While the (differential) Stein operator is unique it is, as explained above, generally intractable and one rather seeks particularizations of it that are obtained by considering the action of \mathcal{T}_X over well-chosen subclasses of $\mathcal{F}(X)$. By metonymy we call these Stein operators as well. Among the target distributions which shall be concerned with the theory that we shall develop in the sequel are those which admit Stein operators of the form

$$\mathcal{A} = a_1 T_{\alpha_1} - a_2 M^p T_{\alpha_2}, \quad (7)$$

with $a_i, \alpha_i, i = 1, 2$ real numbers, $p > 0$, M the multiplication operator $Mf(x) = xf(x)$ and $T_r f(x) = xf'(x) + rf(x)$ (by convention, we also denote by T_∞ the identity operator). We deliberately choose to keep \mathcal{F} , the class of functions over which \mathcal{A} acts, unspecified although here and throughout we require that Constraint 2 be satisfied : no border conditions are required on $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Using Definition 1.1 it is not hard to provide a characterization for the score function of the entire family of target densities with operators of the form (7).

Lemma 1.1. *If a random variable X with p.d.f. γ has Stein operator of the form (7) over a generic class \mathcal{F} then*

$$(\ln \gamma(x))' = \frac{a_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + a_2 x^p(\alpha_2 + p + 1)}{x(a_1 - a_2 x^p)} \quad (8)$$

for all x in the support of γ .

Proof. By duality, γ is solution of the ODE

$$(-a_1 x + a_2 x^{p+1})\gamma'(x) + [a_1(\alpha_1 - 1) + a_2 x^p(p + 1 + \alpha_2)]\gamma(x) = 0,$$

yielding the result. □

Example 1.1. *Many classical probability distributions have p.d.f. which can be written in the form (7) with well-chosen coefficients, see Appendix A for a list. In particular, Lemma 1.1 applies to the following distributions :*

1. normal distribution with $a_1 = 1 = \alpha_1 = a_2$, $\alpha_2 = \infty$ and $p = 2$;
2. gamma distribution with $a_1 = 1$, $\alpha_1 = r$, $a_2 = \lambda$, $\alpha_2 = \infty$ and $p = 1$;
3. beta distribution with $a_1 = b_1 = 1$, $\alpha_1 = a$, $\alpha_2 = a + b$ and $p = 1$.

Remark 1.1. *In the sequel we will extend the scope of our theory to consider the more general class of distributions with Stein operators of the form (14); this class encompasses most classical distributions as well as their products, a wealth examples being provided in Appendix A.*

Definition 1.1 is in many cases hard to fathom and in the sequel we will often adopt the following much less demanding

Definition 1.2 (Weak Stein operators). *A linear differential operator \mathcal{A} is a weak Stein operator for X on a class \mathcal{F} of test functions if \mathcal{A} is non-zero and $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}f(X)] = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Note that we do not request the operators provided by Definition 1.2 to be characterizing; this property depends entirely on the richness of the class of test functions \mathcal{F} . In the remainder of this paper we will simply refer to the “Weak Stein operators” provided by Definition 1.2 as “Stein operators”; this is in any case more in line with the current literature.

1.4 Purpose and outline of the paper

The purpose of this paper is to provide a new collection of tools allowing to derive Stein operators with polynomial coefficients for random objects which can be written as

$$X \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n}$$

for any real numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, when the underlying random variables have a Stein operator of a particular form. More precisely, we show how to easily obtain a Stein operator for such a product when each X_i has a Stein operator of the type of (7), which is the case for a large number of classical distributions. Such results are of importance for a series of reasons. First, as will be briefly outlined in Section 4.1, our technology provides new tractable handles on a large class of target distributions whose densities are entirely out of reach of classical ODE or characteristic function approaches. Second, and this we shall put into practice in Section 4.2, we are in a position to provide quantitative assessments via Stein’s method for stochastic approximation for a new range of distributions : for instance, we give quantitative bounds between the so-called K -distribution, which is of importance in radar signal analysis, and a gamma distribution.

Finally, we stress that the theory of Stein operators to which this paper contributes is of importance also in its own right. Indeed, there are now a wide variety of techniques which allow to obtain useful bounds on solutions to the resulting Stein equations (see, for example, [24, 9]) and which can be adapted to the operators that we derive. Also, and this has now been demonstrated in several papers such as [2, 31], Stein operators can be used for comparison of probability distributions directly without the need of solving Stein equations; such an area is also the object of much interest. Finally, the characteristics of the operators open new and deep questions on the very nature of the objects that we are working with; see Conjecture 1.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide the main result of this paper, namely a series of tools for deriving differential Stein operators with polynomial coefficients for product random variables. Several applications are already discussed in this same Section. In Section 3, we provide extensions to cases not covered by the general results from Section 2. In Section 4.1, we discuss the duality between our Stein operators and finally in Section 4.2 we provide several applications of our theory. Appendix A contains a list of classical Stein operators for continuous distributions, written in terms of the T_r operators, as well as some examples of Stein operators for product distributions. In Appendix B, we collect some basic properties of the Meijer G -function that are used in this paper.

2 General results

Let us recall some notation regarding the different operators that will be used throughout the paper.

- \mathcal{F} is a space of smooth functions, stable under multiplication and differentiation. We assume that \mathcal{F} satisfies Constraint 2.

- M is the multiplication operator : $M(f) = (x \mapsto xf(x))$,
- D the differentiation operator $D(f) = f'$,
- I identity of \mathcal{F} ,
- For $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\tau_a(f) = (x \mapsto f(ax))$,
- $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}, T_r = MD + rI$. By convention, we set $T_\infty = I$.

Using the fact that $DM = MD + I$, one can easily check that $\forall r \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$T_r M^n = M^n T_{r+n}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$T_r D^n = D^n T_{r-n}, \quad (10)$$

with the usual convention that $r + \infty = \infty$. It is also direct to see that

$$\tau_a M = a M \tau_a,$$

and

$$D \tau_a = a \tau_a D.$$

Note also that T_r and $T_{r'}$ always commute (since they are polynomials, of degree 1, in MD), and that every T_r commutes with every τ_a .

In this entire section, we assume the random variables we deal with admit a Stein operator of the form

$$A = L - M^p K,$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and that for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the operators L, K and τ_a commute. Actually, in all applications considered, L and K will be products of the operators T_r (and in this case the commutativity hypothesis is verified).

2.1 Product of distributions

We give now a general result giving the Stein operator for the product of two independent random variables whose Stein operators have a particular form. We will see that a large class of classical distributions admit a Stein operator of this form.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume X, Y are random variables with respective Stein operators*

$$\mathcal{A}_X = L_X - M^p K_X, \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_Y = L_Y - M^p K_Y, \quad (12)$$

where $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and where the operators L_X, K_X, L_Y, K_Y commute with each other and with every τ_a , $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, if X and Y are independent,

$$L_X L_Y - M^p K_X K_Y \quad (13)$$

is a Stein operator for XY .

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Using a conditioning argument and the commutative property between the different operators, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[L_X L_Y f(XY)] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\tau_Y L_X L_Y f(X) | Y]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[L_X \tau_Y L_Y f(X) | Y]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[M^p K_X \tau_Y L_Y f(X) | Y]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X^p \tau_Y K_X L_Y f(X) | Y]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^p K_X L_Y f(XY)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^p \mathbb{E}[\tau_X K_X L_Y f(Y) | X]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^p \mathbb{E}[L_Y \tau_X K_X f(Y) | X]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^p \mathbb{E}[M^p K_Y \tau_X K_X f(Y) | X]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X^p Y^p K_Y K_X f(XY)], \end{aligned}$$

which achieves the proof. \square

Note how this last result is easily generalized to the product of n random variables by induction. More precisely, if $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are independent random variables with respective Stein operator $L_i - M^p K_i$, if all the operators $\{L_i, K_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ commute with each other and with the $\tau_a, a \in \mathbb{R}$, then a Stein operator for $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n L_i - M^p \prod_{i=1}^n K_i.$$

The main drawback of Proposition 2.1 is that we assume the same power of M^p appears in both operators. As such, the Proposition cannot be applied for instance for the product of a gamma (for which $p = 1$) and a centered normal (for which $p = 2$). In the following Lemma and Proposition, we show how to bypass this difficulty : one can build another Stein operator for X with the power p multiplied by an arbitrary integer k (even though by doing so, one increases the order of the operator). Here we restrict ourselves to the case where the L_i and K_i operators are products of operators T_α ; indeed, we will make use of the relation (9).

Lemma 2.1. *Assume X has a Stein operator of the form*

$$\mathcal{A}_X = a \prod_{i=1}^n T_{\alpha_i} - b M^p \prod_{i=1}^m T_{\beta_i}. \quad (14)$$

Then, for every $k \geq 1$, a Stein operator for X is given by

$$a^k \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{\alpha_i + jp} - b^k M^{kp} \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} T_{\beta_i + jp}.$$

Proof. We prove the result by induction on k . By assumption, it is true for $k = 1$. Then, using the recurrence hypothesis and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[a^{k+1} \prod_{j=0}^k \prod_{i=1}^n T_{\alpha_i + jp} f(X) \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[a a^k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^n T_{\alpha_i + jp} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n T_{\alpha_i + kp} f \right) (X) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[a b^k M^{kp} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^m T_{\beta_i + jp} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n T_{\alpha_i + kp} f \right) (X) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[a b^k \prod_{i=1}^n T_{\alpha_i} M^{kp} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^m T_{\beta_i + jp} f(X) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[b^{k+1} M^p \prod_{i=1}^m T_{\beta_i} M^{kp} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \prod_{i=1}^m T_{\beta_i + jp} f(X) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[b^{k+1} M^{kp} \prod_{j=0}^k \prod_{i=1}^m T_{\beta_i + jp} f(X) \right], \end{aligned}$$

which proves our claim. \square

Now consider the problem of finding a Stein operator for a product of independent random variables X and Y with Stein operators $\mathcal{A}_X = a \prod_{i=1}^n T_{\alpha_i} - b M^p \prod_{i=1}^m T_{\beta_i}$ and $\mathcal{A}_Y = a' \prod_{i=1}^{n'} T_{\alpha'_i} - b' M^{p'} \prod_{i=1}^{m'} T_{\beta'_i}$, with $p \neq p'$. Apply Lemma 2.1 to X with $k = p'$ and to Y with $k = p$ to get Stein operators for X and Y of the form of Proposition 2.1, but with p replaced by pp' . Then apply the Proposition.

As an illustration, one can prove the following.

Proposition 2.2. Assume X, Y are random variables with respective Stein operators

$$\mathcal{A}_X = a_1 T_{\alpha_1} - a_2 M^p T_{\alpha_2}, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_Y = b_1 T_{\beta_1} - b_2 M^q T_{\beta_2}, \quad (16)$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let m be the least common multiple of p and q and write $m = k_1 p = k_2 q$. Then, if X and Y are independent,

$$a_1^{k_1} b_1^{k_2} \prod_{i=0}^{k_1-1} T_{\alpha_1+ip} \prod_{i=0}^{k_2-1} T_{\beta_1+iq} - M^m a_2^{k_1} b_2^{k_2} \prod_{i=0}^{k_1-1} T_{\alpha_2+ip} \prod_{i=0}^{k_2-1} T_{\beta_2+iq} \quad (17)$$

is a Stein operator for XY .

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.1 with k_1 and k_2 to get, for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[a_1^{k_1} \prod_{j=0}^{k_1-1} T_{\alpha_1+jp} f(X) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[a_2^{k_1} M^m \prod_{j=0}^{k_1-1} T_{\alpha_2+jp} f(X) \right],$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[b_1^{k_2} \prod_{j=0}^{k_2-1} T_{\beta_1+jp} f(Y) \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[b_2^{k_2} M^m \prod_{j=0}^{k_2-1} T_{\beta_2+jp} f(Y) \right].$$

Then the proof follows from an application of Proposition 2.1. \square

Remark 2.1. We point out that the Proposition is valid when one of the α_i or β_i is infinite, that is, when one of the T operators is the identity. For instance, we have that if X, Y are random variables with respective Stein operators

$$\mathcal{A}_X = a_1 T_{\alpha} - a_2 M^p, \quad (18)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_Y = b_1 T_{\beta} - b_2 M^q, \quad (19)$$

then, with the same notations, a Stein operator for XY is

$$a_1^{k_1} b_1^{k_2} \prod_{i=0}^{k_1-1} T_{\alpha+ip} \prod_{i=0}^{k_2-1} T_{\beta+iq} - a_2^{k_1} b_2^{k_2} M^m. \quad (20)$$

Remark 2.2. As we will see in Section 2.3, a number of classical distributions have Stein operators of the form (18) and (19), including the mean-zero gaussian, gamma, beta, Student's t , F -distribution, as well as powers of such random variables, which includes inverse distributions, such the inverse gamma distribution. See Appendix A for a list of these Stein operators. Note, in particular, that the standard normal Stein operator given in Appendix A is given by $T_1^2 - M^2$, rather than the classical standard normal Stein operator $D - M$. Further comments on this matter are given in Section 2.3.

Remark 2.3. The proofs of Proposition 2.1 and Lemma 2.1 rely heavily on the fact that $L_b \tau_a = \tau_a L_b$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $T_b \tau_a = \tau_a T_b$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we can apply a conditioning argument to derive a Stein operator for the product XY from the Stein operators for X and Y .

However, using such a conditioning argument to derive a Stein operator for the sum $X + Y$ from the Stein operators for X and Y only works for quite special cases. This is because, for sums, the operator analogous to τ_a is the shift operator S_a , defined by $S_a(f) = (x \mapsto f(x + a))$ is, for non-zero a , not commutative with the operator T_b . To see this:

$$\begin{aligned} T_b S_a f(x) &= x f'(x + a) + b f(x + a) \\ &= (x + a) f'(x + a) + b f(x + a) - a f'(x + a) \\ &= S_a T_b f(x) - a S_a D f(x), \end{aligned}$$

so $T_b S_a = S_a T_b - a S_a D$.

There is, however, a class of Stein operators under which a conditioning argument can be easily used to obtain a Stein operator for a sum of independent random variables. Suppose X, X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d., with Stein operator

$$\mathcal{A}_X f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m (a_k x + b_k) f^{(k)}(x).$$

Let $W = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$. Then, by conditioning,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[(a_0 W + n b_0) f(W)] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(a_0 \sum_{j=1}^n X_j + n b_0 \right) f(W) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[(a_0 X_j + b_0) f(W) \mid X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n \right] \right] \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m (a_k X_j + b_k) f^{(k)}(W) \mid X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_{j+1}, \dots, X_n \right] \right] \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m (a_k X_j + b_k) f^{(k)}(W) \right] \\ &= - \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m (a_k W + n b_k) f^{(k)}(W) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, a Stein operator for W is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_W f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m (a_k x + n b_k) f^{(k)}(x). \quad (21)$$

This approach can be used, for example, to obtain the $\chi_{(d)}^2$ Stein operator $T_{d/2} - \frac{1}{2}M$ from the $\chi_{(1)}^2$ Stein operator $T_{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}M$, since all coefficients in this Stein operator are linear.

2.2 Powers and inverse distributions

In this section, we assume that a.s., X takes values in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and that test functions f are defined on this open set. Then, we extend the definition of M^a to $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ by $M^a f(x) = x^a f(x)$, $x \neq 0$. In the particular case where X takes values in $(0, \infty)$ (and thus, test functions are defined on $(0, \infty)$), this definition also makes sense when $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us first note a result concerning powers. Let P_a be defined by $P_a f(x) = f(x^a)$. For $a \neq 0$, we have that $T_r P_a = a P_a T_{r/a}$, since

$$\begin{aligned} T_r P_a f(x) &= x \cdot a x^{a-1} f'(x^a) + r f(x^a) = a x^a f'(x^a) + r f(x^a) \\ &= a (x^a f'(x^a) + (r/a) f(x^a)) = a P_a T_{r/a} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

This result allows us to easily obtain Stein operators for powers of random variables and inverse distributions. Suppose X has Stein operator

$$\mathcal{A}_X = a T_{\alpha_1} \cdots T_{\alpha_n} - b M^q T_{\beta_1} \cdots T_{\beta_m}.$$

We can write down a Stein operator for X^γ immediately (if X takes negative values, we restrict to positive or integer-valued γ):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{X^\gamma} &= a T_{\alpha_1} \cdots T_{\alpha_n} P_\gamma - b M^q T_{\beta_1} \cdots T_{\beta_m} P_\gamma \\ &= a \gamma^n P_\gamma T_{\alpha_1/\gamma} \cdots T_{\alpha_n/\gamma} - b \gamma^m M^q P_\gamma T_{\beta_1/\gamma} \cdots T_{\beta_m/\gamma} \\ &= a \gamma^n P_\gamma T_{\alpha_1/\gamma} \cdots T_{\alpha_n/\gamma} - b \gamma^m P_\gamma M^{q/\gamma} T_{\beta_1/\gamma} \cdots T_{\beta_m/\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Applying $P_{1/\gamma}$ on the left of (22) gives the following Stein operator for the random variable X^γ :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X^\gamma} = a\gamma^n T_{\alpha_1/\gamma} \cdots T_{\alpha_n/\gamma} - b\gamma^m M^{q/\gamma} T_{\beta_1/\gamma} \cdots T_{\beta_m/\gamma}, \quad (23)$$

as $P_{1/\gamma} P_\gamma = I$.

From (23) we immediately obtain, for example, the classical $\chi_{(1)}^2$ Stein operator $T_{1/2} - \frac{1}{2}M$ from the standard normal Stein operator $T_1 - M^2$. However, in certain situations, a more convenient form of the Stein operator may be desired. To illustrate this, we consider the important special case of inverse distributions. Here $\gamma = -1$, which yields the following Stein operator for $1/X$:

$$a(-1)^n T_{-\alpha_1} \cdots T_{-\alpha_n} - b(-1)^m M^{-q} T_{-\beta_1} \cdots T_{-\beta_m}.$$

To remove the singularity, we multiply on the right by M^{-1} to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{1/X} &= a(-1)^n T_{-\alpha_1} \cdots T_{-\alpha_n} M^q - b(-1)^m M^{-q} T_{-\beta_1} \cdots T_{-\beta_m} M^q \\ &= a(-1)^n M^q T_{q-\alpha_1} \cdots T_{q-\alpha_n} - b(-1)^m T_{q-\beta_1} \cdots T_{q-\beta_m}. \end{aligned}$$

Cancelling constants gives the Stein operator

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1/X} = bT_{q-\beta_1} \cdots T_{q-\beta_m} - (-1)^{m+n} aM^q T_{q-\alpha_1} \cdots T_{q-\alpha_n}. \quad (24)$$

2.3 Applications

Starting from the classical Stein operators of the centered normal, gamma, beta, Student's t , inverse-gamma, F -distribution, PRR, variance-gamma (with $\theta = 0$ and $\mu = 0$), generalized gamma distributions, and K -distributions, we use the results of Section 2.1 to derive new operators for the (possibly mixed) products of these distributions. The operators of the aforementioned distributions are summed up in Appendix A. Stein operators for any mixed product of independent copies of such random variables are attainable through a direct application of Proposition 2.2. We give some examples below.

2.3.1 Mixed products of centered normal and gamma random variables

Stein operators for (mixed) products of independent central normal, beta and gamma random variables were obtained by [17, 15]. Here we demonstrate how these Stein operators can be easily derived by an application of our theory (we omit the beta distribution for reasons of brevity). Let $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $(Y_j)_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ be independent random variables and assume $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ and $Y_j \sim \Gamma(r_i, \lambda_i)$. The random variables X_i and Y_j admit the following Stein operators:

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_i} = \sigma_i^2 T_1 - M^2, \quad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y_j} = T_{r_j} - \lambda_j M. \quad (26)$$

A repeated application of Proposition 2.2 now gives the following Stein operators:

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_1 \cdots X_n} = \sigma_1^2 \cdots \sigma_n^2 T_1^n - M^2, \quad (27)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y_1 \cdots Y_m} = T_{r_1} \cdots T_{r_m} - \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_m M, \quad (28)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_1 \cdots X_n Y_1 \cdots Y_m} = \sigma_1^2 \cdots \sigma_n^2 T_1^n T_{r_1} \cdots T_{r_m} T_{r_1+1} \cdots T_{r_m+1} - \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_m M^2. \quad (29)$$

The product gamma Stein operator (28) is in exact agreement with the one obtained by [15]. However, the Stein operators (27) and (29) differ slightly from those of [17, 15], because they act on different functions. Indeed, the product normal Stein operator given in [17] is $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{X_1 \cdots X_n} = \sigma_1^2 \cdots \sigma_n^2 D T_0^n - M$, but multiplying through on the right by M yields (27). The same is true of the mixed product operator (29), which is equivalent to the mixed normal-gamma Stein operator of [15] multiplied on the right by M . We refer to Appendix A where this idea is expounded.

Finally, we note that whilst the operators (27) and (28) are of orders n and m , respectively, the mixed product operator (29) is of order $n + 2m$, rather than order $n + m$ which one may at first expect. This a consequence of the fact that the powers of M in the Stein operator (25) and (26) differ by a factor of 2.

2.3.2 Mixed product of Student and variance-gamma random variables

Let $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $(Y_j)_{1 \leq j \leq m}$ be independent random variables and assume $X_i \sim \mathcal{T}(\nu_i)$ and $Y_j \sim \text{VG}(r_j, 0, \sigma_j, 0)$; the p.d.f.s of these distributions are given in Appendix A. X_i and Y_j admit Stein operators of the form :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{X_i} &= \nu_i T_1 + M^2 T_{2-\nu_i}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{Y_j} &= \sigma_j^2 T_1 T_{r_j} - M^2.\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

Note that one cannot apply Proposition 2.1 to the $\text{VG}(r, \theta, \sigma, 0)$ Stein operator $\sigma^2 T_1 T_r + 2\theta M T_{r/2} - M^2$, although we do obtain a Stein operator the product of two such distributions in Section 3.2.3.

Applying recursively Proposition 2.1, we obtain the following Stein operators:

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_1 \dots X_n} = \nu_1 \dots \nu_n T_1^n - (-1)^n M^2 T_{2-\nu_1} \dots T_{2-\nu_n},\tag{31}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{Y_1 \dots Y_m} = \sigma_1^2 \dots \sigma_m^2 T_1^m T_{r_1} \dots T_{r_m} - M^2,\tag{32}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{X_1 \dots X_n Y_1 \dots Y_m} = \nu_1 \dots \nu_n \sigma_1^2 \dots \sigma_m^2 T_1^{n+m} T_{r_1} \dots T_{r_m} - (-1)^n M^2 T_{2-\nu_1} \dots T_{2-\nu_n}.$$

As an aside, note that (30) can be obtained by applying Proposition 2.1 to the Stein operators

$$\mathcal{A}_X = \sigma^2 T_1 - M^2, \quad \mathcal{A}_Y = T_r - M^2,$$

where X and Y are independent. We can identify \mathcal{A}_X as the Stein operator for a $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ random variable and \mathcal{A}_Y as the Stein operator of the random variable $Y = \sqrt{V}$ where $V \sim \Gamma(r/2, 1/2)$. Since the variance-gamma Stein operator is characterizing (see [14], Lemma 3.1), it follows that that $Z \sim \text{VG}(r, 0, \sigma, 0)$ is equal in distribution to $X\sqrt{V}$. This representation of the $\text{VG}(r, 0, \sigma, 0)$ distribution can be found in [4]. This example demonstrates that by characterizing probability distributions, Stein operators can be used to derive useful properties of probability distributions; for a further discussion on this general matter see Section 4.1.

2.3.3 PRR distribution

A Stein operator for the PRR distribution is given by

$$sT_1 T_2 - M^2 T_{2s},\tag{33}$$

see Appendix A.

We now exhibit a neat derivation of this Stein operator by an application of Section 2.1. Let X and Y be independent random variables with distributions

$$X \sim \begin{cases} \text{Beta}(1, s-1), & \text{if } s > 1, \\ \text{Beta}(1/2, s-1/2), & \text{if } 1/2 < s \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$Y \sim \begin{cases} \Gamma(1/2, 1), & \text{if } s > 1, \\ \text{Exp}(1), & \text{if } 1/2 < s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then it is known that $\sqrt{2sXY} \sim K_s$ (see [33], Proposition 2.3).

If $s > 1$, then we have the following Stein operators for X and Y :

$$\mathcal{A}_X = T_1 - MT_s, \quad \mathcal{A}_Y = T_{1/2} - M,$$

and, for $1/2 < s \leq 1$, we have the following Stein operators for X and Y :

$$\mathcal{A}_X = T_{1/2} - MT_s, \quad \mathcal{A}_Y = T_1 - M.$$

Using Proposition 2.2, we have that, for all $s > 1/2$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{XY} = T_{1/2} T_1 - MT_s.$$

From (23) we obtain the Stein operator

$$\mathcal{A}_{\sqrt{XY}} = T_1 T_2 - 2M^2 T_{2s},$$

which on rescaling by a factor of $\sqrt{2s}$ yields the operator (33).

2.3.4 Inverse and quotient distributions

From (24) we can write down inverse distributions for many standard distributions. First, suppose $X \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$. Then a Stein operator for $1/X$ is

$$\mathcal{A}_{1/X} = T_{1-a-b} - MT_{1-a}. \quad (34)$$

This is a Stein operator for a $\text{Beta}(1-a-b, b)$ random variable, which is what we would expect since if $X \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$ then $1/X \sim \text{Beta}(1-a-b, b)$. Now, let $X_1 \sim \text{Beta}(a_1, b_1)$ and $X_2 \sim \text{Beta}(a_2, b_2)$ be independent. Then using Proposition 2.2 applied to the Stein operator (34) for $1/X$ and the beta Stein operator, we have the following Stein operator for $Z = X_1/X_2$:

$$\mathcal{A}_Z = T_{a_1}T_{1-a_2-b_2} - MT_{a_1+b_1}T_{1-a_2}, \quad (35)$$

which is a second order differential operator.

Let us consider the inverse-gamma distribution. Let $X \sim \Gamma(r, \lambda)$, then the gamma Stein equation is

$$\mathcal{A}_X = T_r - \lambda M.$$

From (24) we can obtain a Stein operator for $1/X$ (an inverse-gamma random variable):

$$\mathcal{A}_{1/X} = MT_{1-r} - \lambda I.$$

If $X_1 \sim \Gamma(r_1, \lambda_1)$ and $X_2 \sim \Gamma(r_2, \lambda_2)$, we have from the above operator and Proposition 2.2, the following Stein operator for $Z = X_1/X_2$:

$$\mathcal{A}_Z = \lambda_1 MT_{1-r_2} + \lambda_2 T_{r_1}, \quad (36)$$

which is a first order differential operator. As a special case, we can obtain a Stein operator for the F -distribution with parameters $d_1 > 0$ and $d_2 > 0$. This is because $Z \sim F(d_1, d_2)$ is equal in distribution to $\frac{X_1/d_1}{X_2/d_2}$, where $X_1 \sim \chi_{(d_1)}^2$ and $X_2 \sim \chi_{(d_2)}^2$ are independent. Now applying (36) and rescaling to take into account the factor d_1/d_2 gives the following Stein operator for Z :

$$\mathcal{A}_Z = d_1 MT_{1-d_2/2} + d_2 T_{d_1/2}. \quad (37)$$

One can also easily derive the generalized gamma Stein operator from the gamma Stein operator. The Stein operator for the $\text{GG}(r, \lambda, q)$ distribution is given by $T_r - q\lambda^q M^q$. Using the relationship $X \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} (\lambda^{1-q} Y)^{1/q}$ for $X \sim \text{GG}(r, \lambda, q)$ and $Y \sim \Gamma(r/q, \lambda)$ (see [34]) together with (23) and a rescaling, we readily recover the generalized gamma Stein operator from the usual gamma Stein operator.

As a final example, we note that we can use Proposition 2.2 to obtain a Stein operator for the ratio of two independent standard normal random variables. A Stein operator for the standard normal random variable X_1 is $T_1 - M^2$ and we can apply (24) to obtain the following Stein operator for the random variable $1/X_1$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{1/X_1} = M^2 T_1 - I$$

Hence a Stein operator for the ratio of two independent standard normals is

$$\mathcal{A} = (1 + M^2) T_1,$$

which is the Stein operator for the Cauchy distribution (a special case of the Student's t Stein operator of [37]), as one would expect.

3 A particular case of two i.i.d. random variables

A fundamental example which does not verify the assumptions of Proposition 2.1 is the non-centered normal distribution. Indeed, a Stein operator for $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is $\sigma^2 T_1 + \mu M - M^2$, which cannot be expressed in the required form. The purpose of this section is to generalize Proposition 2.1 and to show how to derive a Stein operator for a product of independent random variables having Stein operators of a similar form of the non-centered normal. Remarkably, the operators we find are much more complicated than in previous section. In particular, we will exhibit a third order Stein operator for the product of two i.i.d. non-centered normals and we do not know a way by which this order could be reduced without losing Constraint 3. We have so far not been able to prove this ‘‘minimality’’ and state it as a conjecture at the end of the section.

3.1 A general result

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let X, Y be i.i.d. with common Stein operator of the form*

$$\mathcal{A}_X = M - \alpha T_a - \beta T_b D.$$

Then, a weak Stein operator for $Z = XY$ is

$$\mathcal{A}_Z = (M - \alpha^2 T_a^2 - \beta^2 T_b^2 T_1 D)(T_{a-1} - \beta T_b T_{a+1} D) - 2\alpha^2 \beta T_a^2 T_b T_{a+1} D. \quad (38)$$

Proof. Let $Z = XY$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Zf(Z)] &= \mathbb{E}[XYf(XY)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[XY\tau_X f(Y)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X(\alpha T_a \tau_X f(Y) + \beta T_b D \tau_X f(Y))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X(\alpha T_a f(XY) + \beta X T_b D f(XY))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X(\alpha \tau_Y T_a f(X) + \beta M \tau_Y T_b D f(X))] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\alpha^2 T_a \tau_Y T_a f(X) + \alpha \beta T_b D \tau_Y T_a f(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha \beta T_a M \tau_Y T_b D f(X) + \beta^2 T_b D M \tau_Y T_b D f(X)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\alpha^2 T_a^2 f(XY) + \alpha \beta Y T_b \tau_Y D T_a f(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha \beta M T_{a+1} \tau_Y T_b D f(X) + \beta^2 T_b T_1 \tau_Y T_b D f(X)\right], \end{aligned}$$

which yields, since (X, Y) is exchangeable,

$$\mathbb{E}[XYf(XY)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\alpha^2 T_a^2 f(XY) + 2\alpha \beta X T_b T_{a+1} D f(XY) + \beta^2 T_b^2 T_1 D f(XY)\right].$$

Let

$$K = M - \alpha^2 T_a^2 - \beta^2 T_b^2 T_1 D, \quad (39)$$

and

$$L = 2\alpha \beta T_b T_{a+1} D. \quad (40)$$

Then, from the above,

$$\mathbb{E}[Kf(Z)] = \mathbb{E}[XLf(Z)]. \quad (41)$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[XLf(Z)] &= \mathbb{E}[X\tau_Y Lf(X)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\alpha T_a \tau_Y Lf(X) + \beta T_b D \tau_Y Lf(X)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\alpha T_a Lf(Z) + \beta X T_b D Lf(Z)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (41),

$$\mathbb{E}[Kf(Z) - \alpha T_a Lf(Z)] = \beta \mathbb{E}[X T_b D Lf(Z)]. \quad (42)$$

Now, by applying equations (41) and (42) to respectively $L_1 f$ and $L_2 f$ for some suitable operators L_1 and L_2 , we can make the terms in X disappear. More precisely, if we define

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= T_b T_{a+1} D \\ L_2 &= T_{a-1}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$LL_1 = T_b D L L_2. \quad (43)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
LL_1 &= 2\alpha\beta T_b T_{a+1} D T_b T_{a+1} D \\
&= 2\alpha\beta T_b D T_a T_b T_{a+1} D \\
&= 2\alpha\beta T_b D T_b T_{a+1} D T_{a-1} \\
&= T_b D L L_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (41) and (42), we get $\mathbb{E}[(KL_2 - \alpha T_a L L_2 - \beta K L_1)f(Z)] = 0$, and a straightforward calculation leads to (38). \square

The product operator (38) is in general a seventh order differential operator. However, for particular cases, such as the product of two i.i.d. non-centered normals, the operator reduces to one of lower order, see Section 3.2.1. Whilst we strongly believe that this operator is a minimal order polynomial operator, we have no proof of this claim (nor do we have much intuition as to whether the seventh order operator (38) is of minimal order). We believe this question of minimality to be of importance and state it as a conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *There exists no second order Stein operator with polynomial coefficients for the product of two independent non-centered Gaussian random variables.*

Remark 3.1. *Proving a similar result as Proposition 3.1 in the case where X and Y are not identically distributed is not straightforward. Indeed, one can easily show an analog of (41) : we have $\mathbb{E}[Kf(Z)] = \mathbb{E}[XLf(Z) + YL'f(Z)]$ for some suitable operators K , L and L' . But cancelling out both terms in X and Y in the same fashion as in Proposition 3.1 leads to inextricable calculations. In certain simple cases, we can, however, apply the argument used in the proof of Proposition 3.1 to derive a Stein operator for the product of two non-identically distributed random variables; see Section 3.2.1 for an example.*

3.2 Examples

3.2.1 Product of non-centered normals

Assume X and Y have a normal distribution with mean μ and variance 1. Their common Stein operator is thus $D - M + \mu I$. Apply Proposition 3.1 with $\alpha = \mu$, $\beta = 1$ and $a = b = \infty$ to get the following Stein operator for XY :

$$\mathcal{A}_{XY} = (M - \mu^2 I - T_1 D)(I - D) - 2\mu^2 D,$$

which, in an expanded form, is

$$\mathcal{A}_{XY} = MD^3 + (I - M)D^2 - (M + (1 + \mu^2)I)D + M - \mu^2 I. \quad (44)$$

Note that when $\mu = 0$, the above operator becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{XY} f(x) &= M(D^3 - D^2 - D + I)f(x) + (D^2 - D)f(x) \\
&= x(f^{(3)}(x) - f''(x)) + (f''(x) - f'(x)) + x(f'(x) - f(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $g(x) = f'(x) - f(x)$ then yields

$$\mathcal{A}_{XY} f(x) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{XY} g(x) = g''(x) + g'(x) - xg(x), \quad (45)$$

which we recognise as the product normal Stein operator that was obtained by [17].

Suppose that $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, 1)$ and $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_Y, 1)$ are independent, and that μ_X and μ_Y are not necessarily equal. Then on using an argument similar to that used to prove Proposition 3.1 and some tedious calculations, one arrives at the following Stein operator for the product XY :

$$\mathcal{A}_{XY} f(x) = D^4 + D^3 + (2M + \mu_X \mu_Y I)D^2 + (1 + \mu_X^2 + \mu_Y^2)D + \mu_X \mu_Y I - M. \quad (46)$$

It is interesting to note that (46) is a fourth order differential operator; one higher than the third order operator (44) and two higher than the Stein operator for the product of two central normals. Whilst we are unable to prove it, we believe that (46) is a minimal order polynomial Stein operator.

Finally, since the coefficients in the Stein operators (44) and (46) are linear, we can use (21) to write down a Stein operator for the sum $W = \sum_{i=1}^r X_i Y_i$, where $(X_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, 1)$ and $(Y_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_Y, 1)$ are independent. When $\mu_X = \mu_Y = \mu$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_W = MD^3 + (rI - M)D^2 - (M + r(1 + \mu^2)I)D + M - r\mu^2 I, \quad (47)$$

and when μ_X and μ_Y are not necessarily equal, we have

$$\mathcal{A}_W = D^4 + D^3 + (2M + r\mu_X\mu_Y I)D^2 + r(1 + \mu_X^2 + \mu_Y^2)D + r\mu_X\mu_Y I - M.$$

When $\mu_X = \mu_Y = 0$, the random variable W follows the $\text{VG}(r, 0, 1, 0)$ distribution (see [14], Proposition 1.3). Taking $g = f' - f$ in (47) (as we did in arriving at (45)), we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}_W f(x) = g''(x) + rg'(x) - g(x),$$

which we recognise as the $\text{VG}(r, 0, 1, 0)$ Stein operator that was obtained in [14].

3.2.2 Product of non-centered gammas

Assume X and Y are distributed as a $\Gamma(r, 1)$, and let $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. A Stein operator for $X + \mu$ (or $Y + \mu$) is $\mathcal{A}_X = T_{r+\mu} - \mu D - M$. Proposition 3.1 applied with $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = -\mu$, $a = r + \mu$, $b = \infty$ yields the following fourth-order weak Stein operator for $Z = (X + \mu)(Y + \mu)$:

$$\mathcal{A}_Z = (M - T_{r+\mu}^2 - \mu^2 T_1 D)(T_{r+\mu-1} + \mu T_{r+\mu+1} D) + 2\mu T_{r+\mu}^2 T_{r+\mu+1} D.$$

Note also that when $\mu = 0$, this operator reduces to $(M - T_r^2)T_{r-1}$, which is the operator found in Section 2.3.1 applied to $T_{r-1}f$ instead of f .

3.2.3 Product of $\text{VG}(r, \theta, \sigma, 0)$ random variables

A $\text{VG}(r, \theta, \sigma, 0)$ Stein operator is given by $\sigma^2 T_r D + 2\theta T_{r/2} - M$. Applying Proposition 3.1 with $\alpha = 2\theta$, $\beta = \sigma^2$, $a = r/2$, $b = r$, we get the following Stein operator for the product of two independent $\text{VG}(r, \theta, \sigma, 0)$ random variables :

$$\mathcal{A} = (M - 4\theta^2 T_{r/2}^2 - \sigma^4 T_r^2 T_1 D)(T_{r/2-1} - \sigma^4 T_r T_{r/2+1} D) - 8\theta^2 \sigma^2 T_{r/2}^2 T_r T_{r/2+1} D.$$

Note that when $\theta = 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}f(x) = (M - \sigma^4 T_r^2 T_1 D)(T_{r/2-1} - \sigma^4 T_r T_{r/2+1} D)f(x).$$

Defining $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $xg(x) = -(T_{r/2-1} - \sigma^4 T_r T_{r/2+1} D)f(x)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}g(x) &= (\sigma^4 T_r^2 T_1 D - M)Mg(x) \\ &= \sigma^4 T_r^2 T_1^2 g(x) - M^2 g(x), \end{aligned}$$

which is in agreement with the product variance-gamma Stein operator (32).

4 Applications

4.1 Densities of product distributions

Fundamental methods, based on the Mellin integral transform, for deriving formulas for densities of product distributions were developed by [38, 39]. In [39], formulas, involving the Meijer G -function, were obtained for products of independent centered normals, and for mixed products of beta and

gamma random variables. However, for other product distributions, applying the Mellin inversion formula can lead to intractable calculations.

In this section, we present a novel method for deriving formulas for densities of product distributions based on the duality between Stein operators and ODEs satisfied by densities. Our approach builds on that of [15] in which a duality argument was used to derive a new formula for the density of the distribution of a mixed product of mutually independently centered normal, beta and gamma random variables (deriving such a formula using the Mellin inversion formula would have required some very involved calculations). We apply this method to derive a new formula for the p.d.f. of the product of n independent $\text{VG}(r, 0, \sigma, 0)$ random variables and to recover a formula for the product of n independent Student's t -distributed random variables that was given in [29].

4.1.1 A duality lemma

The following lemma concerns a Stein operator that also naturally arises from a repeated application of Proposition 2.2. The proof is a straightforward generalisation of the argument used in Section 3.2 of [15] to obtain a differential equation satisfied by the density of the mixed product of independent central normal, beta and gamma random variables.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Z be a random variable with density p supported on an interval $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let*

$$Af(x) = T_{r_1} \cdots T_{r_n} f(x) - bx^q T_{a_1} \cdots T_{a_m} f(x), \quad (48)$$

and suppose that

$$\mathbb{E}[Af(Z)] = 0 \quad (49)$$

for all $f \in C^k([a, b])$, where $k = \max\{m, n\}$, such that

1. $x^{q+1+i+j} p^{(i)}(x) f^{(j)}(x) \rightarrow 0$, as $x \rightarrow a$ and as $x \rightarrow b$, for all i, j such that $0 \leq i + j \leq m$;
2. $x^{1+i+j} p^{(i)}(x) f^{(j)}(x) \rightarrow 0$, as $x \rightarrow a$ and as $x \rightarrow b$, for all i, j such that $0 \leq i + j \leq n$.

(We denote this class of functions by \mathcal{C}_p). Then p satisfies the differential equation

$$T_{1-r_1} \cdots T_{1-r_n} p(x) - b(-1)^{m+n} x^q T_{q+1-a_1} \cdots T_{q+1-a_m} p(x) = 0. \quad (50)$$

Remark 4.1. *The class of functions \mathcal{C}_p consists of all $f \in C^k([a, b])$, where $k = \max\{m, n\}$, that satisfy particular boundary conditions at a and b . Note that when $(a, b) = \mathbb{R}$ the class includes the set of all functions on \mathbb{R} with compact support that are k times differentiable. The class \mathcal{C}_p suffices for the purpose of deriving the differential equation (50), although we expect that for particular densities (such as the beta distribution) the conditions on f could be weakened.*

Proof. We begin by writing the expectation (49) as

$$\int_a^b \{T_{r_1} \cdots T_{r_n} f(x) - bx^q T_{a_1} \cdots T_{a_m} f(x)\} p(x) dx = 0, \quad (51)$$

which exists if $f \in \mathcal{C}_p$. In arriving at the differential equation (54), we shall apply integration by parts repeatedly. To this end, it is useful to note the following integration by parts formula. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that ϕ and ψ are differentiable. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b x^\gamma \phi(x) T_r \psi(x) dx &= \int_a^b x^\gamma \phi(x) \{x\psi'(x) + r\psi(x)\} dx = \int_a^b x^{\gamma+1-r} \phi(x) \frac{d}{dx} (x^r \psi(x)) dx \\ &= \left[x^{\gamma+1} \phi(x) \psi(x) \right]_a^b - \int_a^b x^r \psi(x) \frac{d}{dx} (x^{\gamma+1-r} \phi(x)) dx \\ &= \left[x^{\gamma+1} \phi(x) \psi(x) \right]_a^b - \int_a^b x^\gamma \psi(x) T_{\gamma+1-r} \phi(x) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

provided the integrals exist.

We now return to equation (51) and use the integration by parts and formula (52) to obtain a differential equation that is satisfied by p . Using (52) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b x^q p(x) T_{a_1} \cdots T_{a_m} f(x) dx &= \left[x^{q+1} p(x) T_{a_2} \cdots T_{a_m} f(x) \right]_a^b \\ &\quad - \int_a^b x^q T_{\gamma+1-a_1} p(x) T_{a_2} \cdots T_{a_m} f(x) dx \\ &= - \int_a^b x^q T_{\gamma+1-a_1} p(x) T_{a_2} \cdots T_{a_m} f(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used condition (i) to obtain the last equality. By a repeated application of integration by parts, using formula (52) and condition (i), we arrive at

$$\int_a^b x^q p(x) T_{a_1} \cdots T_{a_m} f(x) dx = (-1)^m \int_a^b x^q f(x) T_{q+1-a_1} \cdots T_{q+1-a_m} p(x) dx.$$

By a similar argument, this time using formula (52) and condition (ii), we obtain

$$\int_a^b p(x) T_{r_1} \cdots T_{r_n} f(x) dx = (-1)^n \int_a^b f(x) T_{1-r_1} \cdots T_{1-r_n} p(x) dx.$$

Putting this together we have that

$$\int_a^b \{(-1)^n T_{1-r_1} \cdots T_{1-r_n} p(x) - b(-1)^m x^q T_{q+1-a_1} \cdots T_{q+1-a_m} p(x)\} f(x) dx = 0 \quad (53)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_p$. Since (53) holds for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_p$, we deduce (from an argument analogous to that used to prove the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations) that p satisfies the differential equation

$$T_{1-r_1} \cdots T_{1-r_n} p(x) - b(-1)^{m+n} x^q T_{q+1-a_1} \cdots T_{q+1-a_m} p(x) = 0.$$

This completes the proof. \square

4.1.2 Application to obtaining formulas for densities

We now show how the duality Lemma 4.1 can be exploited to derive formulas for densities of distributions. By duality, p satisfies the differential equation

$$T_{1-r_1} \cdots T_{1-r_n} p(x) - b(-1)^{m+n} x^q T_{q+1-a_1} \cdots T_{q+1-a_m} p(x) = 0. \quad (54)$$

Making the change of variables $y = \frac{b}{q^{n-m}} x^q$ yields the following differential equation

$$\frac{T_{1-r_1}}{q} \cdots \frac{T_{1-r_n}}{q} p(y) - (-1)^{m+n} y \frac{T_{q+1-a_1}}{q} \cdots \frac{T_{q+1-a_m}}{q} p(y) = 0. \quad (55)$$

We recognise (55) as an instance of the Meijer G -function differential equation (82). There are $\max\{m, n\}$ linearly independent solutions to (55) that can be written in terms of the Meijer G -function (see [32], Chapter 16, Section 21). Using a change of variables, we can thus obtain a fundamental system of solutions to (54) given as Meijer G -functions. One can then arrive at a formula for the density by imposing the conditions that the solution must be non-negative and integrate to 1 over the support of the distribution. Due to the difficulty of handling the Meijer G -function, this final analysis is in general not straightforward. However, one can “guess” a formula for the density based on the fundamental system of solutions, and then verify that this is indeed the density by an application of the Mellin transform (note that in this verification step there is no need to use the Mellin inversion formula). An interesting direction for future research would be to develop techniques for identifying formulas for densities of distributions based solely on an analysis of the differential equation (54). However, even as it stands, we have a technique for obtaining formulas for densities that may be intractable through standard methods.

As has been noted, the method described above has already been used by [15] to derive formulas for the density of a mixed product of independent central normal, beta and gamma random variables. We now apply the method to obtain formulas for densities of products of independent variance-gamma and Student's t -distributed random variables.

Products of Student's t -distributed random variables. Recall the Stein operator (31) for the product of n independent Student's t -distributed random variables with ν_1, \dots, ν_n degrees of freedom respectively:

$$\mathcal{A}f(x) = T_1^n - \frac{(-1)^n}{\nu_1 \dots \nu_n} x^2 T_{2-\nu_1} \dots T_{2-\nu_n} f(x).$$

By lemma 4.1, we know that the density p of the product Student's t -distribution satisfies the differential equation

$$T_0^n p(x) - \frac{(-1)^n}{\nu_1 \dots \nu_n} x^2 T_{\nu_1+1} \dots T_{\nu_n+1} p(x) = 0. \quad (56)$$

Making the change of variables $y = \frac{(-1)^n}{\nu_1 \dots \nu_n} x^2$ yields the differential equation

$$T_0^n p(y) - x^2 T_{\frac{\nu_1+1}{2}} \dots T_{\frac{\nu_n+1}{2}} p(y) = 0. \quad (57)$$

From (82) it follows that a solution to (57) is

$$p(y) = C G_{n,n}^{n,n} \left((-1)^n y \mid \frac{1-\nu_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-\nu_n}{2} \right),$$

where C is an arbitrary constant. Therefore, on changing variables, a solution to (56) is given by

$$p(x) = C G_{n,n}^{n,n} \left(\frac{x^2}{\nu_1 \dots \nu_n} \mid \frac{1-\nu_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-\nu_n}{2} \right). \quad (58)$$

We can apply (81) to choose C such the p integrates to 1 across its support:

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\sqrt{\nu_j}}{\Gamma(\nu_j/2)} G_{n,n}^{n,n} \left(\frac{x^2}{\nu_1 \dots \nu_n} \mid \frac{1-\nu_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-\nu_n}{2} \right), \quad (59)$$

where we used that $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$. The formula (59) represents a candidate density for product of n independent Student's t -distributed random variables, which we could verify using Mellin transforms. However, we omit this analysis, because the density of this distribution has already been worked out by [29]:

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}|x|} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu_j/2)} G_{n,n}^{n,n} \left(\frac{\nu_1 \dots \nu_n}{x^2} \mid \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2} \right). \quad (60)$$

Formulas (59) and (60) are indeed equal; to see this, just apply formulas (79) and (80) to (59).

Products of VG($r, 0, \sigma, 0$) random variables. Let $(Z_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sim \text{VG}(r_i, 0, \sigma_i, 0)$ be independent, and set $Z = \prod_{i=1}^n Z_i$. Recall the Stein operator (32) for the product of $\text{VG}(r_i, 0, \sigma_i, 0)$ distributed random variables:

$$\mathcal{A}_Z f(x) = \sigma^2 T_1^n T_{r_1} \dots T_{r_n} - M^2,$$

where $\sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2 \dots \sigma_n^2$. By Lemma 4.1, it follows that the density p satisfies the following differential equation:

$$T_0^n T_{1-r_1} \dots T_{1-r_n} p(x) - \sigma^{-2} (-1)^n x^2 p(x) = 0. \quad (61)$$

Arguing as we did in the Student's t example, we guess the following formula for the density p :

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{2^n \pi^{n/2} \sigma} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_j/2)} G_{0,2n}^{2n,0} \left(\frac{x^2}{2^{2n} \sigma^2} \mid \frac{r_1-1}{2}, \dots, \frac{r_n-1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0 \right). \quad (62)$$

It is straightforward to verify that (62) solves (61) using (82), and the normalizing constant was obtained using (81). Unlike the product Student's t -distribution formula of the previous example, the formula (62) is unknown, so we must prove that it is indeed the density of Z . We verify this using Mellin transforms; note that this verification is much more straightforward than an application of the Mellin inversion formula.

Let us define the Mellin transform and state some properties that will be useful to us. The Mellin transform of a non-negative random variable U with density p is given by

$$M_U(s) = \mathbb{E}U^{s-1} = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1}p(x) dx,$$

for all s such that the expectation exists. If the random variable U has density p that is symmetric about the origin then we can define the Mellin transform of U by

$$M_U(s) = 2 \int_0^\infty x^{s-1}p(x) dx.$$

The Mellin transform is useful in determining the distribution of products of independent random variables due to the property that if the random variables U and V are independent then $M_{UV}(s) = M_U(s)M_V(s)$.

To obtain the Mellin transform of $Z = \prod_{i=1}^n Z_i$, we recall that $Z_i \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} X_i\sqrt{Y_i}$, where $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_i^2)$ and $Y_i \sim \Gamma(r/2, 1/2)$ are independent. Using the formulas for the Mellin transforms of the normal and gamma distributions (see [39]), we have that

$$M_{X_i}(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{(s-1)/2} \sigma_i^{s-1} \Gamma(1/2), \quad M_{\sqrt{Y_i}}(s) = M_{Y_i}((s+1)/2) = 2^{(s-1)/2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r_i-1+s}{2})}{\Gamma(r_j)},$$

and therefore

$$M_Z(s) = \frac{1}{\pi^{n/2}} 2^{n(s-1)} \sigma^{s-1} [\Gamma(s/2)]^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r_j-1+s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{r_j}{2})}. \quad (63)$$

Now, let W denote a random variable with density (62). Then, using (81) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} M_W(s) &= 2 \int_0^\infty x^{s-1}p(x) dx = 2 \times \frac{1}{2^n \pi^{n/2} \sigma} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(r_j/2)} \times \left(\frac{1}{2^{2n} \sigma^2} \right)^{-s/2} \\ &\quad \times [\Gamma(s/2)]^n \times \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma\left(\frac{r_j-1+s}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to (63). Since the Mellin transforms of W is equal to that of Z , it follows that W is equal in law to Z . Therefore (62) is indeed the p.d.f of the random variable Z .

4.1.3 Reduced order operators

Consider, as we have done throughout this section, that we have the following Stein operator for the random variable Z :

$$A_Z f(x) = T_{r_1} \cdots T_{r_n} f(x) - bx^q T_{a_1} \cdots T_{a_m} f(x),$$

which may have arisen naturally from a repeated application of Proposition 2.2. For general parameter values, this is a differential operator of order $\max\{m, n\}$. However, for particular parameter values, we can obtain an operator of lower order. Consider the sets

$$R = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}.$$

If $|R \cap S| = t$, then we can obtain a Stein operator for Z that has order $\max\{m, n\} - t$. To see this, suppose, without loss of generality, that $r_j = a_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, t$. Then we can write (recalling that the operators T_α and T_β are commutative)

$$\begin{aligned} A_Z f(x) &= T_{r_1} \cdots T_{r_t} T_{r_{t+1}} \cdots T_{r_n} f(x) - bx^q T_{r_1} \cdots T_{r_t} T_{a_{t+1}} \cdots T_{a_m} f(x) \\ &= T_{r_{t+1}} \cdots T_{r_n} T_{r_1} \cdots T_{r_t} f(x) - bx^q T_{a_{t+1}} \cdots T_{a_m} T_{r_1} \cdots T_{r_t} f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $f(x) = T_{a_1} \cdots T_{r_t} g(x)$ now gives the following reduced order operator:

$$\tilde{A}_Z g(x) = T_{r_{t+1}} \cdots T_{r_n} g(x) - b x^q T_{a_{t+1}} \cdots T_{a_m} g(x). \quad (64)$$

Specific examples of reduced order operators for mixed products of centered normal, beta and gamma random variables are given in [15].

The condition that the order of the operator reduces to $\max\{m, n\} - t$ when $|R \cap S| = t$ is related to the fact the density of the random variable Z can be written as a Meijer G -function. By duality, the density p of Z satisfies the differential equation

$$T_{1-r_1} \cdots T_{1-r_n} p(x) - b(-1)^{m+n} x^q T_{q+1-a_1} \cdots T_{q+1-a_m} p(x) = 0.$$

Arguing more generally than we did in Section 4.1.2, using (82), we have that solutions to this differential equation are of the form

$$p(x) = C G_{m,n}^{k,l} \left(\frac{b}{q^{n-m}} x^q \left| \begin{array}{c} \frac{a_1-1}{q}, \dots, \frac{a_m-1}{q} \\ \frac{r_1-1}{q}, \dots, \frac{r_n-1}{q} \end{array} \right. \right), \quad (65)$$

where C is an arbitrary constant and $k, l \in \{0, \dots, \max\{m, n\}\}$ are integers that we are free to choose ($k = n, l = 0$ for the density of the product normal distribution (see [39]), but $k = n, l = n$ for the density of Student's t -distribution). It is interesting to note that the order of the G -function (65) reduces to $\max\{m, n\} - t$ precisely when $|R \cap S| = t$ (see Section B.2). The duality between Stein operators and differential equations satisfied by densities therefore suggests that Stein operators for product distributions that arise from an application of Proposition 2.2 have minimal order amongst all Stein operators with polynomial coefficients for the given distribution. We expect this to be the case unless the sets R and S share at least one element, in which case we can obtain a lower order operator by arguing as we did in obtaining (64).

4.2 Asymptotics of the K -distribution

The K -distribution is a family of continuous probability distributions on $(0, \infty)$ which has been widely used in applications, for example, for modelling radar signals [42], non-normal statistical properties of radiation [21] and in wireless signal processing [10].

We have found two different p.d.f.s to be known as the K -distribution p.d.f. in the literature. The first, taken, for example, from [21], is as a three-parameter distribution

$$KD_1(x; \mu, \nu, L) = \frac{2 \left(\sqrt{\frac{L\nu}{\mu}} \right)^{L+\nu} \sqrt{x}^{L+\nu-2}}{\Gamma(L)\Gamma(\nu)} K_{\nu-L} \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{L\nu}{\mu}} \sqrt{x} \right) \quad (66)$$

with $K_\alpha(\cdot)$ the modified Bessel function of the second kind (see Appendix A for a definition). This is a product distribution : a random variable Z follows the K -distribution with p.d.f. (66) and parameters $\mu > 0, \nu > L > 0$ (which we denote $Z_1 \sim KD_1(\mu, \nu, L)$) if $Z_1 \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} XY$ with X, Y independent random variables with distribution $X \sim \Gamma(L, L)$ and $Y \sim \Gamma(\nu, \frac{\nu}{\mu})$. We easily deduce that $\mathbb{E}[Z_1] = \mu$ and $\text{Var}(Z_1) = \mu^2 \frac{\nu+L+1}{L\nu} =: \sigma^2$. Directly using the known operators for the gamma we can apply the results from Section 2.1 to deduce that

$$\mathcal{A}_1 f(x) = \frac{1}{L\nu} (\mu T_L T_\nu - L\nu M) f(x) = \frac{\mu}{L\nu} x^2 f''(x) + \sigma^2 x f'(x) + (\mu - x) f(x) \quad (67)$$

is a Stein operator for Z . Operator (67) is a rescaling by $L\nu$ of the original operator provided by Proposition 2.2. It can be shown by direct computations that operator (67) can also be written as

$$\mathcal{A}_1 f(x) = \frac{((xf(x)/u_1(x; \mu, \nu, L))' x u_1(x; \mu, \nu, L) KD_1(x; \mu, \nu, L))'}{KD_1(x; \mu, \nu, L)} \quad (68)$$

with

$$u_1(x; \mu, \nu, L) = x^{-(L+\nu-2)/2} K_{\nu-L} \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{L\nu}{\mu}} \sqrt{x} \right). \quad (69)$$

When f is \mathcal{C}^2 , we get that $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}_1 f(Z_1)] = [(xf(x)/u_1(x))'xu_1(x)KD_1(x)]_0^{+\infty}$, provided those limits exist. However,

$$(xf(x)/u_1(x))'xu_1(x)K_1(x) = xf(x)KD_1(x) + x^2f'(x)KD_1(x) - \frac{u_1'(x)}{u_1(x)}x^2f(x)KD_1(x).$$

Standard properties of the modified Bessel function of the second kind imply that $xKD_1(x)$ and $\frac{u_1'(x)}{u_1(x)}x^2KD_1(x)$ go to zero as x goes to zero and decreases exponentially fast as x goes to $+\infty$. Thus if both f and xf' are bounded, the limits are zero and we have $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}_1 f(Z_1)] = 0$. We will make use of this result later on.

The second, taken, for example, from [43], is as the two-parameter distribution given by

$$KD_2(x; \lambda, c) = \frac{2c}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \left(\frac{cx}{2}\right)^\lambda K_{\lambda-1}(cx). \quad (70)$$

This is at the same time a product and power distribution : a random variable Z_2 follows the K -distribution with p.d.f. (70), shape $\lambda > 0$ and scale $c > 0$ if $Z_2 \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \sqrt{XY}$ with X, Y independent random variables with distribution $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ and $Y \sim \Gamma(\lambda, \frac{\lambda c \Gamma(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)})$. We immediately obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_2] = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda)}{c \Gamma(\lambda)} =: \mu \text{ and } \mathbb{E}[Z_2^2] = \frac{4\lambda}{c^2}. \quad (71)$$

Applying the results from Sections 2.1 and 2.2 we deduce (after rescaling by λ) the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2 f(x) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} (\mu T_2 T_{2\lambda} - 2\lambda M^2) f(x) \\ &= \frac{\mu}{\lambda} x^2 f''(x) + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} (1 + 2 + 2\lambda) x f'(x) + (4\mu - 2x^2) f(x). \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

As is often the case with densities whose expression relies on special functions, the K -distribution is unwieldy for practical implementations and one often needs to have recourse to approximate densities. Two asymptotic approximations have been used for the K -distribution in the literature. The first is a not surprising approximation of the K -distribution (66) by the gamma distribution studied, for example, in [1] : fix without loss of generality $\mu = \sigma^2 = 1$, then $\mathcal{L}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Exp}(1)$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$. This is easy to read at least in terms of Stein operators because under the assumptions on the parameters we necessarily have

$$L = \frac{1 + \nu}{1 - \nu}$$

so that $\nu \rightarrow 1$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$ and (67) becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_1 f(x) = \frac{1}{L\nu} x^2 f''(x) + x f'(x) + (1 - x) f(x) \quad (73)$$

which converges to

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,\infty} f(x) = x f'(x) + (1 - x) f(x) \quad (74)$$

as $L \rightarrow \infty$, the operator for the $\text{Exp}(1)$ distribution. Such a convergence was already known and applied for wireless signal analysis; see, for example, [1, 11]. In the following Proposition, we give a quantitative version of this result in terms of the Wasserstein and Kolmogorov distances which, to the best of our knowledge, are new.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume Z has the K -distribution with mean and variance 1, and $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$. Then*

$$d_W(Z, X) \leq \frac{4}{L\nu} \quad (75)$$

and

$$d_K(Z, X) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{P}[Z \leq x] - \mathbb{P}[X \leq x]| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{L\nu}}. \quad (76)$$

Proof. We first derive the Wasserstein distance bound. Let $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz. From [18], Lemma 2.1, there exists a solution f_h to the Stein equation $\mathcal{A}_{1,\infty}f = h - \mathbb{E}[h(X)]$ such that

$$\|xf_h''\| \leq 4\|h'\|,$$

$\|\cdot\|$ being the supremum norm. Moreover, we also have from [18] that f_h and xf_h' are bounded, so that $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}_1f_h(Z)] = 0$. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[h(Z)] - \mathbb{E}[h(X)]| &= |\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}_{1,\infty}f_h(Z)] - \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{A}_1f_h(Z)]| \\ &= \frac{1}{L\nu} |\mathbb{E}[Z^2 f_h''(Z)]| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{L\nu} \mathbb{E}|Z| \|xf_h''\| \\ &\leq \frac{4}{L\nu} \|h'\|, \end{aligned}$$

which on taking the supremum over all Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant 1 yields (75).

We can immediately obtain the Kolmogorov distance bound (76) from (75) by appealing to the following result (see [36], Proposition 1.2): If the random variable V has Lebesgue density bounded by C , then for any random variable U , we have $d_K(U, V) \leq \sqrt{2Cd_W(U, V)}$. Since the density of the $\text{Exp}(1)$ distribution is bounded by 1 we arrive at (76). \square

The second approximation is of parameterization (72) by a Rayleigh distribution with parameter 1 (the density is $xe^{x^2/2}$, $x > 0$) as λ and c tend to infinity, see, for example, [43]. Again this approximation is obvious in terms of the operators, because (72) becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_{2,\infty}f(x) = xf'(x) + (2 - x^2)f(x) \quad (77)$$

as $\mu/\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Since the Rayleigh distribution is a special case of the generalized gamma distribution, it follows from the generalized gamma Stein operator (see [15] and Table A in Appendix A) that (77) is indeed a Stein operator for the Rayleigh distribution with parameter 1. Note that

$$\frac{\mu}{\lambda} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\lambda + 1/2)}{c\lambda\Gamma(\lambda)} < \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{c} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda + 1/4}}$$

(the inequality can be found in [13]) for c and λ large; convergence of the K -distribution towards the Rayleigh thus occurs both as c and λ go to infinity. The condition $\mu/\lambda \rightarrow 0$ for the approximation to hold is the same as that noted already in [43, Theorem 1].

A List of Stein operators for continuous distributions

Recall that $Mf(x) = xf(x)$, $Df(x) = f'(x)$, I is the identity and $T_a f(x) = xf'(x) + af(x)$. We also recall the definition of some standard functions. The beta function is defined by $B(a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}$. $U(a, b, x)$ denotes the confluent hypergeometric function of the second kind ([32], Chapter 13). The modified Bessel function of the second kind is given, for $x > 0$, by $K_\nu(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x \cosh(t)} \cosh(\nu t) dt$ (see [32]).

We give a list of Stein operators for several classical probability distributions, in terms of the above operators. References for these Stein operators are as follows: normal [40], gamma [7, 27], beta [8, 19, 37], Student's t [37], inverse-gamma [22], F -distribution (new to this paper), PRR [33], variance-gamma [14], generalized gamma [15], and two versions of the K -distribution (both of which can be deduced from [15], as they are both powers of product of independent gammas).

The usual Stein operators (as defined in the above references) for the normal, PRR and variance-gamma distributions, are not in the form required in Section 2. In these cases, we multiply the operators by M on the right (which is equivalent to applying them to $xf(x)$ instead of $f(x)$). It is important to note that by doing so, we change the class of functions the operators act on : if \mathcal{A} acts on \mathcal{F} , then

Distribution	Parameters	Notation
Normal	$\mu, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$	$\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
Gamma	$r, \lambda > 0$	$\Gamma(r, \lambda)$
Beta	$a, b > 0$	Beta(a, b)
Student's t	$\nu > 0$	$\mathcal{T}(\nu)$
Inverse-gamma	$\alpha, \beta > 0$	$IG(\alpha, \beta)$
F -distribution	$d_1, d_2 > 0$	$F(d_1, d_2)$
PRR distribution	$s > 1/2$	PRR_s
Variance-gamma	$r, \sigma > 0, \theta, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$	$VG(r, \theta, \sigma, \mu)$
Generalized Gamma	$r, \lambda, q > 0$	$GG(r, \lambda, q)$
K-distribution (1)	$\mu > 0, \nu > L > 0$	$KD_1(\mu, \nu, L)$
K-distribution (2)	$\lambda, c > 0$	$KD_2(\lambda, c)$

Table 1: Distributions

Distribution	p.d.f.	Stein operator
$\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)/\sigma^2}$	$\sigma^2 T_1 + \mu M - M^2$
$\Gamma(r, \lambda)$	$\frac{\lambda^r}{\Gamma(r)} x^{r-1} e^{-\lambda x} \mathbf{1}_{x>0}$	$T_r - \lambda M$
Beta(a, b)	$\frac{1}{B(a,b)} x^{a-1} (1-x)^{b-1} \mathbf{1}_{0<x<1}$	$T_a - MT_{a+b}$
$\mathcal{T}(\nu)$	$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\nu\pi}\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\nu}\right)^{-(\nu+1)/2}$	$\nu T_1 + M^2 T_{2-\nu}$
$IG(\alpha, \beta)$	$\frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{-\alpha-1} e^{-\beta/x} \mathbf{1}_{x>0}$	$\beta I + MT_{1-\alpha}$
$F(d_1, d_2)$	$\frac{1}{B(\frac{d_1}{2}, \frac{d_2}{2})} \left(\frac{d_1}{d_2}\right)^{d_1/2} x^{d_1/2-1} \left(1 + \frac{d_1}{d_2}x\right)^{-(d_1+d_2)/2} \mathbf{1}_{x>0}$	$d_2 T_{d_1/2} + d_1 M T_{1-d_2/2}$
PRR_s	$\Gamma(s) \sqrt{\frac{2}{s\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2s}\right) U\left(s-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{x^2}{2s}\right) \mathbf{1}_{x>0}$	$s T_1 T_2 - M^2 T_{2s}$
$VG(r, \theta, \sigma, \mu = 0)$	$\frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{r}{2})} e^{\frac{\theta}{\sigma^2}x} \left(\frac{ x }{2\sqrt{\theta^2+\sigma^2}}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} K_{\frac{r-1}{2}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\theta^2+\sigma^2}}{\sigma^2} x \right)$	$\sigma^2 T_1 T_r + 2\theta M T_{r/2} - M^2$
$GG(r, \lambda, q)$	$\frac{q\lambda^r}{\Gamma(r/q)} x^{r-1} e^{-(\lambda x)^q} \mathbf{1}_{x>0}$	$T_r - q\lambda^q M^q$
$KD_1(\mu, \nu, L)$	$\frac{2\left(\sqrt{\frac{L\nu}{\mu}}\right)^{L+\nu}}{\Gamma(L)\Gamma(\nu)} \sqrt{x}^{L+\nu-2} K_{\nu-L}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{L\nu}{\mu}}\sqrt{x}\right)$	$\frac{\mu}{L\nu} T_L T_\nu - M$
$KD_2(\lambda, c)$	$\frac{2c}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \left(\frac{cx}{2}\right)^\lambda K_{\lambda-1}(cx)$	$\frac{\mu}{\lambda} T_2 T_{2\lambda} - 2M^2$

Table 2: p.d.f. and Stein operator of some classical distributions.

$\mathcal{A}M$ acts on $\{f : Mf \in \mathcal{F}\}$ (in particular, if $\mathcal{A}f$ is defined when f is smooth with compact support, so is $\mathcal{A}M$).

We show in more detail the normal case. The centered normal distribution with variance σ^2 has usual Stein operator given by $\mathcal{A}f(x) = \sigma^2 f'(x) - xf(x)$, which reads, in our notation, $\mathcal{A} = \sigma^2 D - M$. Applying this operator to $xf(x)$ instead of $f(x)$, or, equivalently, multiplying it on the right by M leads to the new Stein operator $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \sigma^2 DM - M^2$. But $DM = MD + I = T_1$, so that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \sigma^2 T_1 - M^2$. This operator is indeed of the form of (14). The same trick is used for the PRR distribution and the variance-gamma distribution.

We note that the support of the variance-gamma distributions is \mathbb{R} when $\sigma > 0$, but in the limit $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ the support is the region (μ, ∞) if $\theta > 0$, and is $(-\infty, \mu)$ if $\theta < 0$.

B The Meijer G -function

Here we define the Meijer G -function and present some of its basic properties that are relevant to this paper. For further properties of this function see [28, 32].

B.1 Definition

The Meijer G -function is defined, for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, by the contour integral:

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left(z \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{array} \right. \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} z^{-s} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(s + b_j) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j - s)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(s + a_j) \prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j - s)} ds,$$

where c is a real constant defining a Bromwich path separating the poles of $\Gamma(s + b_j)$ from those of $\Gamma(1 - a_j - s)$ and where we use the convention that the empty product is 1.

B.2 Basic properties

The G -function is symmetric in the parameters $a_1, \dots, a_n; a_{n+1}, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_m;$ and b_{m+1}, \dots, b_q . Thus, if one the a_j 's, $j = n + 1, \dots, p$, is equal to one of the b_k 's, $k = 1, \dots, m$, the G -function reduces to one of lower order. For example,

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left(z \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}, b_1 \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{array} \right. \right) = G_{p-1,q-1}^{m-1,n} \left(z \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_{p-1} \\ b_2, \dots, b_q \end{array} \right. \right), \quad m, p, q \geq 1. \quad (78)$$

The G -function satisfies the identities

$$z^c G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left(z \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{array} \right. \right) = G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left(z \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1 + c, \dots, a_p + c \\ b_1 + c, \dots, b_q + c \end{array} \right. \right), \quad (79)$$

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left(z \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{array} \right. \right) = G_{q,p}^{n,m} \left(z^{-1} \left| \begin{array}{c} 1 - b_1, \dots, 1 - b_q \\ 1 - a_1, \dots, 1 - a_p \end{array} \right. \right). \quad (80)$$

B.3 Integration

The following formula follows from Luke [28], formula (1) of section 5.6 and a change of variables:

$$\int_0^\infty x^{s-1} G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left(\alpha x^\gamma \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{array} \right. \right) dx = \frac{\alpha^{-s/\gamma}}{\gamma} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j + \frac{s}{\gamma}) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j - \frac{s}{\gamma})}{\prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j - \frac{s}{\gamma}) \prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j + \frac{s}{\gamma})}. \quad (81)$$

For the conditions under which this formula is valid see Luke, pp. 158–159. In particular, the formula is valid when $n = 0$, $1 \leq p + 1 \leq m \leq q$ and $\alpha > 0$.

B.4 Differential equation

The G -function $f(z) = G_{p,q}^{m,n} \left(z \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{array} \right. \right)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$(-1)^{p-m-n} z T_{1-a_1} \cdots T_{1-a_p} f(z) - T_{-b_1} \cdots T_{-b_q} f(z) = 0, \quad (82)$$

where $T_r f(z) = z f'(z) + r f(z)$.

Acknowledgements

RG is supported by EPSRC grant EP/K032402/1. RG is grateful to Université de Liège, FNRS and EPSRC for funding a visit to University de Liège, where some of the details of this project were worked out. YS gratefully acknowledges support from the IAP Research Network P7/06 of the Belgian State (Belgian Science Policy). GM is supported by a WG (Welcome Grant) from Université de Liège.

References

- [1] Al-Ahmadi, S. and Yanikomeroglu, H. On the approximation of the generalized-K distribution by a gamma distribution for modeling composite fading channels. *IEEE T. Wireless Comm.* **9** (2010), pp. 706–713.

- [2] Arras, B., Azmoodeh, E., Poly, G. and Swan, Y. Stein's method on the second Wiener chaos : 2-Wasserstein distance. arXiv:1601:03301, 2016.
- [3] Barbour, A. D. Stein's method for diffusion approximations. *Probab. Theory Rel.* **84** (1990), pp. 297–322.
- [4] Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Kent, J. and Sørensen, M. Normal Variance-Mean Mixtures and z Distributions. *Int. Stat. Rev.* **50** (1982), pp. 145–159.
- [5] Berry, A. C. The accuracy of the Gaussian approximation to the sum of independent variates. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **49** (1941), pp. 122–136.
- [6] Chatterjee, S., Fulman, J. and Röllin, A. Exponential approximation by Stein's method and spectral graph theory. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **8** (2011) pp. 197-223.
- [7] Diaconis, P. and Zabell, S. Closed Form Summation for Classical Distributions: Variations on a Theme of De Moivre. *Statist. Sci.* **6** (1991), pp. 284–302.
- [8] Döbler, C. Stein's method of exchangeable pairs for the beta distribution and generalizations. *Electron. J. Probab.* **20** no. 109 (2015), pp. 1–34.
- [9] Döbler, C., Gaunt, R. E. and Vollmer, S. J. An iterative technique for bounding derivatives of solutions of Stein equations. arXiv:1510:02623, 2015.
- [10] Dong, Y. Optimal coherent radar detection in a K -distributed clutter environment. *Radar, Sonar & Navigation, IET*, **6** (2012) pp. 283–292.
- [11] Dziri, A., Terre, M. and Nasser, N. Performance Analysis of Decode and Forward Cooperative Relaying over the Generalized-K Channel. *Wireless Engineering and Technology*, 4(02), 92. (2013)
- [12] Eichelsbacher, P. and Thäle, C. New Berry-Esseen bounds for non-linear functionals of Poisson random measures. *Electron. J. Probab* **19**, no. 102 (2014), pp. 1–25.
- [13] Elezović, N., Giordano, C. and Pečarić, J. The best bounds in Gautschi's inequality. *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **3** (2000), pp. 239-252.
- [14] Gaunt, R. E. Variance-Gamma approximation via Stein's method. *Electron. J. Probab.* **19** no. 38 (2014), pp. 1–33.
- [15] Gaunt, R. E. Products of normal, beta and gamma random variables: Stein operators and distributional theory. arXiv:1507.07696, 2015.
- [16] Gaunt, R. E. A Stein characterisation of the generalized hyperbolic distribution. arXiv:1603:05675, 2016.
- [17] Gaunt, R. E. On Stein's method for products of normal random variables and zero bias couplings. To appear in *Bernoulli*, 2016+.
- [18] Gaunt, R. E., Pickett, A. and Reinert, G. Chi-square approximation by Stein's method with application to Pearson's statistic. arXiv:1507.01707, 2015.
- [19] Goldstein, L. and Reinert, G. Stein's method for the Beta distribution and the Pólya-Eggenberger Urn. *J. Appl. Probab.* **50** (2013), pp. 1187–1205.
- [20] Götze, F. On the rate of convergence in the multivariate CLT. *Ann. Probab.* **19** (1991), pp. 724–739.
- [21] Jakeman, E. and Tough, R. J. A. Generalized K distribution: a statistical model for weak scattering. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc. A Stat.* **4** (1987), pp. 1764–1772.

- [22] Koudou, A. E. and Ley, C. Characterizations of GIG laws: a survey complemented with two new results. *Probab. Surv.* **11** (2014), pp. 161–176.
- [23] Kusuoka, S. and Tudor, C. A. Stein’s method for invariant measures of diffusions via Malliavin calculus. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **122** (2012), pp. 1627–1651.
- [24] Kumar, A. N., and N. S. Upadhye. On Perturbations of Stein Operator. arXiv:1603.07464, 2016.
- [25] Ley, C., Reinert, G. and Swan, Y. Stein’s method for comparison of univariate distributions. arXiv:1408.2998, 2014.
- [26] Ley, C. and Swan, Y. Stein’s density approach and information inequalities. *Electron. Comm. Probab.* **18** no. 7 (2013), pp. 1–14.
- [27] Luk, H. *Stein’s Method for the Gamma Distribution and Related Statistical Applications*. PhD thesis, University of Southern California, 1994.
- [28] Luke, Y. L. *The Special Functions and their Approximations, Vol. 1*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [29] Nadarajaha, S. Exact Distribution of the product of N Student’s t RVs. *Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab.* **14** (2012), pp. 997–1009.
- [30] Nourdin, I. and Peccati, G. Normal approximations with Malliavin calculus: from Stein’s method to universality. Vol. 192. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [31] Nourdin, I., Peccati, G. and Swan, Y. Integration by parts and representation of information functionals. *Information Theory (ISIT), 2014 IEEE International Symposium on. IEEE*, 2014.
- [32] Olver, F. W. J., Lozier, D. W., Boisvert, R. F. and Clark, C. W. *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [33] Peköz, E., Röllin, A. and Ross, N. Degree asymptotics with rates for preferential attachment random graphs. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **23** (2013), pp. 1188–1218.
- [34] Peköz, E., Röllin, A. and Ross, N. Generalized gamma approximation with rates for urns, walks and trees. To appear in *Ann. Probab.*, 2016+.
- [35] Pike, J. and Ren, H. Stein’s method and the Laplace distribution. *ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **11** (2014), pp. 571–587.
- [36] Ross, N. Fundamentals of Stein’s method. *Probab. Surv.* **8** (2011), pp. 210–293.
- [37] Schoutens, W. Orthogonal polynomials in Stein’s method. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **253** (2001), pp. 515–531.
- [38] Springer, M. D. and Thompson, W. E. The distribution of independent random variables. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **14** (1966), pp. 511–526.
- [39] Springer, M. D. and Thompson, W. E. The distribution of products of Beta, Gamma and Gaussian random variables. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **18** (1970), pp. 721–737.
- [40] Stein, C. A bound for the error in the normal approximation to the the distribution of a sum of dependent random variables. In *Proc. Sixth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statis. Prob.* (1972), vol. 2, Univ. California Press, Berkeley, pp. 583–602.
- [41] Stein, C. *Approximate Computation of Expectations*. IMS, Hayward, California, 1986.
- [42] Watts, S. Radar detection prediction in sea clutter using the compound K -distribution model. *Communications, Radar and Signal Processing, IEE Proceedings F.* **132** (1985) pp. 613–620.
- [43] Weinberg, G. V. Error bounds on the Rayleigh approximation of the K -distribution. *IET Signal Processing* (2016).